#### Introduction:

LEA: Sierra Unified School District; Contact: Melissa Ireland, Superintendent; mireland@sierrausd.org; 559-855-3662 (ext.107); LCAP Year: 2016-2019

Local Control and Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template

The Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and Annual Update Template shall be used to provide details regarding local educational agencies' (LEAs) actions and expenditures to support pupil outcomes and overall performance pursuant to Education Code sections 52060, 52066, 47605, 47605.5, and 47606.5. The LCAP and Annual Update Template must be completed by all LEAs each year.

For school districts, pursuant to Education Code section 52060, the LCAP must describe, for the school district and each school within the district, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities.

For county offices of education, pursuant to Education Code section 52066, the LCAP must describe, for each county office of education-operated school and program, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, who are funded through the county office of education Local Control Funding Formula as identified in Education Code section 2574 (pupils attending juvenile court schools, on probation or parole, or mandatorily expelled) for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities. School districts and county offices of education may additionally coordinate and describe in their LCAPs services provided to pupils funded by a school district but attending county-operated schools and programs, including special education programs.

Charter schools, pursuant to Education Code sections 47605, 47605.5, and 47606.5, must describe goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities as applicable and any locally identified priorities. For charter schools, the inclusion and description of goals for state priorities in the LCAP may be modified to meet the grade levels served and the nature of the programs provided, including modifications to reflect only the statutory requirements explicitly applicable to charter schools in the Education Code.

The LCAP is intended to be a comprehensive planning tool. Accordingly, in developing goals, specific actions, and expenditures, LEAs should carefully consider how to reflect the services and related expenses for their basic instructional program in relationship to the state priorities. LEAs may reference and describe actions and expenditures in other plans and funded by a variety of other fund sources when detailing goals, actions, and expenditures related to the state and local priorities. LCAPs must be consistent with school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. The information contained in the LCAP, or annual update, may be supplemented by information contained in other plans (including the LEA plan pursuant to Section 1112 of Subpart 1 of Part A of Title I of Public Law 107-110) that are incorporated or referenced as relevant in this document.

For each section of the template, LEAs shall comply with instructions and should use the guiding questions as prompts (but not limits) for completing the information as required by statute. Guiding questions do not require separate narrative responses. However, the narrative response and goals and actions should demonstrate each guiding question was considered during the development of the plan. Data referenced in the LCAP must be consistent with the school accountability report card where appropriate. LEAs may resize pages or attach additional pages as necessary to facilitate completion of the LCAP.

#### **State Priorities**

The state priorities listed in Education Code sections 52060 and 52066 can be categorized as specified below for planning purposes, however, school districts and county offices of education must address each of the state priorities in their LCAP. Charter schools must address the priorities in Education Code section 52060(d) that apply to the grade levels served, or the nature of the program operated, by the charter school.

### A. Conditions of Learning:

**Basic:** degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned pursuant to Education Code section 44258.9, and fully credentialed in the subject areas and for the pupils they are teaching; pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials pursuant to Education Code section 60119; and school facilities are maintained in good repair pursuant to Education Code section 17002(d). (Priority 1)

**Implementation of State Standards:** implementation of academic content and performance standards and English language development standards adopted by the state board for all pupils, including English learners. (Priority 2)

**Course access:** pupil enrollment in a broad course of study that includes all of the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 7)

**Expelled pupils (for county offices of education only):** coordination of instruction of expelled pupils pursuant to Education Code section 48926. (Priority 9)

Foster youth (for county offices of education only): coordination of services, including working with the county child welfare agency to share information, responding to the needs of the juvenile court system, and ensuring transfer of health and education records. (Priority 10)

# **B. Pupil Outcomes:**

**Pupil achievement:** performance on standardized tests, score on Academic Performance Index, share of pupils that are college and career ready, share of English learners that become English proficient, English learner reclassification rate, share of pupils that pass Advanced Placement exams with 3 or higher, share of pupils determined prepared for college by the Early Assessment Program. (Priority 4)

**Other pupil outcomes:** pupil outcomes in the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Education Code section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 8)

# C. Engagement:

**Parental involvement:** efforts to seek parent input in decision making at the district and each schoolsite, promotion of parent participation in programs for unduplicated pupils and special need subgroups. (Priority 3)

**Pupil engagement:** school attendance rates, chronic absenteeism rates, middle school dropout rates, high school dropout rates, high school graduations rates. (Priority 5)

**School climate:** pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates, other local measures including surveys of pupils, parents and teachers on the sense of safety and school connectedness. (Priority 6)

#### Section 1: Stakeholder Engagement

Meaningful engagement of parents, pupils, and other stakeholders, including those representing the subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052, is critical to the LCAP and budget process. Education Code sections 52060(g), 52062 and 52063 specify the minimum requirements for school districts; Education Code sections 52066(g), 52068 and 52069 specify the minimum requirements for county offices of education, and Education Code section 47606.5 specifies the minimum requirements for charter schools. In addition, Education Code section 48985 specifies the requirements for translation of documents.

**Instructions:** Describe the process used to consult with parents, pupils, school personnel, local bargaining units as applicable, and the community and how this consultation contributed to development of the LCAP or annual update. Note that the LEA's goals, actions, services and expenditures related to the state priority of parental involvement are to be described separately in Section 2. In the annual update boxes, describe the stakeholder involvement process for the review, and describe its impact on, the development of the annual update to LCAP goals, actions, services, and expenditures.

#### **Guiding Questions:**

- 1) How have applicable stakeholders (e.g., parents and pupils, including parents of unduplicated pupils and unduplicated pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01; community members; local bargaining units; LEA personnel; county child welfare agencies; county office of education foster youth services programs, court-appointed special advocates, and other foster youth stakeholders; community organizations representing English learners; and others as appropriate) been engaged and involved in developing, reviewing, and supporting implementation of the LCAP?
- 2) How have stakeholders been included in the LEA's process in a timely manner to allow for engagement in the development of the LCAP?
- 3) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was made available to stakeholders related to the state priorities and used by the LEA to inform the LCAP goal setting process? How was the information made available?
- 4) What changes, if any, were made in the LCAP prior to adoption as a result of written comments or other feedback received by the LEA through any of the LEA's engagement processes?
- 5) What specific actions were taken to meet statutory requirements for stakeholder engagement pursuant to Education Code sections 52062, 52068, and 47606.5, including engagement with representatives of parents and guardians of pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01?
- 6) What specific actions were taken to consult with pupils to meet the requirements 5 CCR 15495(a)?
- 7) How has stakeholder involvement been continued and supported? How has the involvement of these stakeholders supported improved outcomes for pupils, including unduplicated pupils, related to the state priorities?

Involvement Process	Impact on LCAP
Subsequent to the adoption of the LCAP in June, 2015, the LCAP was posted on the Sierra Unified School District website and initial steps toward implementing the plan began.	Hired personnel to fit identified needs within the plan (academic coach, behavior aids, and Thinkery Counselor.
Upon their return to school, site staff reviewed the LCAP goals, expected outcomes and actions and services.	Each site and the departments/grade levels developed goals to support the implementation of the plan.
September 2015 – November 2015 – District LCAP Team Preparation. Collected available data on progress toward goals, began process of data analysis and discussed implications of data.	This process was a necessary step in preparing for community outreach. Discussed strategies for effectively engaging interested stakeholders and furthering meaningful input of all stakeholders.
February- March 2016 <u>LCAP Needs Survey</u> – online survey of school community members. Provided parent feedback regarding school program priorities.	Provided widespread input on community and staff priorities for Sierra Unified. Verified the importance of LCAP goal strategies: support for struggling learners, increased counseling services, career-technical education counseling and technology updates and access.
February 2, 2016: Meeting with District Management team.	Reviewed strategies and needs as identified by district level departments and school staffs. Yielded a prioritized list of needs to bring before the governing board. Resulted in improved strategies for meeting LCAP goals.
Stakeholder Outreach for LCAP Information and Input - Presented data on expected and actual outcomes, reviewed current and projected budget, invited staff to participate in LCAP Advisory process, reviewed eight state priorities, answered questions and gathered input at the following meetings:	Developed knowledge base and understanding among community members, staff members and students. Reviewed funding process and current funding obligations. Adjusted/revised Goal 1. Identified needs and considerations for adjusting strategies and data collected as evidence leading to a more
Sierra Unified Teachers Association April 11, 2016	representative LCAP. Identified members for the LCAP Advisory.
Sierra High School Student Leadership Meeting April 7, 2016	
Sierra Junior/Senior High School Faculty April 20, 2016	
California Schools Employee Association April 18, 2016	
Foothill Elementary School Faculty April 6, 2016	
Sierra High School Student Meeting April 7, 2016	
Sierra Junior High School Student Meeting May 4, 2016	
Foothill Elementary School Site Council May 2, 2016	

Sierra Junior-Senior High School Parent Meeting April 13, 2016

Native American Parent Advisory Committee April 21, 2016

LCAP Parent Advisory May 10, 2016 and May 17, 2016

Alternative Education Staff April 6, 2016

Follow-up stakeholder meetings were held with school personnel during which the suggestions made by all groups was shared

Sierra Junior/Senior High School Faculty May 4, 2016

Foothill Elementary School Faculty May 11, 2016

April 11, 2016 / May 9, 2016 – <u>SUSD Governing Board Meeting Updates</u> – presented district needs as identified by district management, school leadership, school site councils, and community input.

Responded to specific suggestions made by all groups. Acknowledged that most of the suggestions were already present in the current LCAP document. Increased access to counseling and technology is a recurring theme. Recommended to Governing Board to add counseling hours at the Sierra Junior/Senior High Campus.

Gained governing board for support for identified priorities. Maintained transparency and communication between advisory/community groups and governing board.

# **Annual Update:**

Stakeholder Outreach on Annual Update:

April 11, 2016 - Sierra Unified Teachers Association

April 7, 2016 - Sierra High School Student Leadership Meeting

May 4, 2016 - Sierra Junior/Senior High School Personnel

April 18, 2016 - California Schools Employee Association

April 6, 2016 - Foothill Elementary School Personnel

#### LCAP Parent Advisory Meetings:

The parent advisory committee, selected to include parents of targeted (unduplicated) students was formed by inviting parents and community members who were in attendance at other stakeholder meeting. The purpose of this committee was to bring together a group of parents, representative of our targeted groups, to provide input into the services provided, funded by the supplemental grant.

May 10, 2016

May 17, 2016

#### **Annual Update:**

Presented data on expected and actual outcomes, reviewed current and projected budget, invited staff to participate in LCAP Advisory process, reviewed eight state priorities, answered questions and gathered input.

Reviewed LCAP goals, reviewed and revised strategies, reviewed available data. Identified data sources of evidence of progress towards goals. This committee also helped to prioritize needs identified by all stakeholder groups. Final review of draft prior to LCAP hearing was conducting by members of the LCAP Parent Advisory Committee via email.

May 26, 2016 (via email)	
June 2, 2016 – Public Hearing	Reviewed goals, needs, actions/strategies and expected outcomes. Also reviewed stakeholder input via meetings and electronic survey. Call for final public input.
June 13, 2016 – General Meeting of Sierra Unified Governing Board	Approval of the 2016-2019 LCAP

# Section 2: Goals, Actions, Expenditures, and Progress Indicators Instructions:

All LEAs must complete the LCAP and Annual Update Template each year. The LCAP is a three-year plan for the upcoming school year and the two years that follow. In this way, the program and goals contained in the LCAP align with the term of a school district and county office of education budget and multiyear budget projections. The Annual Update section of the template reviews progress made for each stated goal in the school year that is coming to a close, assesses the effectiveness of actions and services provided, and describes the changes made in the LCAP for the next three years that are based on this review and assessment.

Charter schools may adjust the table below to align with the term of the charter school's budget that is submitted to the school's authorizer pursuant to Education Code section 47604.33.

For school districts, Education Code sections 52060 and 52061, for county offices of education, Education Code sections 52066 and 52067, and for charter schools, Education Code section 47606.5 require(s) the LCAP to include a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils, to be achieved for each state priority as defined in 5 CCR 15495(i) and any local priorities; a description of the specific actions an LEA will take to meet the identified goals; a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific actions; and an annual update to include a review of progress towards the goals and describe any changes to the goals.

To facilitate alignment between the LCAP and school plans, the LCAP shall identify and incorporate school-specific goals related to the state and local priorities from the school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. Furthermore, the LCAP should be shared with, and input requested from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, pupil advisory groups, etc.) to facilitate alignment between school-site and district-level goals and actions. An LEA may incorporate or reference actions described in other plans that are being undertaken to meet the goal.

Using the following instructions and guiding questions, complete a goal table (see below) for each of the LEA's goals. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Goal: Describe the goal:

When completing the goal tables, include goals for all pupils and specific goals for school sites and specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities, both at the LEA level and, where applicable, at the schoolsite level. The LEA may identify which school sites and subgroups have the same goals, and group and describe those goals together. The LEA may also indicate those goals that are not applicable to a specific subgroup or schoolsite.

Related State and/or Local Priorities: Identify the state and/or local priorities addressed by the goal by placing a check mark next to the applicable priority or priorities. The LCAP must include goals that address each of the state priorities, as defined in 5 CCR 15495(i), and any additional local priorities; however, one goal may address multiple priorities.

Identified Need: Describe the need(s) identified by the LEA that this goal addresses, including a description of the supporting data used to identify the need(s).

**Schools:** Identify the school sites to which the goal applies. LEAs may indicate "all" for all schools, specify an individual school or a subset of schools, or specify grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5).

Applicable Pupil Subgroups: Identify the pupil subgroups as defined in Education Code section 52052 to which the goal applies, or indicate "all" for all pupils.

**Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes**: For each LCAP year, identify and describe specific expected measurable outcomes for all pupils using, at minimum, the applicable required metrics for the related state priorities. Where applicable, include descriptions of specific expected measurable outcomes for school sites and specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities, both at the LEA level and at the schoolsite level.

The metrics used to describe the expected measurable outcomes may be quantitative or qualitative, although the goal tables must address all required metrics for every state priority in each LCAP year. The required metrics are the specified measures and objectives for each state priority as set forth in Education Code sections 52060(d) and 52066(d). For the pupil engagement priority metrics, LEAs must calculate the rates specified in Education Code sections 52060(d)(5)(B), (C), (D) and (E) as described in the Local Control Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template Appendix, sections (a) through (d).

**Actions/Services:** For each LCAP year, identify all annual actions to be performed and services provided to meet the described goal. Actions may describe a group of services that are implemented to achieve the identified goal.

**Scope of Service:** Describe the scope of each action/service by identifying the school sites covered. LEAs may indicate "all" for all schools, specify an individual school or a subset of schools, or specify grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5). If supplemental and concentration funds are used to support the action/service, the LEA must identify if the scope of service is districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide.

**Pupils to be served within identified scope of service**: For each action/service, identify the pupils to be served within the identified scope of service. If the action to be performed or the service to be provided is for all pupils, place a check mark next to "ALL."

For each action and/or service to be provided above what is being provided for all pupils, place a check mark next to the applicable unduplicated pupil subgroup(s) and/or other pupil subgroup(s) that will benefit from the additional action, and/or will receive the additional service. Identify, as applicable, additional actions and services for unduplicated pupil subgroup(s) as defined in Education Code section 42238.01, pupils redesignated fluent English proficient, and/or pupils subgroup(s) as defined in Education Code section 52052.

**Budgeted Expenditures:** For each action/service, list and describe budgeted expenditures for each school year to implement these actions, including where those expenditures can be found in the LEA's budget. The LEA must reference all fund sources for each proposed expenditure. Expenditures must be classified using the California School Accounting Manual as required by Education Code sections 52061, 52067, and 47606.5.

#### **Guiding Questions:**

- 1) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Conditions of Learning"?
- 2) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Pupil Outcomes"?
- 3) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to parent and pupil "Engagement" (e.g., parent involvement, pupil engagement, and school climate)?
- 4) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address any locally-identified priorities?
- 5) How have the unique needs of individual school sites been evaluated to inform the development of meaningful district and/or individual schoolsite goals (e.g., input from site level advisory groups, staff, parents, community, pupils; review of school level plans; in-depth school level data analysis, etc.)?
- 6) What are the unique goals for unduplicated pupils as defined in Education Code sections 42238.01 and subgroups as defined in section 52052 that are different from the LEA's goals for all pupils?
- 7) What are the specific expected measurable outcomes associated with each of the goals annually and over the term of the LCAP?
- 8) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was considered/reviewed to develop goals to address each state or local priority?
- 9) What information was considered/reviewed for individual school sites?
- 10) What information was considered/reviewed for subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052?
- 11) What actions/services will be provided to all pupils, to subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, to specific school sites, to English learners, to low-income pupils, and/or to foster youth to achieve goals identified in the LCAP?
- 12) How do these actions/services link to identified goals and expected measurable outcomes?
- 13) What expenditures support changes to actions/services as a result of the goal identified? Where can these expenditures be found in the LEA's budget?

					Related State and/or Local	Drioritios:
					<u> </u>	
		District will promote student achievement the		1 <u>x</u> 2 <u>x</u> 3 <u>4x</u> 5 <u>6</u>	_ 7 8 <u>_x</u> _	
GOAL:		king environment, ongoing professional dev			COE only: 9 10_	
	instructional materials/resources, professional learning com		communities (PLC	s), and fair compensation.	Local: Specify: <u>LEA Plan Addendur</u>	m priorities 1,2;
					SUSD Strategic Goals: 1,3,4	
Identified	. Heed .	<ol> <li>Highly qualified teachers for all students in the student in</li></ol>	als tified needs advancement of ion nd achievement	instructional practices aligned		
		Schools: All		<u> </u>		
Goal A	applies to:		cio-Economically udents, English Le		dents with Disabilities, Native American Stu	dents, Hispanic
			LCAP Ye	ar 1: 2016-2017		
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:  1. 100% highly qualified teaching staff. 2. CAASPP scores will improve to meet or exceed state a groups. 3. District Learning Assessment administrations #2 and #3. 4. All twelfth grade students will demonstrate writing profices. 5. District SAT results will meet or exceed state average. 6. The percentage of students taking at least one AP exact average. 7. Maintain student access to standards-aligned instructions. 8. Maintain the CTE pathway completion rate at 50% or all parents of students who are college ready or considered.			will improve an average of 5% ency by passing the school wrn and the percentage of studental materials as evidenced by the cove.	from previous administration. iting assessment. nts scoring 3,4 or 5 on AP exams will meet the Williams Act Report.	or exceed the state verage by 3%. e by 5%.	
	,	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served	within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
<ul><li>1a. Ensure appropriately credentialed, highly qualified teachers.</li><li>1b. Provide standards-aligned, district/state approved instructional materials.</li></ul>		All Schools  All Schools	Subgroups:(Specify) X_ALLLow Income pupilsEng	nated fluent English proficientOther	\$5,983,673 (\$5,927,319 LCFF, \$56.354 LCFF Supplemental) \$140,192 LCFF	

1c. Provide ongoing profefollowing areas:	essional learning and training in the in the			\$47,394 Title I
<ul><li>Kagan Structure</li><li>ELA Program in</li><li>CPM Program ir</li><li>Integration of tea</li></ul>			x_ALL _Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
1d. Utilize curriculum eml	bedded formative assessments monitor ards and guide instruction as needed.	All Schools	_x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$25,984 LCFF
progress toward meeting		Districtwide	_x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	See 1e above
awareness in elementary counseling at the second	•		_x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
	support through academic coaching and Curriculum and Instruction, to further	Districtwide	_x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$311,018 (\$192,703 LCFF Supplemental, \$118,315 Title
		LCAP Ye	ar 2: 2017-2018	,
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	groups. 3. District Learning Assessment administr 4. All twelfth grade students will demonstr 5. District SAT results will meet or exceed 6. The percentage of students taking at leaverage. 7. Maintain student access to standards-a 8. Maintain the CTE pathway completion 9. The percentage of students who are co	rations #2 and #3 rate writing profici I state average. east one AP examaligned instruction rate at 50% or abollege ready or cofitness Zone on P	verages in language arts and mathematics for all grade levels, all students will improve an average of 5% from previous administration. itency by passing the school writing assessment.  In and the percentage of students scoring 3,4 or 5 on AP exams will meet hal materials as evidenced by the Williams Act Report. Hoove.  Inditionally college ready, as demonstrated by the EAP will exceed state as thysical Fitness Testing in Grades 5, 7 and 9 will exceed the state average.	or exceed the state average by 3%. see by 5%.
	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
1a. Ensure appropriately	credentialed, highly qualified teachers.	All Schools	<u>x</u> ALL	\$6,225,413 LCFF

		All Schools	Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
1b. Provide standards-alig materials.	<ol> <li>Provide standards-aligned, district/state approved instructional materials.</li> </ol>		x_ALL Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$114,597 LCFF
following areas:      Kagan Structures     ELA Program im     CPM Program im     Integration of tec	ssional learning and training in the in the (for student engagement) plementation (new adoption) aplementation (mathematics) hnology into the classroom rning Communities	Districtwide	_x_ALL _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$45,494 Title I
1d. Utilize curriculum emb	edded formative assessments monitor rds and guide instruction as needed.	All Schools	x_ALL Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$25,984 LCFF
1e. Utilize district learning assessments to monitor student progress toward meeting State standards.		All Schools	x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	See 1d above
	career education program focusing on grades and practical experiences and ary glevel.	Districtwide	x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
1g. Provide instructional support through academic coaching and actions of the Director of Curriculum and Instruction, to further student learning.		Districtwide	x_ALL Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$323,583 (\$200,488 LCFF Supplemental, \$123,095 Title I)
		LCAP Y	ear 3: 2018-2019	
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	groups. 3. District Learning Assessment administ 4. All twelfth grade students will demonst 5. District SAT results will meet or excee 6. The percentage of students taking at l average.	rations #2 and #3 rate writing profice d state average. least one AP exa	verages in language arts and mathematics for all grade levels, all studer 3 will improve an average of 5% from previous administration. ciency by passing the school writing assessment.  m and the percentage of students scoring 3,4 or 5 on AP exams will meet anal materials as evidenced by the Williams Act Report.	

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Physical Fitness Testing in Grades 5, 7 and 9 will exceed the state avera  Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures	
1a. Ensure appropriately credentialed, highly qualified teachers.	All Schools	_x_ALL	\$6,476,920 LCF	
		Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)		
1b. Provide standards-aligned, district/state approved instructional materials.	All Schools	_x_ALL	\$114,597 LCFF	
		Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)		
1c. Provide ongoing professional learning and training in the in the following areas:	Districtwide	<u>x</u> ALL	\$45,494 Title I	
<ul> <li>Kagan Structures (for student engagement)</li> <li>ELA Program implementation (new adoption)</li> <li>CPM Program implementation (mathematics)</li> <li>Integration of technology into the classroom</li> <li>Professional Learning Communities</li> </ul>		Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)		
1d. Utilize curriculum embedded formative assessments monitor	All Schools	x_ALL	\$25,984 LCFF	
student mastery of standards and guide instruction as needed.		Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)		
1e. Utilize district learning assessments to monitor student	Districtwide	_x_ALL	See 1d above	
progress toward meeting State standards.		Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)		
1f. Develop a district-wide career education program focusing on awareness in elementary grades and practical experiences and	All Schools	<u>x</u> ALL		
counseling at the secondary level.		Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		
1g. Provide instructional support through academic coaching and actions of the Director of Curriculum and Instruction, to further student learning.	Districtwide	x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	\$336,656 (\$208,588 LCFF Supplemental, \$128,068 Title	

					Related State and/or Local Priorit	ies:
	Goal 2: T	he District will provide all students with a	high quality edu	cation and	1 <u>2 x 3</u> 4 <u>x 5 x 6</u> 7 <u>&gt;</u>	<b>6</b> 8
GOAL:	equity and	ty and access to a broad course of studies, focusing on 21st Ce			COE only: 9 10	
	preparation	on for college and career.			Local: Specify: _LEA Plan Addendum priorities 1,3,	. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8: SUSD
					Strategic Goals: 1,2, 3	
	_				between targeted student groups and district perform	nance levels.
		2. Increase enrollment in honors and advan			(EAD) as a literal was the sand English January	
		<ul> <li>3. Increase percentage of students identified</li> <li>4. A comprehensive program to engage and</li> </ul>			(EAP) as college ready in math and English- languages four through twelve	ge ans.
		5. Develop a district-wide program for Care	er Technical Educ	ation.	•	
Identified N	leed :				that Students who are English Learners make-up app	oroximately 1% of
		the district population, and of that group, as 7. Increase high school graduation rates.	many as 50% are	e foreign exchange	students who are in the state for one year or less.)	
		8. Increase the use curriculum embedded to	echnology across	disciplines.		
		9. A systematic approach to academic supp	ort and interventi		2.	
		10. A collaboratively developed district tech	nology plan.			
Goal An	plies to:		cio-Economically	Disadvantaged Students, Students with Disabilities, Native American Students, Hispanic		
	, poo to.		udents, English Le		adomo, otadomo mai biodomidos, italivo i inolican ot	adding, i nopaliio
			LCAP Ye	<b>ar 1:</b> 2016-2017		
		1. The percentage of students in all groups	meeting A-G col	ege entrance requi	irements will exceed the state average by 5%.	
				articipate in a program for advanced learners.		
	d Annual	Sevidence of a career component integra     Lincrease English Learner proficiency and			ies IK-12.	
Meas		5. Increase District high school graduation r			5%.	
Outco	omes:	6. Evidence of a board approved 5-year dis	trict-wide technological	ogy plan.		
		7. Evidence of a systematic, data-driven, ac 8. In grades K-6, 3% of the students identifi				
			Scope of			Budgeted
		Actions/Services	Service	Pupils to	be served within identified scope of service	Expenditures
		plended learning opportunities for students	Sandy Bluffs	<u>x</u> ALL		\$68,294 LCFF
courses.	e education	settings in order to provide access to A-G	Independent Study, Lodge			
Pole Indep Stud Bluffs		Pole				
		Independent	Low Income pu	ıpilsEnglish Learners Redesignated fluent English proficientOther		
		Study, Sandy		redesignated ildent English proficientOther		
		Continuation	3 - 1 - (5 - 5			
			High School			
2b. Provide	online cours	se access to students in need of credit		<u>x</u> ALL		

recovery to support A-G requirement completion and improved high school graduation rates.		Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)_Native American Students,	
2c.Provide academic and career counseling to all students and all targeted groups to support an increase in the percentage of all students meeting requirements for college admission and the completion of career pathways.	Sierra High School	_x_ALL  _x_Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify) Native American Students.	\$174,018 (LCFF Supplemental \$142,909, \$1,109 Base, Title I \$30,000)
2d. Develop an academic response to intervention program to provide greater access to a broad course of studies for students in grades 7-12.		x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify) Native American Students,	
2e. Implement a targeted, systemic approach to learning intervention and support in grades TK-6 in order to increase the percentage of students taking honors and advanced placement courses at the secondary level.	Foothill Elementary, Sierra Junior High, Sierra High School	_x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify) Native American Students,	\$165,395 — (\$125,222 Title I \$40,173 LCFF Supplemental
2f. Develop and implement the secondary structured program of advanced learning opportunities, available to a broad range of students in grades 4-12.	Foothill Elementary School	x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$1,500 LCFF
2g. Provide weekly access to instruction embedded technology in order to increase access and proficiency in 21 <sup>st</sup> Century Learning Skills.	Foothill Elementary School, Sierra Junior High, Sierra High	x_ALL Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$166,849 LCFF
2h. Provide relevant career-education pathways based on employability, earning potential and student interest in order to increase student achievement in career education.	High School	_x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$420,494 LCFF
2i. Provide professional training, oversight of service delivery, and support, to teachers of students with disabilities (SWD) to increase student access to a broad course of study.	Students in grades 7-12	x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$93,440 LCFF (Contribution to Special Education)
2j. Provide instructional para-professionals to increase engagement and support for students with disabilities to maximize course access.	All Schools and Districtwide	ALL Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _x_Other Subgroups:(Specify) _x Students with Disabilities	\$440,598 LCFF Supplemental \$123,920, \$316,678 Base (Contribution to Special

			Education)
2k. Provide paraprofessional for English Learner (EL) students to support them in accessing the curriculum.	Districtwide	ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _x_Other Subgroups:(Specify) Students with Disabilities	\$14,523 LCFF — Supplemental
	LCAP Ye	ear 2: 2017-2018	
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:  2. A minimum of 15% of the students in gra 3. Evidence of a career component integra 4. Increase English Learner proficiency an 5. Increase District high school graduation in 6. Evidence of a board approved 5-year dis 7. Evidence of a systematic, data-driven, and	ades 4-12 will pa ted across grade d reclassification rate for all student trict-wide technolocademic response	rates by 5%. ts in all groups by .5%.	
Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
2a. Provide online and blended learning opportunities for students in alternative education settings in order to provide access to A-G courses.	Sandy Bluffs Independent Study, Lodge Pole Independent Study, Sandy Bluffs Continuation High School	_x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$71,053 LCFF
2b. Provide online course access to students in need of credit recovery to support A-G requirement completion and improved high school graduation rates.	Sierra High School	x_ALLx_Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
2c.Provide academic and career counseling to all students and all targeted groups to support an increase in the percentage of all students meeting requirements for college admission and the completion of career pathways.	Districtwide	_x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$179,836 (LCFF Supplemental \$148,683, \$1,153 Base, Title I \$30,000)
2d. Develop an academic response to intervention program to provide greater access to a broad course of studies for students in grades 7-12.	Foothill Elementary School	x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
2e. Implement a targeted, systemic approach to learning	Foothill	<u>x</u> ALL	\$170,454

intervention and support in grades TK-6 in order to increase the percentage of students taking honors and advanced placement courses at the secondary level.	Elementary School, Sierra Junior High, Sierra High	Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	(\$130,281 Title I \$40,173 LCFF Supplemental	
2f. Develop and implement the secondary structured program of advanced learning opportunities, available to a broad range of students in grades 4-12.	All Schools	x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$1,500 LCFF	
2g. Provide weekly access to instruction embedded technology in order to increase access and proficiency in 21 <sup>st</sup> Century Learning Skills.	Sierra High School	_x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$173,590 LCFF	
2h. Provide relevant career-education pathways based on employability, earning potential and student interest in order to increase student achievement in career education.	Districtwide All Schools	_x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$437,482 LCFF	
2i. Provide professional training, oversight of service delivery, and support, to teachers of students with disabilities (SWD) to increase student access to a broad course of study.	Districtwide	ALL Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _x_Other Subgroups:(Specify)Students with Disabilities	\$97,215 LCFF (Contribution to Special Education)	
2j. Provide instructional para-professionals to increase engagement and support for students with disabilities to maximize course access.	Districtwide	ALL Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _x_Other Subgroups:(Specify)Students with Disabilities	\$440,598 LCFF Supplemental \$137,934, \$302,654 Base (Contribution to Special Education)	
2k. Provide paraprofessional for English Learner (EL) students to support them in accessing the curriculum.	Districtwide	ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _x_Other Subgroups:(Specify)Students with Disabilities	\$15,110 LCFF — Supplemental	
	LCAP Y	ear <b>3</b> : 2018-2019		
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:  1. The percentage of students in all groups meeting A-G college entrance requirements will exceed the state average by 5%. 2. A minimum of 15% of the students in grades 4-12 will participate in a program for advanced learners. 3. Evidence of a career component integrated across grade levels and disciplines TK-12. 4. Increase English Learner proficiency and reclassification rates by 5%. 5. Increase District high school graduation rate for all students in all groups by .5%. 6. Evidence of a board approved 5-year district-wide technology plan.				

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
2a. Provide online and blended learning opportunities for students in alternative education settings in order to provide access to A-G courses.	Sandy Bluffs Independent Study, Lodge Pole Independent Study, Sandy Bluffs Continuation High School	_x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	\$73,924 LCFF
2b. Provide online course access to students in need of credit recovery to support A-G requirement completion and improved high school graduation rates.	Sierra High School	_x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	
2c.Provide academic and career counseling to all students and all targeted groups to support an increase in the percentage of all students meeting requirements for college admission and the completion of career pathways.	District wide	_x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	\$185,890 (LCFF Supplemental \$154,690, \$1,200 Base, Title I \$30,000)
2d. Develop an academic response to intervention program to provide greater access to a broad course of studies for students in grades 7-12.	Foothill Elementary School	x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	
2e. Implement a targeted, systemic approach to learning intervention and support in grades TK-6 in order to increase the percentage of students taking honors and advanced placement courses at the secondary level.	Foothill Elementary School, Sierra Junior High, Sierra High	_x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	\$175,717 — (\$135,544 Title I \$40,173 LCFF Supplemental
2f. Develop and implement the secondary structured program of advanced learning opportunities, available to a broad range of students in grades 4-12.	All Schools	_x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	\$1,500 LCFF
2g. Provide weekly access to instruction embedded technology in order to increase access and proficiency in 21 <sup>st</sup> Century Learning Skills.	Sierra High School, Sierra Junior High	_x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	\$180,603 LCFF
2h. Provide relevant career-education pathways based on	Districtwide	_x_ALL	\$455,156 LCFF

employability, earning potential and student interest in order to increase student achievement in career education.		All Schools	Low Income pupilsEngl Foster YouthRedesigna Subgroups: (Specify)	ish Learners ated fluent English proficientOther			
		training, oversight of service delivery, and	Districtwide	ALL		\$101,142 LCFF	
	support, to teachers of students with disabilities (SWD) to increase student access to a broad course of study.			Low Income pupilsEngl Foster YouthRedesigna Subgroups:(Specify)Studer	ated fluent English proficient <u>x</u> Other	- (Contribution to Special Education)	
		para-professionals to increase engagement	Districtwide	ALL		\$440,598 LCFF	
and suppor access.	and support for students with disabilities to maximize course access.			Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _x_Other Subgroups:(Specify)Students with Disabilities		Supplemental \$137,934, \$302,654 Base (Contribution to Special Education)	
		ional for English Learner (EL) students to	All Schools	<u>x</u> ALL		\$15,720 LCFF Supplemental	
support the	support them in accessing the curriculum.				Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther		
					Related State and/or Local I	Priorities:	
		e District will provide a culture/climate that for				<u>c</u> 7 <u>     8  x                             </u>	
GOAL:		thy, orderly, physically and emotionally safe $\epsilon$ their full potential.	environment in wi			_	
	and rodon	and tall potential.				riorities 2,3,5,6,7,8	
1. Clean, well-maintained facilities as indicated by Facilities 2. Systematic behavior intervention strategies in grades TK 3. Continue to implement and review alternative methods of Restorative Discipline (RD). 4. Continue to refine and support Student Attendance Revie		es in grades TK tative methods of	through twelve to allow students student discipline, including Pos	sitive Behavior Interventions and Supports			
Goal Ap	plies to:	Schools: All Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All					
				ear 1: 2016-2017			
		1. Maintain 100% exemplary school ratings of	on school facilities	s conditions evaluations			
		2. Decrease the rate of school suspensions by 2%					
Expected	d Annual	3. Maintain the rate of student expulsion to n	•		groups		
Measu	urable	4. Increase attendance rate for all students a	and all student gro	oups to 94% or higher			
Outco		5. Decrease the chronic absenteeism rate by		•	,		
		6. The percentage of students in grades TK – 6 served in the Behavioral Response-to-Intervention (BRtI) program will be reduced by 2% from the previous year; a minimum of 20% of the students designated for Tier 2 services will be exited from the BRtI program by the end of the current school year.					

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures			
3a. Provide clean and well maintained facilities	All Schools	<u>x</u> ALL	\$3,260,012 LCFF			
		Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)				
3b. Provide safe student transportation to school and to and from school activities.	All Schools	_x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$1,416,797 LCFF			
3c. Continue to implement Positive Behavior Intervention and Support (PBIS), a positive approach to student behavioral		_x_ALL	No cost			
expectations, focused on clear expectations and positive reinforcement.		Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)				
3d. Continue to implement Restorative Discipline as means of retaining students on the comprehensive campuses by supporting	All Schools	_ <u>x_</u> ALL	\$40,593 LCFF			
the development on constructive conflict resolution.		Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)				
3e. Provide mental health services for low-income students who do not have access to private health care providers, as a means of	Districtwide	<u>x</u> ALL	\$246,762 — (\$174,586 LCFF			
increasing student engagement and addressing behaviors that interfere with academic progress. (behavioral RtI)		Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	Supplemental, \$72,176 LCFF - Contribution to Special Education)			
3f. Provide nursing services for students who may become injured at school and for those who have ongoing medical needs that must	All Schools	_x_ALL	\$112,885 LCFF			
be maintained at school.		Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)				
3g. Provide administrative and management staff to support the smooth operations of the school campus and ensure a physically	All Schools	_ <u>x_</u> ALL	\$709,846 LCFF			
safe campus and orderly learning environment.		Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)				
3h. Provide a well trained, customer focused office staff to assist in smooth schools operations.	All Schools	_x_ALL	\$382,648 LCFF			
SITIOULI SCHOOLS OPERALIONS.		Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)				

	urvey to gather input on student perceptions	All Schools,	<u>x</u> ALL	No cost						
of school climate.		Grades 4-12	Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners	-						
			Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther							
			Subgroups: (Specify)							
			ear 2: 2017-2018							
	1. Maintain 100% exemplary school ratings o	n school facilities	s conditions evaluations							
	2. Decrease the rate of school suspensions b	2. Decrease the rate of school suspensions by 2%								
	3. Maintain the rate of student expulsion to no	. Maintain the rate of student expulsion to no higher than .5% for all students and all student groups								
Expected Annual	4. Increase attendance rate for all students a	nd all student gro	oups to 94% or higher							
Measurable	5. Decrease the chronic absenteeism rate by	1% for all studer	nts and student groups, (equal to 11.8% of total student population)							
Outcomes:			Behavioral Response-to-Intervention (BRtI) program will be reduced by 2							
		•	<sup>2</sup> 2 services will be exited from the BRtl program by the end of the current	school year.						
	8. Establish baseline data for student survey	response to dete	etermine student perception of school culture and climate.							
	9. The average of parent responses to cultu		on the annual SUSD survey will be 4.0 or higher on a 5 point scale.							
	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures						
3a. Provide clean and v	well maintained facilities	All Schools	<u>x</u> ALL	\$2,254,559 LCFF						
3b. Provide safe studer	nt transportation to school and to and from	All Schools	Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify) _x_ALL	\$1,474,036 LCFF						
school activities.	•									
	ent Positive Behavior Intervention and		<u>x</u> ALL	No cost						
expectations, focused or reinforcement.	tive approach to student behavioral on clear expectations and positive		Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	-						
	ent Restorative Discipline as means of	All Schools	<u>x</u> ALL	\$42,233 LCFF						
the development on cor	ne comprehensive campuses by supporting instructive conflict resolution.		Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)							
	Ith services for low-income students who do	All Schools	<u>x</u> ALL	\$256,731						
means of increasing stu	ate health care providers, students as a udent engagement and addressing behaviors emic progress. (behavioral RtI)		Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	- (\$181,639 LCFF Supplemental, \$75,092 LCFF - Contribution to						

				Education)
	vices for students who may become injured who have ongoing medical needs that must ol.	All Schools	x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$117,446 LCFF
smooth operations of t	tive and management staff to support the he school campus and ensure a physically rly learning environment.	All Schools	_x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$738,524 LCFF
3h. Provide a well train smooth schools operate	ed, customer focused office staff to assist in ions.	All Schools	x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	\$398,107 LCFF
3i. Develop a student s of school climate.	survey to gather input on student perceptions	All Schools, Grades 4-12	x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	No cost
		LCAP Ye	ear 3: 2018-2019	·
	<ol> <li>Maintain 100% exemplary school ratings of the control of the control</li></ol>	oy 2%		
Francista d Americal	4. Increase attendance rate for all students a	and all student gro	oups to 94% or higher	
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	6. The percentage of students in grades TK - year; a minimum of 20% of the students de	<ul> <li>6 served in the esignated for Tier</li> </ul>	nts and student groups, (equal to 11.8% of total student population) Behavioral Response-to-Intervention (BRtI) program will be reduced by a 2 services will be exited from the BRtI program by the end of the currentermine student perception of school culture and climate.	
	9. The average of parent responses to cultur	e/climate items o	n the annual SUSD survey will be 4.0 or higher on a 5 point scale.	
	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
3a. Provide clean and well maintained facilities		All Schools	_x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	\$2,345,643 LCFF
3b. Provide safe stude	nt transportation to school and to and from	All Schools	<u>x</u> ALL	\$1,533,588 LCFF

school activities.		Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)					
3c. Continue to implement Positive Behavior Intervention and Support (PBIS), a positive approach to student behavioral expectations, focused on clear expectations and positive reinforcement.		x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	No cost				
3d. Continue to implement Restorative Discipline as means of retaining students on the comprehensive campuses by supporting the development on constructive conflict resolution.	All Schools	x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)					
3e. Provide mental health services for low-income students who do not have access to private health care providers, students as a means of increasing student engagement and addressing behaviors that interfere with academic progress. (behavioral RtI)	All Schools	_x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	\$267,103  (\$188,977 LCFF Supplemental, \$78,126 LCFF - Contribution to Special Education)				
3f. Provide nursing services for students who may become injured at school and for those who have ongoing medical needs that must be maintained at school.	All Schools		\$122,191 LCFF				
3g. Provide administrative and management staff to support the smooth operations of the school campus and ensure a physically safe campus and orderly learning environment.	Ind management staff to support the hool campus and ensure a physically All Schools  All Schools ALL						
3h. Provide a well trained, customer focused office staff to assist in smooth schools operations.	All Schools	x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	\$414,191 LCFF				
3i. Develop a student survey to gather input on student perceptions of school climate.	All Schools, Grades 4-12	_x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	No cost				

					D-1-4-d 04-4	Daireitiere	
GOAL:		ne District will provide students, parents, family ies to serve as partners in the education of the					
Identified N	Need :	Full parent participation in School Site Co     Establish an English Learner Advisory Co     Improved school to home/community com     Increase in the percentage of parents who	ouncil (ELAC) nmunication	r input is welcome			
Goal Ap	pplies to:		cio-Economically Idents, English L		ents with Disabilities, Native American St	udents, Hispanic	
			LCAP Ye	ear 1: 2016-2017			
	ted Annual ole Outcomes	1. Full participation of elected, parent repr 2. Improve or maintain school-to-home co 3. 87% or greater of the parents responding	mmunications ra	itings on parent surveys.			
		Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served	within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures	
4a. Provide a welcoming and safe environment, for students who require before and after school care as an increase in school services for families.		All Schools	_x_ALLLow Income pupilsEngFoster YouthRedesignal Subgroups:(Specify)	lish Learners ated fluent English proficientOther	\$6,744 net cost LCFF		
		ies for parent engagement through		_x_ALL		No cost	
Native Ame	erican Educa LCAP Adviso	and district level committees, including; ition Parent Advisory Committee, School Site ry Committee and Parent-Faculty		Low Income pupilsEng Foster YouthRedesigna Subgroups:(Specify)	lish Learners ated fluent English proficientOther		
		nt surveys to increase parent input on	All Schools	<u>x</u> ALL		\$700 LCFF	
school programs and services and gain feedback on engagement and school climate.				Low Income pupilsEng Foster YouthRedesigna Subgroups:(Specify)_	lish Learners ated fluent English proficientOther		
		pal Advisory meetings to promote open		<u>x</u> ALL		No cost	
communication with parents.							
4e.Maintain up-to-date website information and calendar for the district and all schools, and publish monthly communications in the local paper as means to increase communication.			All Schools	_x_ALL Low Income pupils Eng	lish Learners ated fluent English proficientOther	\$5,000 LCFF	
				Subgroups: (Specify)	ated fident English proficientOther		

	rricular programs such as athletics, FFA,	Sierra Junior	<u>x</u> ALL	\$137,127 LCFF
and performing arts in ord	der to increase student engagement.	High; Sierra High School	Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	
4g. Establish an English L	anguage Advisory Council (ELAC) to	All Schools	<u>x</u> ALL	No Cost
better serve our English L	earning students.		Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	
		LCAP Y	ear 2: 2017-2018	
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	<ol> <li>Full participation of elected, parent rep</li> <li>Improve or maintain school-to-home co</li> <li>87% or greater of the parents respond</li> </ol>	ommunications ra	atings on parent surveys.	
	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
	and safe environment, for students who chool care as an increase in school	All Schools	<u>x</u> ALL	\$6,744 net cost LCFF
services for families.	Shoot care as an increase in school		Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	LOFF
4b. Increase opportunities	for parent engagement through		<u>x</u> ALL	No cost
Native American Education	d district level committees, including; on Parent Advisory Committee, School Site Committee and Parent-Faculty		Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
	surveys to increase parent input on	All Schools	<u>x</u> ALL	\$700 LCFF
and school climate.	vices and gain feedback on engagement		Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
	Advisory meetings to promote open		<u>x</u> ALL	No cost
communication with parents.			Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	
	ebsite information and calendar for the nd publish monthly communications in the	All Schools	<u>x</u> ALL	\$5,000 LCFF
local paper as means to it			Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	
	irricular programs such as athletics, FFA, der to increase student engagement.	All Schools	<u>x_</u> ALL	\$137,127 LCFF
and penoming and in ord	er to morease student engagement.		Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	

4g. Establish an English Language Advisory Council (ELAC) to	All Schools	<u>x</u> ALL	No Cost
better serve our English Learning students.		Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	
	LCAP Y	ear <b>3</b> : 2018-2019	
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:  1. Full participation of elected, parent rep 2. Improve or maintain school-to-home of 3. 87% or greater of the parents respond	ommunications ra	atings on parent surveys.	
Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
4a. Provide a welcoming and safe environment, for students who	TK-6	_x_ALL	\$6,744 net cost LCFF
require before and after school care as an increase in school services for families.		Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	LOFF
4b. Increase opportunities for parent engagement through participation on school and district level committees, including;		<u>x_</u> ALL	No cost
Native American Education Parent Advisory Committee, School Site Councils, LCAP Advisory Committee and Parent-Faculty Associations.		Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	
4c. Provide online parent surveys to increase parent input on	All Schools	<u>x</u> ALL	\$700 LCFF
school programs and services and gain feedback on engagement and school climate.		Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	
4d. Hold regular Principal Advisory meetings to promote open communication with parents.		<u>x</u> ALL	No cost
communication with parents.		Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	
4e.Maintain up-to-date website information and calendar for the district and all schools, and publish monthly communications in the	All Schools	<u>x</u> ALL	\$5,000 LCFF
local paper as means to increase communication.		Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	
4f. Provide relevant co-curricular programs such as athletics, FFA, and performing arts in order to increase student engagement.	Sierra Junior High and	<u>x</u> ALL	\$137,127 LCFF
	Sierra High School	Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	
4g. Provide relevant co-curricular programs such as athletics, FFA, and performing arts in order to increase student engagement.	Sierra Junior High and	x_ALL	\$137,127 LCFF
and performing and in order to increase stadent engagement.	Sierra High School	Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	

#### **Annual Update**

Annual Update Instructions: For each goal in the prior year LCAP, review the progress toward the expected annual outcome(s) based on, at a minimum, the required metrics pursuant to Education Code sections 52060 and 52066. The review must include an assessment of the effectiveness of the specific actions. Describe any changes to the actions or goals the LEA will take as a result of the review and assessment. In addition, review the applicability of each goal in the LCAP.

#### **Guiding Questions:**

- 1) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all pupils and did the provisions of those services result in the desired outcomes?
- 2) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, including, but not limited to, English learners, low-income pupils, and foster youth; and did the provision of those actions/services result in the desired outcomes?
- 3) How have the actions/services addressed the identified needs and goals of specific school sites and were these actions/services effective in achieving the desired outcomes?
- 4) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was examined to review progress toward goals in the annual update?
- 5) What progress has been achieved toward the goal and expected measurable outcome(s)? How effective were the actions and services in making progress toward the goal? What changes to goals, actions, services, and expenditures are being made in the LCAP as a result of the review of progress and assessment of the effectiveness of the actions and services?
- 6) What differences are there between budgeted expenditures and estimated actual annual expenditures? What were the reasons for any differences?

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals in the prior year LCAP. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Original GC from prior y LCAP: Goal Applie	instruction aligned to Common Core St personnel.  Schools: District Wide	ate Standards CC	
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	1. 100% highly qualified teaching staff  2. Math textbook adoption for grades TK-6  3. Teacher survey on teacher confidence in instruction and knowledge of CCSS will increase by 10%	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	1.100% of the teachers employed with SUSD are Highly Qualified.  At this time there are no vacancies and all teachers are assigned within their credentialed area.  The expected outcome was achieved for this item.  2. Standards Aligned Instructional Materials:  The first CAASPP results were received in late August of 2015. Those results revealed a greater need for program resources in reading and language arts than for mathematics when compared to outcomes at the state. FES students performed below the state average in ELA for grades 3-6. Thirty-five percent of FES students performed below the state average in ELA for grades 3-6. Thirty-five percent of FES students performed below the state average in math at the state level met or exceeded the standard while forty-one of the students at the state level met or exceeded the standard. In contrast, FES students performed above the state average in math.  Engage New York materials are being piloted in grades TK-6. Due to the poor ratings regarding alignment of currently adopted K-8 mathematics textbooks, elementary staff is continuing to review options for more suitable, more closely aligned materials prior to adoption. Elementary teachers have elected to continue working with Engage New York Math program. Math adoption for grades TK-6 are expected to take place in the spring of 2017  The expected outcome was partially achieved, see narrative above.  3. Teacher Survey Data  Survey Item:  Understanding of CCSS for grade/content area taught  Overall status in transitioning to CCSS  76  Completion of working, useful curriculum map  G8  Completion of aligned curriculum units  Completion of aligned assessments  The expected outcome was

District Learning Assessment     administrations #2 and #3 will improve an	4. District Learning Assessment administrations #2 and #3 will improve an average of 5% from previous administration
average of 5% from previous administration	English-Language Arts - District Learning Assessment - Percent Growth 2015-2016
	All White Native Hispanic SED SWD
	Grade A1 A2 A3 G A1 A2
	G2 31 46 32 49 29 39 29 42 30 44 28 33 G3 24 32 26 33 11 19 17 39 16 29 15 23
	G4 26 35 30 40 17 22 23 29 21 29 13 21
	G6 26 33 28 36 18 25 27 35 21 29 20 22
	G7     30     36     32     38     25     35     23     28     27     34     12     15       G8     26     39     27     42     20     29     24     35     22     35     13     21
	G9 44 43 56 13 48 47 61 14 32 35 47 12 36 35 50 11 37 37 50 13 23 25 33 8 G10 44 40 55 15 45 40 56 16 38 36 49 13 45 42 59 17 41 37 54 17 19 16 30 14
	G11 45 45 0 46 44 -2 38 30 -8 43 39 -4 41 41 0 14 19 5
	Mathematics - District Learning Assessment - Percent Growth 2015-2016
	All White Native Hispanic SED SWD
	Grade A1 A2 A3 G A1 A2
	G2 41 61 47 63 42 65 36 51 40 59 36 53 G3 16 27 18 29 11 17 17 33 16 26 15 15
	G4 22 34 25 40 15 20 18 30 15 26 13 25 G5 34 29 36 31 38 27 29 21 31 26 26 27
	G6 17 30 13 18 33 15 12 21 9 20 30 10 14 24 10 10 15 5
	G7 20 27 7 21 28 7 20 27 7 14 20 6 18 23 5 9 11 2 G8 8 14 6 8 15 7 7 12 5 8 13 5 8 12 4 8 12 4
	HSM1 12 15 17 5 12 16 19 7 10 15 15 5 12 12 14 14 14 3 9 11 15 6 HSM2 12 10 17 5 13 11 17 4 11 8 19 11 12 10 18 8 11 10 17 7 7 7 13 6
	HSM3 17 26 9 17 26 9 19 17 -2 19 23 4 17 25 8
	The expected outcome was

		chool Write; average 60% pass rate		5. All School Write pass ra	ate of	60%											
	for all s	student groups in grades 7-12		2015-16 All-School Write Results for Grades 7-8 by Student Group FALL ADMINISTRATION								р					
					Al		Wł			<u>IINIS I</u> panic				ED	SI	VD	
					#	%	#	%	#	%	#	% %	#	% %	#	<b>%</b>	
				Tested	165	70	121	70	22	70	17	70	# 58	70	8	70	
				Met/Exceeded Standard	40	24	32	26	2	9	5	29	15	26	2	17	
				DN Meet Standard	125	76	89	74	20	91	12	71	43	74	6	83	
				203	15-16 <i>P</i>	All-Scl	hool W	/rite R	esults	for G	irades	9-12 k	y Stu	dent G	roup		
								FALL	ADM	1INIST	RATIO	<u>N</u>	•		·		
					Al Stude		Wł	nite	His	panic	Nat. /	Amer.	S	SED	S۱	VD	
					#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
				Tested	430		327		47		57		141		46		
				Met/Exceeded Standard	208	47	161	49	27	57	17	30	53	38	4	9	
				DN Meet Standard	231	53	166	51	20	42	40	70	88	62	42	91	
				In an effort to more closely align staff worked together to redesign assessment as opposed to a sur  The expected outcome  CAP Year: 2015-2016	n the as mmative	sessr e asse	nent to essmer	be adn it as in	niniste years	ered ea past.	arlier in	the ye					
	Dlann	ed Actions/Services	_	1041. 2010 2010	^ ~	tual	A ation	-0/Cor	vioos								
	Piann	led Actions/Services		Actual Actions/Services									Estimated_Actual				
			Budgeted Expenditures													Annı	_
1a. Ensure app teachers	oropriate	ly credentialed, highly qualified	\$5,819,078 LCFF	1a. SUSD teachers are 10 teachers in all classroo		prop	riatel	/ cred	entia	led a	nd hig	ghly qı	ualifie	ed	\$5,74	1,274	LCFF
Scope of servi	ce:	Districtwide		Scope of service:		Di	strictv	vide									
<u>x</u> ALL				<u>x</u> ALL													
	hRed	English Learners lesignated fluent English proficient pecify)		Low Income pupilsE Foster YouthRedesi Subgroups:(Specify)_	gnated	d flue	ent En										
	andards-a	aligned, District approved	\$114,597 LCFF \$14,597,	1b. Textbooks for mathem language arts curriculum v grades TK-6 is scheduled	vas ad	opte	d in J	une 20	016.	Math	curric	ed. Er culum	nglish for				.CFF 100,000

		\$100,000 one-time					
Scope of service:	Districtwide	One-time	Scope of service:	Districtwide			
_x_ALL			_x_ALL				
Low Income pupils _ Foster YouthRec Other Subgroups:(Sp	lesignated fluent English proficient		Low Income pupilsEnglish Foster YouthRedesignated Subgroups:(Specify)	Learners I fluent English proficientOther			
development of curr	ofessional learning and training in the riculum/assessment guides, research-strategies, and curriculum	Title I \$45,494	coaches in the areas of standard Curriculum development/pacing i	release time and training by academic s-based grading (elementary) and n math grades 7-12. Training in Kagan group of teachers and leaders to address engagement.	Title I \$47,394, LCFF \$2,400		
Scope of service:	Districtwide		Scope of service:	Districtwide			
_x_ALL	•		_x_ALL				
Low Income pupils _ Foster YouthRec Other Subgroups:(Sp	lesignated fluent English proficient		Low Income pupilsEnglish Foster YouthRedesignated Subgroups:(Specify)_	Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			
	de formative assessments and network to monitor student learning nal decisions.	\$25,984 LCFF	<ul> <li>1d. All teachers were provided traassessment data base.</li> <li>The DLA was administered 3 language arts and math.</li> <li>All content areas in grades 7 assessments in place.</li> </ul>	\$25,984 LCFF			
Scope of service:	Districtwide		Scope of service:	Districtwide			
_x_ALL			_x_ALL				
Low Income pupils _ Foster YouthRec Other Subgroups:(Sp	lesignated fluent English proficient		Low Income pupilsEnglish Foster YouthRedesignated Subgroups:(Specify)	Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			
1e. Support implementa through academic c	ation of common core standards oaching.	\$159,087 LCFF Supplemental	1e. Two full-time academic coach 12.	1e. Two full-time academic coaches were provided for teachers in grades TK-12.			
Scope of service:	Districtwide		Scope of service: Districtwide				
<u>x</u> ALL			<u>x</u> ALL				
Low Income pupils _ Foster YouthRec Other Subgroups:(Sp	lesignated fluent English proficient		Low Income pupilsEnglish Foster YouthRedesignated Subgroups:(Specify)_	Learners I fluent English proficientOther			

learning communitie	support to implement profes (PLC) in order to provide a development and instruct	a means	See 1c above	collaboration with grade level coll basis.	ve been provided with daily prep to facilitate leagues on an ongoing, teacher-initiated gies for student engagement and PLC	See 1c above
Scope of service:	Districtwide			Scope of service:	Districtwide	
_x_ALL				_x_ALL		
Foster YouthRed	Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)			Low Income pupilsEnglish Foster YouthRedesignated Subgroups:(Specify)		
expenditures will b reviewing past progr	ctions, services, and e made as a result of ess and/or changes to als?	instruction 2. The pro Greater e 3. An add standards 4. District 5. Based in grades mathemat 6. The add the fall of	n, and the use of to cess for teacher inphasis will be gi itional academic of the wide training in Kon CAASPP outco TK-12 and continuities curriculum will ministration of the the year as oppose	technology as an instructional tool. feedback related to instruction was iven to providing purposeful feedbaccoach was be added to support team (agan structures will take place in the omes the decision was made to move with the currently approved Engill be adopted in the spring of 2017.	chers in curriculum and instructional transition the fall of 2016. Eve forward with the adoption of English languages. The page New York materials in grades TK-6 math as re-designated as a diagnostic assessment the assessment in the spring in previous years.	site leadership.  to the new age arts curriculum ematics. TK-6 and administered in

Original G from prior LCAP	year		student in all sub-groups w for college and/or career.	ill graduate from Sie	erra Unified w	rith 21st	Centu	ıry		al : Spe	2 <u>x</u>	3 4 JSD LE	4 <u>x</u> 5 EA Pla	or Local   5 6 n Adder	7 <u>x</u>	8 <u>x</u>	5, 6, 7 <u>,</u>
Goal Applies to:  Schools: All Schools  Applicable Pupil Subgroups: White, Native American, Hispanic, Socio-E Learners								nomic	ally Disa	advanta	iged, St	udents	with [	Disabiliti	es, an	d Englis	h
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Expected Annual Measurable  1. Increase the percentage of students in all groups meeting A-G college entrance requirements by 2%				1. Percentar 201 Year 2015 Alt. Ed. SHS District		ntage	of Gra		Meetin			Entra	(A-G): nce Req SET # Grads 6 35 41		ents  SW # Gr 12 1 4 5	D % A-G 0 0

Year					Na	ative						
2014	All Stud	lents	W	hite	Ame	erican	Hisp	anic	SEI	)	SW	/D
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
	Grads	A-G	Grads	A-G	Grads	A-G	Grads	A-G	Grads	A-G	Gr 12	1
Alt. Ed.	16	0	12	0	2	0	1	0	7	0	2	
SHS	127	38.6	90	46.7	17	17.6	17	17.6	37	27.0	5	
District	143	34.4	102	41.2	19	15.8	18	16.7	44	22.7	7	

Overall the percentage of students meeting A-G requirements increased by 5%. The percentage of targeted groups of students meeting the A-G requirements are as follows: socio-economically disadvantaged students increased by 4.1%; Hispanic students increased by 14.6% and Native American students decreased by 14.6%. Only Native American students did not meet the expected outcome.

#### The expected outcome was mostly achieved for this item.

#### 2. Enrollment in honors classes:

2. Increase the enrollment in honors courses by

2015-2016 Honors Courses - Unduplicated Enrollment

Group	Enr	,	All	٧	Vhite		1	Vative	)	His	par	ic	S	SED		S	WD	
Grade	# TS	# H	% H	#TS Grp	# H	% H												
9	99	45	45	62	35	56	20	4	20	17	6	35	49	14	29	12	0	0
10	123	55	45	91	46	51	17	6	35	10	2	20	46	12	26	17	0	0
11	104	12	12	84	9	11	9	2	22	10	1	10	35	9	26	7	0	0
Total	326	112	34.3	237	90	38	46	12	27	47	9	19.5	130	35	27	36	0	0

2014-2015 Honors Courses - Unduplicated Enrollment

Group	Enr	,	ΑII	,	White	<del>)</del>		Nativ	е	His	par	ic	S	ED		S	WD	
Grade	# TS	# H	% H	#TS Grp	# H	% H	#TS Grp	# H	% H	#TS Grp	# H	% H	#TS Grp	# H	% H	#TS Grp	# H	% H
9	126	39	31.0	93	34	36.6	19	3	15.8	8	1	12.5	38	6	15.8	19	0	0
10	119	45	37.8	93	39	41.9	12	2	16.7	10	3	30.0	46	8	17.4	17	0	0
11	126	18	14.3	93	14	15.1	14	1	7.1	16	1	6.3	43	0	0	10	0	0
Total	371	118	31.8	279	102	36.6	45	6	13.3	34	6	17.6	127	14	11.0	36	0	0

Overall the enrollment in honors classes increased by 2.5%. The rates for honors course enrollment for targeted groups are as follows: Native American students increased by 13.7%; Hispanic students increased by 1.9%; socio-economically disadvantaged students increased by 16%.

The expected outcome was achieved for this item.

3. Increase the enrollment in advanced placement courses by 2%

4. Increase the number/percentage of students scoring 3,4, or 5 on advanced placement exams for all student groups by 2%

# 3. Enrollment in advanced placement courses

2015-2016 Advanced Placement Courses - Enrollment by Student Group

Group	Enr	P	All		Whit	е		Nativ	/e	Hi	span	ic		SED		,	SWD	
Grade	# TS	# AP	%	#TS Grp	# AP	% AP												
11	104	39	37.5	84	33	39.3	9	1	11.1	10	4	40.0	35	8	22.9	0	0	0
12	105	30	28.6	70	26	37.1	14	1	7.1	16	2	12.5	35	5	14.3	0	0	0
Total	209	69	33.0	154	49	31.8	23	2	8.7	26	6	23.1	40	13	32.5	0	0	0

# 2014-2015 Advanced Placement Courses - Enrollment by Student Group

Group	Enr	F	All		Whit	е		Nativ	/e	Hi	span	ic		SED		,	SWD	
	#	#		#TS	#	%	#TS	#	%	#TS	#	%	#TS	#	%	#TS	#	%
Grade	TS	AP	%	Grp	AP	AP	Grp	AP	AP	Grp	AP	AP	Grp	AP	AP	Grp	AP	AP
11	126	41	32.5	73	33	45.2	11	1	9.1	14	4	28.6	31	6	19.4	6	0	0
12	148	30	20.0	97	23	23.7	21	2	9.5	21	3	14.3	41	7	17.1	18	0	0
Total	274	71	25.9	190	56	29.5	32	3	9.4	35	7	20.0	72	13	18.1	24	0	0

Overall the percentage of students taking AP courses increased by 7.1 percent. The percentage of target student groups taking AP courses improved at the following rate: Native American students, -.7%; Hispanic, 3.1%; socio-economically disadvantaged students, 14.4%. Only Native American students did not meet the expected outcomes.

#### The expected outcome was mostly achieved for this item, substantial progress made.

#### 4. Advanced Placement Performance Outcomes

Advanced Placement Pass Rates

Percent of Students Scoring 3 or Higher

Year	%
2015	69
2014	51

#### Percent of Students Grades 10-12 Taking

#### Advanced Placement Courses

/ tavant	od i labolila	nit Oddiood
Year	Enrolled	Students
	Grades	Taking 1 or
	10-12	more exams
2015	355	19.2%
2014	429	14.7%

The percentage of students scoring a 3,4, or 5 on AP exams increased by 4.7%.

 Increase the number/percentage of students taking college entrance exams for all student groups by 1% and improve the average scores on SAT for all students in all groups by 1%.

 Increase the percentage of students identified as college ready on the Early Assessment Program (EAP) in Language Arts and Math for all student groups by 5%

#### The expected outcome was achieved for this item.

5. Percentage of students taking the College Board SAT exam:

2015 Percentage of SAT Takers by Student Group

Year	All Stud	ents	White		Native Americ	an	Hispa	nic	SED		SWD	
		% SAT	# Grads	% SAT	# Grads	% SAT	# Grads	% SAT	# Grads	% SAT	# Grads	% SAT
SHS	125	38.4	82	45.1	19	21.1	16	25.0	39	25.6	11	0
Alt Ed	11	0		0		0		0		0		0

2014 Percentage of SAT Takers by Student Group

Year	All Stud	ents	White		Native Americ		Hispa	nic	SED		SWD	
	# Seniors	% SAT	# Grads	% SAT	# Grads	% SAT	# Grads	% SAT	# Grads	% SAT	# Grads	% SAT
SHS	132	41.4	85	48.4	19	10.5	20	35.3	34	25.8	13	0
Alt Ed	16	0	12	0	2	0	1	0	7	0	2	0

Average SAT Scores by Subject and Year

(Includes 11<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> Grade Students)

Year	Reading	Math	Writing
2015	522	500	501
2014	530	511	510

While the overall percentage of students taking the SAT exam showed negative growth, the percentage of Native American students taking the SAT increased by 10.6%.

The performance of students who took the SAT in 2015 declined by 1.5% in Reading, 2.1% in Mathematics, and 1.8% in Writing.

#### The expected outcome was not achieved for this item.

<u>6</u>. Baseline percentage of students identified as college ready on the Early Assessment:

Program (EAP) in language arts and math for all student groups.

EAP Identified as College Ready - Spring 2015 - Mathematics

Readiness Level	All	White	Native	Hispanic	SED	SWD
College Ready	6	5	0	1	1	0
Conditional	30	23	1	2	4	0
Not Yet Ready	32	26	0	6	11	4
Not Ready	19	9	7	3	7	0
Total Tested	87	63	8	12	23	4
# Students Grade 11	126	73	11	14	32	6

EAP Identified as College Ready - Spring 2015 - Language Arts

		- / -	3	3	3	
Readiness Level	All	White	Native	Hispanic	SED	SWD
College Ready	37	30	1	3	8	0

Conditional	33	24	2	7	8	0
Not Yet Ready	11	6	3	2	5	2
Not Ready	11	7	3	1	5	3
Total	92	67	9	13	26	5
# Students Grade 11	126	73	11	14	32	6

#### EAP Identified as College Ready - Math and Language Arts - Spring 2014

Readiness Level	Mathematics	English**
College Ready	3	
Conditional	23	
Not Yet Ready	30	
Not Applicable	1	
# Students grade 11	148	

<sup>\*\*</sup> Due to testing error, English assessments were not scored by ETS

The percentage of all students identified as ready for college in math, based on the EAP increased from 17.6% to 28.6%, an increase of 11% over the previous year and 3% above the state average. No scores were available for English-Language Arts in 2014, however; the scores for Sierra students were eight percentage points higher than those for the state (Sierra = 62%; State 54%).

#### The expected outcome for this item was achieved.

7. Percentage of students who qualify and participate in GATE programs for all student groups

2015-2016 GATE Qualified – By Year and Student Group

	All Students White		Native American		Hispanic		SED		SWD			
	#	#	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Year	Enr	Gate	Enr	Gate	Enr	Gate	Enr	Gate	Enr.	Gate	Enr.	Gate
2015-2016	1311	37.7	879	37.7	196	19.4	184	19.6	557	22.4	155	6.5

2014-2015 GATE Qualified – By Year and Student Group

		All Students White		Native American		Hispanic		SED		SWD		
	#	#	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Year	Enr	Gate	Enr	Gate	Enr	Gate	Enr	Gate	Enr.	Gate	Enr.	Gate
2014-15	1309	262	882	23.9	197	9.6	179	11.7	553	11.6	158	1.9

Even though the percentage of students qualifying for GATE increased, there was no formalized system or program for advanced learners at the elementary level. In this case, the actual program us more important than the qualifications of the students. In the 2016-2017 school year, this strategy will be adjusted to address the need for a program for students.

#### The expected outcome for this item was not achieved.

#### 8. CTE Pathway Completers

35

7. Improve or maintain the percentage of

students who qualify for GATE programs for all student groups by .5% up to 25%

<ol> <li>Increase CTE pathway completion rates fo all students and all subgroups by 1% up to 50%</li> </ol>

9. Establish baseline data for CAASPP

	2014 - 2015 CTE Pathway Completers by Student Group													
	All				Na	tive								
	Stude	ents	White American		rican	Hispanic		SED		SWD				
Year	# Enr	#PC	# Enr	% PC	# Enr	% PC	# Enr	%PC	# Enr.	% PC	# Enr.	% PC		
2014-15	232	108	157	68	31	39	31	58	76	36	16	13		
2013-14	268	136	173	51	32	78	30	57	75	60	18	44		

Enrollment in included grades 11 and 12 PC = CTE Pathway Completers

The pathway completion rate declined by 3% overall to 47%. The completion rate for socio-economically disadvantaged and Native American students fell below 50%.

# The expected outcome for this item was partially achieved.

# 9. CAASPP baseline data

CAASPP - Language Arts - Percentage of Students (by Groups)  Meeting or Exceeding Standard											
Grade	All	White	Native	Hispanic	SED	SWD					
G3	25	37	0	18	6	0					
G4	57	63	54	25	44	17					
G5	29	49	11	42	24	6					
G6	29	44	34	43	34	0					
G7	37	41	30	30	36	0					
G8	50	59	22	50	38	0					
G11	68	73	25	71	53	0					

CAASPP - Mathematics - Percentage of Students (by Group)  Meeting or Exceeding Standard											
Grade	All	White	Native	Hispanic	SED	SWD					
G3	30	39	9	27	17	0					
G4	39	43	36	8	27	16					
G5	35	43	10	33	20	0					
G6	38	43	39	14	37	0					
G7	19	22	20	9	14	14					
G8	31	41	17	16	18	0					
G11	33	36	8	28	14	0					

The expected outcome for this item was achieved

10. Increase English Learner proficiency and reclassification rates. (Please note that
Students who are English Learners make-
up approximately 1% of the district
population, and of that group as many as
50% are foreign exchange students who are
in the state for one year or less.)

11. Maintain 0% junior high dropout rate

12. Increase high school graduation rate for all students in all groups by .5%

# 10. English Learner redesignation rates:

2015-2016 English Learner Redesignation

	Total				%
	Non-Eng.	English	Initial	Redesignated	Redesignated
Grade	Survey	Learners	Fluent	Fluent	Fluent
TK-6	18	12	3	3	20%
7-8	4	1	0	3	75%
9-12	16	3	4	9	75%
Total	38	16	7	15	68%

2014-2015 English Learner Redesignation

20	1 2010 1	-ingilori L	.ouiiioi i	rtoacoignation	
	Total				%
	Non-Eng.	English	Initial	Redesignated	Redesignated
Grade	Survey	Learners	Fluent	Fluent	Fluent
K-6	19	12	3	4	25%
7-8	5	1	3	1	50%
9-12	17	2	0	15	88%
Total	41	15	6	20	57%

The percentage of English Learners who were Redesignated Fluent increased by 11%.

# The expected outcome for this item was achieved

# 11. Dropout rates for junior high students Junior High Dropout Rate

Year	Drop Out Rate
2014-15	0%
2013-14	0%

The junior high school dropout rate was maintained at 0%.

# The expected outcome for this item was achieved

# 12. High school graduation rate

2015 High School Graduation Rate - by Student Group

School	All		W	hite'	Native Hispanic		panic	SED		SWD		
	# Srs	% Grad	# Srs	% Grad	# Srs	% Grad	# Srs	% Grad	# Srs	% Grad	# Srs	% Grad
Alt. Ed.	16	75	9	88.9	3	66.7	4	50	8	75	2	50
SHS	115	100	78	100	17	100	14	100	34	100	4	100
**SHS certificate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
District	131	96.9	87	98.9	20	95	18	88.9	42	95.2	6	83.3

2014 High School Graduation Rate - by Student Group													
School	chool All		W	/hite	Na	Native		Hispanic		SED		SWD	
	# Srs	% Grad	# Srs	% Grad	# Srs	% Grad	# Srs	% Grad	# Srs	%Grad	# Srs	% Grad	
Alt. Ed.	26	61.5	17	70.6	5	40.0	3	33.3	10	70.0	7	28.6	
SHS	130	97.7	93	97.8	17	100	17	100	37	94.6	8	62.5	
**SHS certificate	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	0	
District	156	91.7	110	93.6	22	86.4	20	95.0	47	89.4	15	46.7	

<sup>\*\*</sup>These students earned a certificate for completing a special education course of study at SHS which did not meet all state graduation requirements. The numbers of certificate students is also counted in the SHS number of seniors and do not count as graduates. Neither do these students count as drop outs in the percentages for item #12.

The high school graduation rate increased by 5.2% to 96.9% overall. Targeted student group graduation rates were as follows: Native American students increased by 8.6% to 95%; Hispanic students decreased by 6% (in both years two Hispanic students did not graduate); socio-economically disadvantaged students increased by 5.8% and students with disabilities increased by 36.6%.

The expected outcome for this item was achieved.

### 13. High School Dropout Rate

## 2015 High School Dropout Rate - by School and Student Group

School	All Students		White	Native		Hispanic		SED		SWD		
	# Srs	% DO	# Srs	% DO	# Srs	% DO	# Srs	% DO	# Srs	% DO	# Srs	% DO
Alt. Ed.	12	16.7	7	14.3	2	0	3	33	4	0	1	0
SHS	115	0	78	0	17	0	14	0	34	0	4	0
District	127	1.6	85	1.2	19	0	17	5.6	38	0	5	0

### 2014 High School Dropout Rate - by School and Student Group

School	All S	tudents White		Native I		Hispanic		SED		SWD		
	# Srs	% DO	# Srs	% DO	# Srs	% DO	# Srs	% DO	# Srs	% DO	# Srs	% DO
Alt. Ed.	26	38.5	17	29.4	5	40.0	3	66.7	12	41.7	7	71.4
SHS	130	0	93	0	17	0	17	0	37	0	8	0
District	156	6.4	110	4.5	22	13.6	20	10.0	49	8.2	15	33.3

The overall dropout rate declined by 4.8% to 1.6%. Targeted student group dropout rates were as follows: Native American students decreased by 13.6% to 0; Hispanic students decreased by 4.4%; socio-economically disadvantaged students decreased by 33.3% to 0.

The expected outcome for this item was achieved.

13. Decrease the high school dropout rate for all students in all groups by .5%

14. Ensure a minimum of weekly access to
technology embedded instruction for
students in grades TK-6

15. Secure Western Association of Schools and Colleges (WASC) accreditation for the alternative education high schools in the district

# 14. Access to Technology K-6

As verified by sign-in logs, and the technology/research specialist, students in grades K-6 had access to curriculum embedded technology on an average of greater than one forty minute period per week.

The expected outcome for this item was achieved.

15. WASC Accreditation for alternative education
In November of 2015 the alternative education program received their review visit from the WASC accreditation committee. In January of 2016 Sierra Unified received notification that the Sandy Bluffs Education Center and Independent Study program received an initial three-year accreditation.

The expected outcome for this item was achieved.

### LCAP Year: 2015-2016

	Planned Actions/Services			Actual Actions/Services			
		Budgeted Expenditures			Estimated_Actual Annual Expenditures		
2a. Provide access to A students through online	A-G courses for all alternative grogram and blended learning.	\$78,749 LCFF	education programs as	2a. Online and blended learning established for all alternative education programs as well as for students in the comprehensive program in need of credit recovery.			
Scope of service:	Districtwide		Scope of service:				
_x_ALL			<u>x</u> ALL	_x_ALL			
Low Income pupils Foster YouthRec Other Subgroups:(Sp	English Learners lesignated fluent English proficient pecify)		Low Income pupils _ Foster YouthRec Subgroups:(Specify)_				
	tage of all students meeting requirements reproviding academic and career groups.	\$157,153 (LCFF Supplemental \$130,490, Title 7 \$26,663)	2b. Academic counseli In addition, the Native workshops for students More academic counse	\$168,768 (\$1,190 LCFF, \$137,659 LCFF Supplemental, Title I \$30,000)			
Scope of service:	Sierra Junior High and Sierra High		Scope of service:	Sierra Junior High and Sierra High			
_x_ALL			x_ALL				

Low Income pupils _ Foster YouthRed Other Subgroups:(Sp	esignated fluent English proficient		Low Income pupils Foster YouthRec Subgroups:(Specify)_	English Learners designated fluent English proficientOther			
advanced placement cla	tage of students taking honors and asses through implementing a targeted, earning intervention and support in grades	\$101,769 Title I	2c. Intervention system grades 7-12, support c implemented.	\$125,222 Title I			
Scope of service:	Sierra Junior High and Sierra High		Scope of service:	Sierra Junior High and Sierra High			
_x_ALL			_x_ALL				
Low Income pupils _ Foster YouthRed Other Subgroups:(Sp	esignated fluent English proficient		Low Income pupils _ Foster YouthRec Subgroups:(Specify)				
	achievement of students in elementary conitored, comprehensive RTI system.	\$40,000 LCFF Supplemental	deployment system wh instruction for students	ns were implemented in grades TK-6. A herein students were provided targeted in grades TK-6. Pre and post assessments letermine effectiveness of the program.	\$40,173 LCFF Supplemental		
Scope of service:	Foothill Elementary School, Oak Meadow Community Day, and Lodge Pole Independent Study.		Scope of service:	Foothill Elementary School, Oak Meadow Community Day, and Lodge Pole Independent Study.			
<u>x</u> ALL	··· <u>·</u> ·····		_x_ALL	_x_ALLLow Income pupilsEnglish Learners			
Other Subgroups:(Sp	esignated fluent English proficient ecify)		Low Income pupilsFoster YouthRec Subgroups:(Specify)				
students, including Nativ Disadvantaged students	extended learning opportunities for all we American and Socio- Economically s by increase elementary student access ach and implementation of a structured proad range of students.	\$1,500 LCFF	program was not succe	program and after school extended learning essfully implemented, though the initial have been designed. "Bricks 4 Kidz", was ementary level.	\$1,500 LCFF		
Scope of service:	Districtwide		Scope of service:	Foothill Elementary School			
_x_ALL			_x_ALL				
Low Income pupils _ Foster YouthRed Other Subgroups:(Sp	esignated fluent English proficient		Low Income pupils _ Foster YouthRed Subgroups:(Specify)	English Learners designated fluent English proficientOther			
	ficiency in information technology and eekly access to instructional technology	\$157,830 LCFF	2f. A technology-resea elementary level which access to technology-b was added Grades 7-1 provided daily access t	\$125,674 LCFF			
Scope of service:	Districtwide		Scope of service:	Districtwide			

_x_ALL			_x_ALL					
Low Income pupils _	_English Learners esignated fluent English proficient		Low Income pupils	English Learners designated fluent English proficientOther				
Other Subgroups:(Sp	pecify)		Subgroups:(Specify)	Subgroups:(Specify)				
	es for students to engage in relevant ays based on employability, earning est.	\$79,088 LCFF	2g. All career-related to than in goal 1a.	\$423,095 LCFF				
Scope of service:	Districtwide		Scope of service:	Districtwide				
ALL			ALL					
Low Income pupils _ Foster YouthRed _x_Other Subgroups:(S	_English Learners esignated fluent English proficient pecify)_Students with Disabilities		Foster YouthRed	Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)_ Students with Disabilities				
	teachers of Students with Disabilities arning and training and oversight of	\$86,567 LCFF (Contribution to Special Education)		d curriculum for moderate to severely adopted and implemented, ensuring student	\$90,370 LCFF			
Scope of service:	Districtwide		Scope of service:	Districtwide				
<u>x</u> ALL			_x_ALL					
	_English Learners esignated fluent English proficient pecify <u>) Students with Disabilities</u>		Foster YouthRec	Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)Students with Disabilities				
2i. Provide instructional support students with	para- professionals to help engage and disabilities	\$398,472 LCFF (Contribution to Special Education)	2i. Paraprofessionals additional support.	2i. Paraprofessionals were provided in classrooms to provide additional support.				
Scope of service:	Districtwide		Scope of service:					
ALL			ALL					
Low Income pupils _ Foster YouthRed Other Subgroups:(Sp	esignated fluent English proficient			_x_English Learners designated fluent English proficientOther				
2j. Provide paraprofessi support them in accessi	onal for English Learner students, to ng the curriculum.	\$18,901 LCFF Supplemental	2j. A paraprofessiona language skills for Eng	\$14,286 LCFF Supplemental				
Scope of service:	Districtwide		Scope of service:					
ALL			ALL					
Low Income pupils _ Foster YouthRed	x_English Learners esignated fluent English proficient		Low Income pupilsFoster YouthRec	<u>x</u> English Learners designated fluent English proficient <u></u> Other				

Other Subgroups	(Specify)	Subgroups:(Specify)																
expenditures w	in actions, services, and Il be made as a result of ogress and/or changes to goals?	<ol> <li>An additional counselor for career education and at-risk students should be added. In order to continue improve for targeted groups of students more attention to students who have a history of underperforming academically given.</li> <li>In 2016-17, a plan to serve the needs of advanced learners, especially at the elementary level will be developed.</li> </ol>								cally n	nust b							
Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:	Goal 3: All students in orderly, physically and learn and reach their fu	emotionally safe				ı	_ocal :	: Speci	1 <u>x</u> fy <u>LE</u>	_ 2 EA Pla	3 <u>x</u> 4	e and/or 	<u>(</u> 6 <u>)</u>	<u>x_</u> 7_	8_		JSD	
Goal Applies to	Schools: All Applicable Pupil Subgr	oups:	All															
	Achieve 100% Exempla on school facilities condition			1. 100% e _All school Facilities ( The expe	sites i Conditi	n Sier ons E	ra Uni valuat	ified re ion	ceive	d 100	% Exe	mplary	_	l ratin	ngs on	Scho	ol	
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	2. Decrease the rate of sch by 2%	nool suspensions	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	All White Native His.			2016 S # Stu	Suspen: # Stu		by So # Stu	<u>S</u> # Stu	nd Stud JHS # # All Sti Sus Su	# u Stu	SHS # All	# Stu	# Stu	I Alt E # All Sus	d # Stu Sus
				SED														

White

All Sus

52

29

Stu Enr

1310

882

Stu

Sus

42

25

Stu

Enr

615

387

All

Sus

0

0

SWD

2014-2015 Suspensions by School and Student Group

0

0

**SJHS** 

4 3

159

109 2

Stu Stu All Stu Stu All Stu Sus Enr Sus Sus Enr Sus Sus

474 38

343 19 16

30

57

All Alt Ed

Stu All Stu

Enr Sus Sus

10

10

	Native		14	10	102	0	0			2	62	12 8	8		0
	His.	179	6	5	100	0	0	21	0	0	48	5 4	8		1
	SED		34	27	308	0	0				147	23 17			7
	SWD	158	15	10	69	0	0	22	0	0	56	13 8	11		2
S. Decrease the rate of referrals to	3. Transfers	s to Alte	ernati	ive Fo	<del>lucatio</del>	n se	ttings	Alterna	tive 4	educ	ration	settings	inclu	de.	
alternative education for all students and all student groups by .25%	continuation	n high s	schoo	ol, con	nmunit	ty da	y schoo	l, and	inde	pend	dent s	study for	k-12	stuc	den
			20	015-20	016 Tr	ansfe	ers to A	Iternati	ive E	duc	ation				
	Vaca	Total		10/	la la a	-	tive	Llian	!_		SED	sv	,		
	Year	Trans	sieis %		hite %	#	nerican %	Hisp #	anic %			% #	/D   %		
	Enrolled		1		,,,		, ,								
	Involuntary														
	Voluntary								1						
	Total													Ш	
			20	14-20	15 Tra	ansfe	ers to Al	ternativ	ve E	duca	ation				
		Total					tive								
	Year	Trans			hite		nerican	Hisp			SED	SV 0/			
	Enrolled	1310	100			19		# 179	%		# 553	% # 158	%		
	Involuntary	22	1.7			_		2	1.	_		2.2 6	3.8		
	Voluntary	16	1.2					1	0.			1.6 3	1.9	_	
	Total	38	2.9			7		3	1			3.8 9	5.7		
	The expect														ıde
	with disabili														
	economical														
	outcome. T for all stude									nauv	re eat	ucations	eung	SW	a
	4. Rate of st	tudent e	expul	Isions	to no	highe	er than	.5% fo	r all s	stud	ents a	and all s	<u>tuden</u>	t gro	ου
. Maintain the rate of student expulsion to		Ye	ear		Expuls	ions									
no higher than .5% for all students and all		2015	5-16		student		%)								
tudent groups.		2014			0%										
		2013			student	,									
	The expec	cted ou	itcon	ne for	this i	tem	was ac	hieved	ı.						
	<u>5. Student a</u>					A									
Increase attendance rate for all students	Year		Perce	ent St	udent	Atter	ndance Alt.	by Sch		Polo	Corral	Total	7		
nd all student groups to 95% or higher.	rear	FES	3_	SJHS	SH	HS	Program				lem.	Total			
	2015-16	00.0-	0.4	00 ==::		7501	0.4.10=	2	-00/		0761	00.170			
	2014-15	93.89	%	93.75%	93.7	75%	84.13%	81.3	8%	91.	.07%	93.17%	j		

	2013-14   94.35%   95.05%   93.98%   97.90%   82.90%   89.81%   93.84%
6. Decrease the chronic absenteeism rate by 1% or lower for all students and all student groups	6. Chronic absenteeism rate:  Districtwide Student Percent Chronic Absenteeism Rate  Year All White American Hispanic SED SWD  TS # % T
	students with disabilities are above the 13% mark for chronic absenteeism. The expect outcome for this area was met.
7. Decrease the percent of students identified for level 3 Behavioral Response-to-Intervention (BRtI) rates for all students and all student groups for placement by 2%	students with disabilities are above the 13% mark for chronic absenteeism. The expec
identified for level 3 Behavioral Response- to-Intervention (BRtI) rates for all students	students with disabilities are above the 13% mark for chronic absenteeism. The expect outcome for this area was met.  7. Establish baseline data for Behavioral Response-to-Intervention (BRtl) rates for all students and all student groups for placement in level 2 and level 3 interventions.  2015-16 Foothill Elementary Behavioral Rtl Tier 2 and Tier 3 Assignments by Group  Native
identified for level 3 Behavioral Response- to-Intervention (BRtI) rates for all students and all student groups for placement by 2%	students with disabilities are above the 13% mark for chronic absenteeism. The expect outcome for this area was met.  7. Establish baseline data for Behavioral Response-to-Intervention (BRtl) rates for all students and all student groups for placement in level 2 and level 3 interventions.  2015-16 Foothill Elementary Behavioral Rtl Tier 2 and Tier 3 Assignments by Group    Native   Nativ
identified for level 3 Behavioral Response- to-Intervention (BRtI) rates for all students and all student groups for placement by 2%	students with disabilities are above the 13% mark for chronic absenteeism. The expect outcome for this area was met.  7. Establish baseline data for Behavioral Response-to-Intervention (BRtl) rates for all students and all student groups for placement in level 2 and level 3 interventions.  2015-16 Foothill Elementary Behavioral Rtl Tier 2 and Tier 3 Assignments by Group    Native   Nativ
identified for level 3 Behavioral Response- to-Intervention (BRtI) rates for all students and all student groups for placement by 2%	students with disabilities are above the 13% mark for chronic absenteeism. The expect outcome for this area was met.  7. Establish baseline data for Behavioral Response-to-Intervention (BRtl) rates for all students and all student groups for placement in level 2 and level 3 interventions.  2015-16 Foothill Elementary Behavioral Rtl Tier 2 and Tier 3 Assignments by Group    Native   Native   Native   Hispanic   SED   SWD     #   %   #   %   #   %   #   %   #   %   #   %     Enrolled
identified for level 3 Behavioral Response- to-Intervention (BRtI) rates for all students and all student groups for placement by 2%	students with disabilities are above the 13% mark for chronic absenteeism. The expect outcome for this area was met.  7. Establish baseline data for Behavioral Response-to-Intervention (BRtl) rates for all students and all student groups for placement in level 2 and level 3 interventions.  2015-16 Foothill Elementary Behavioral Rtl Tier 2 and Tier 3 Assignments by Group    Native   Nativ
identified for level 3 Behavioral Response- to-Intervention (BRtI) rates for all students and all student groups for placement by 2%	students with disabilities are above the 13% mark for chronic absenteeism. The expect outcome for this area was met.  7. Establish baseline data for Behavioral Response-to-Intervention (BRtl) rates for all students and all student groups for placement in level 2 and level 3 interventions.  2015-16 Foothill Elementary Behavioral Rtl Tier 2 and Tier 3 Assignments by Group    All   White   Native   American   Hispanic   SED   SWD     # % # % # % # % # % # % # % # % # % #
identified for level 3 Behavioral Response- to-Intervention (BRtI) rates for all students and all student groups for placement by 2%	students with disabilities are above the 13% mark for chronic absenteeism. The expect outcome for this area was met.  7. Establish baseline data for Behavioral Response-to-Intervention (BRtl) rates for all students and all student groups for placement in level 2 and level 3 interventions.  2015-16 Foothill Elementary Behavioral Rtl Tier 2 and Tier 3 Assignments by Group    All
identified for level 3 Behavioral Response- to-Intervention (BRtI) rates for all students and all student groups for placement by 2%	students with disabilities are above the 13% mark for chronic absenteeism. The expect outcome for this area was met.  7. Establish baseline data for Behavioral Response-to-Intervention (BRtI) rates for all students and all student groups for placement in level 2 and level 3 interventions.  2015-16 Foothill Elementary Behavioral RtI Tier 2 and Tier 3 Assignments by Group    All
identified for level 3 Behavioral Response- to-Intervention (BRtI) rates for all students and all student groups for placement by 2%	students with disabilities are above the 13% mark for chronic absenteeism. The expect outcome for this area was met.  7. Establish baseline data for Behavioral Response-to-Intervention (BRtl) rates for all students and all student groups for placement in level 2 and level 3 interventions.  2015-16 Foothill Elementary Behavioral Rtl Tier 2 and Tier 3 Assignments by Group    All
identified for level 3 Behavioral Response- to-Intervention (BRtI) rates for all students and all student groups for placement by 2%	students with disabilities are above the 13% mark for chronic absenteeism. The expect outcome for this area was met.  7. Establish baseline data for Behavioral Response-to-Intervention (BRtI) rates for all students and all student groups for placement in level 2 and level 3 interventions.  2015-16 Foothill Elementary Behavioral RtI Tier 2 and Tier 3 Assignments by Group    All
identified for level 3 Behavioral Response- to-Intervention (BRtI) rates for all students and all student groups for placement by 2%	students with disabilities are above the 13% mark for chronic absenteeism. The expect outcome for this area was met.  7. Establish baseline data for Behavioral Response-to-Intervention (BRtl) rates for all students and all student groups for placement in level 2 and level 3 interventions.  2015-16 Foothill Elementary Behavioral Rtl Tier 2 and Tier 3 Assignments by Group    All
identified for level 3 Behavioral Response- to-Intervention (BRtI) rates for all students and all student groups for placement by 2%	students with disabilities are above the 13% mark for chronic absenteeism. The expect outcome for this area was met.  7. Establish baseline data for Behavioral Response-to-Intervention (BRtl) rates for all students and all student groups for placement in level 2 and level 3 interventions.  2015-16 Foothill Elementary Behavioral Rtl Tier 2 and Tier 3 Assignments by Group    All
identified for level 3 Behavioral Response- to-Intervention (BRtI) rates for all students and all student groups for placement by 2%	students with disabilities are above the 13% mark for chronic absenteeism. The expect outcome for this area was met.  7. Establish baseline data for Behavioral Response-to-Intervention (BRtl) rates for all students and all student groups for placement in level 2 and level 3 interventions.  2015-16 Foothill Elementary Behavioral Rtl Tier 2 and Tier 3 Assignments by Group    All

			transport	ation						
9.	Increase or maintain survey rating for		tranoport		llent School Saf	ety Surv	ey Ratings	Spring 2	016	
tra	ansportation services.			Measure	Foothill Elementary	Sierra Jr Hi	Sierra High	Alt Ed	Total District	
				Feel safe at						
				school					1	
				School Transportation						
		LCAP Year: 2015-2016								
Plai	nned Actions/Services				Actual Actions/S	Services				
		Budgeted Expenditures								ed Actual xpenditures
3a. Provide clean and	well maintained facilities.	\$3,167,301 LCFF		cilities received a nspection review.		lary on t	he annual		\$3,252,93	5 LCFF
Scope of service:	Districtwide		Scope of	service:	Districtwide					
_x_ALL			_x_ALL							
Low Income pupils	English Learners	-		come pupilsE						
	designated fluent English proficient		Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)							
Other Subgroups:(S	to school, and to and from school			al parent survey in	ndicates high de	aree of	eatisfaction	n with		
activities.	to concer, and to and nom concer	\$1,317,882 LCFF	transport been add	ation services. Th led this year to en	ree new studen	t transpo	ort vans ha	ve	\$1,426,60	3 LCFF
			trips.							
Scope of service:	Districtwide		Scope of	service:	Districtwide					
_x_ALL			_x_ALL	•						
Low Income pupils	English Learners	-		come pupilsE						
Other Subgroups:(S			Subgroup	YouthRedesigns:(Specify)						
	e Behavior Intervention and Support			BIS program was						
	roach to student behavioral expectations, ctations and positive reinforcement.	No cost		a silver award in t				ddad	No cost	
Scope of service:	Districtwide		Restorative Discipline Specialist position at secondary was added.  Scope of service: Districtwide				uucu.			
_x_ALL			x ALL							
Low Income pupils	English Learners	-	Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners							
Foster YouthRe	designated fluent English proficient		Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther							
Other Subgroups:(S	ресіту)		Subgroup	os:(Specify)			•			

retaining students on th	nent Restorative Discipline as means of the comprehensive campuses by ment of constructive conflict resolution.	\$15,000 LCFF	campus suspension/think	aculty members have been trained. An on- kery has been implemented at FES. secialist position at secondary was added.	\$45,753 LCFF
Scope of service:	Districtwide		Scope of service:	Districtwide	
_x_ALL			<u>x</u> ALL		
Low Income pupils Foster YouthRec Other Subgroups:(S	designated fluent English proficient		Low Income pupils Foster YouthRede: Subgroups:(Specify)		
do not have access to	alth services for low-income students who private health care providers, ensuring are emotionally safe places for students.	\$87,307 LCFF (Contribution to Special Education	3e. A part-time mental he social-emotional counsel and Behavioral Aides we	\$208,188 (\$38,377 LCFF, \$169,811 LCFF Supplemental)	
Scope of service:	Districtwide		Scope of service:	Districtwide	
<u>x</u> ALL	3		_x_ALL		
Low Income pupils Foster YouthRec Other Subgroups:(S	designated fluent English proficient		Subgroups:(Specify)	signated fluent English proficientOther	
	vices for students who may become or those who have ongoing medical needs d at school.	\$70,499 LCFF	students with medical ne	red to provide licensed medical care for eds. In addition to this, a district contracted ide oversight of the health offices on all	\$96,393 LCFF
Scope of service:	Districtwide		Scope of service:	Districtwide	
_x_ALL	3		_x_ALL		-
Low Income pupils Foster YouthRec Other Subgroups:(S	designated fluent English proficient		Low Income pupils Foster YouthRede: Subgroups:(Specify)	English Learners signated fluent English proficientOther	
smooth operations of the	tive and management staff to support the ne school campus and ensure a sand orderly learning environment.	\$685,015 LCFF	3g. Administration is app ensure a safe and orderly	ropriately staffed on all school campuses to y learning environment	\$625,295 LCFF
Scope of service:	Districtwide		Scope of service:	Districtwide	
_x_ALL			_x_ALL		
Low Income pupils Foster YouthRec Other Subgroups:(S	designated fluent English proficient		Low Income pupils Foster YouthRede: Subgroups:(Specify)	English Learners signated fluent English proficientOther	
3h. Provide a well traine in smooth schools open	ed, customer focused office staff to assist ations.	\$353,805 LCFF		uarterly to maintain and ensure a smoothly sed office on all school campuses and all	\$364,379 LCFF

			departments.					
Scope of service: Districtwide	Districtwide		Scope of service:	Districtwide				
<u>x</u> ALL	x_ALL							
Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent Engl _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	ish proficient		Low Income pupils Foster YouthRede: Subgroups:(Specify)	English Learners signated fluent English proficientOther				
What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes t	appears as the a positive impa	ough the Restora act in light of the	ative Discipline system and reduction in the number of	•	s are beginning to have			
goals?	directly tied to	The Student Attendance Review Board (SARB) underwent major adjustments in the 2015-2016 school year and can be directly tied to some improvement in attendance. In the 16-17 school year, additional resources will be given to retaining the service of County Probation to assist with the SARB process.						

Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:	Goal 4: Parents, and family and community stakeholders will become more fully engaged as partners in the education of the students of Sierra Unified.  Related State and/or Local Prior Code of the students of Sierra Unified.  COE only: 9 10 Local: Specify _LEA Plan Addendu 3; SUSD Strategic Goal 2,5										
Goal Applies to:	Schools: All Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All										
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Increase the rate of family participation in students' education as measured by attendance at community and school meetings by 2.5%	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Attendance by Parents/ Meeting Enrollment Back to School Night Open House Parent-Teacher Conf. School Site Council Long Range Planning Grandparent's Day Senior Projects Mother's Breakfast Father's Breakfast Total Attendance by Parents Meeting Enrollment	Community at FES (TK-6) 615 475 550 600 10 641 580 500 3,362	Selected Meeting SJSHS (7-12) 623 283 188 12 114 597 t Selected Meeting	12 12 gs 2014-2015					

	Back to School Night 450 225
	Open House 389 NA
	Parent-Teacher Conf. 241
	Common Core 70 32
	Facilities Use 112
	Parent Math Nights 23
	Senior Projects 100
	Total 932 566 144
	Parent engagement as measured by attendance at school related movies and increased from 1642 in 2014-2015 to almost 4,00 in 2015-2016.
	The expected outcome for this item was achieved.
Increase the rate of family/parent input into school decision-making by increasing rate of return on school surveys by 2.5%	2. Parent survey rate of return:  Annual Parent Survey Rate of Return Spring 2015
	School Students Surveys %
	Enrolled Returned Return
	Foothill Elementary
	Sierra Junior High
	Sierra High School
	Alternative Ed
	Total
	Annual Parent Survey Rate of Return Spring 2015           School         Students Enrolled         Surveys Returned         % Return           Foothill Elementary         615         129         21.0%           Sierra Junior High         159         25         15.7%           Sierra High School         453         69         15.3%           Alternative Ed         41         5         12.1%
	Total 1268 228 17.9%
3. Improve or maintain school-to-home communications ratings on parent surveys.	3/4. School-to-home communications ratings on parent surveys.  Good-Excellent Parent Survey Ratings Spring 2016  Measure Foothill Sierra Sierra Alt Total
langua da an araintain mayant artisfaction with	Elementary Jr Hi High Ed District School Quality
prove or maintain parent satisfaction with	School Quality Communication
hool services on parent surveys	School Safety
	SCHOOL Salety

				_	_			1		1
				Supports F						
				Involvem						
				Co-Currio						
				Opportun	nities					
				Good	I-Excelle	ent Parent Su	urvev R	atings Sp	rina 201	5
				Measu		Foothill	Sierra	Sierra	Alt	Total
						Elementary	Jr Hi	High	Ed	District
				School Qu	uality	95.3%	96%	85.3%	100%	92.8%
				Communic		78.9%	68.0%		75.0%	72.3%
				School Sa	afety		84.0%	91.3%	100%	91.9%
				Supports F	Parent					
				Involvem		92.8%	91.7%	75.0%	100%	85.9%
				Co-Currio	cular					
				Opportun	nities	78.8%	88.0%	86.8%	100%	84.3%
		LCAP Yea	r: 2014-2015							
	Planned Actions/Services					Actual Action	ns/Servi	ces		
		Budgeted Expenditures			E	stimated_Actual Annual Expenditures				
	vices for families by providing a welcoming nt for students who require before and after	\$17,000 LCFF				ation-based o as a fee-bas			ole \$6	,236 LCFF
Scope of service:	Districtwide		Scope of se	rvice:	Districtwi	ide				
<u>x</u> ALL			ALL							
Low Income pupils	English Learners			me pupils	Fnalish	Learners				
	lesignated fluent English proficient			outh Rede		I fluent Englis	sh profic	ient <u></u> Oth	ier	
4b. Increase parent eng and district level commi	4b. Increase parent engagement through participation on school and district level committees, including; Native American			are provided	ict level a	ange of oppo	mittees,	including		
	ory Committee, Site Councils, LCAP ad Parent - Faculty Associations.	No cost	Native American Education Parent Advisory Committee, School Site Councils, LCAP Advisory Committee, and Parent -Faculty Associations. Other opportunities for parent engagement include Back to School Night, Open House, Senior Project Presentations, and a variety of student performances and awards assemblies.					cost		
Scope of service:	Districtwide		Scope of se	rvice:	Districtwi	ide				

x_ALL  _Low Income pupilsFoster YouthRec _Other Subgroups:(Sp	esignated fluent English proficient		ALLLow Income pupilsFoster YouthRed Subgroups:(Specify)	-	
	ut by providing online survey opportunities	\$700 LCFF	4c. This year, two onlir midyear survey regard	ne surveys were sent out, one was a ing priorities for long range planning, and nual parent satisfaction survey.	\$700 LCFF
Scope of service:	Districtwide		Scope of service:	Districtwide	
_x_ALLLow Income pupilsFoster YouthRecOther Subgroups:(Sp	esignated fluent English proficient		_x_ALLLow Income pupilsFoster YouthRec Subgroups:(Specify)		
4d. Increase communic	ation and transparency by hosting ot button topics such CCSS, Budget, and	No cost	4d. This year commun toward CCSS implement	ities meetings were held to discuss progress entation, parent meetings on the elementary nge facilities use planning, and special	No cost
Scope of service:	Districtwide		Scope of service:	Districtwide	
_x_ALL			_x_ALL		
Low Income pupils _ Foster YouthRec Other Subgroups:(Sp	esignated fluent English proficient		Low Income pupils Foster YouthRec Subgroups:(Specify)_	English Learners designated fluent English proficientOther	
	cation by maintaining up-to-date website chool newsletters, and monthly local paper	\$5,000 LCFF	4e. A consultant was h school identified a staf and dates. The elemer newsletters. The junior newsletters. A monthly articles from each school superintendent.	\$5,000 LCFF	
Scope of service:	Districtwide		Scope of service:	Districtwide	
_x_ALL	i		<u>x</u> ALL	<u> </u>	
Low Income pupils Foster YouthRec Other Subgroups:(Sp	esignated fluent English proficient		Low Income pupils Foster YouthRec Subgroups:(Specify)_	English Learners designated fluent English proficientOther	
	gagement by providing relevant co- h as athletics, FFA, and performing arts	\$137,127 LCFF	4f. Sierra Unified provi opportunities for stude the Mountain Commun provide multiple opport participate and compe	\$137,127 LCFF	

Scope of service:	Districtwide	1		Scope of service:	Districtwide					
<u>x</u> ALL				_x_ALL						
Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)				Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)						
expenditures will be reviewing past progre	ctions, services, and emade as a result of ess and/or changes to als?	targeted groups In order to facili	s will be the primary foo tate an increase in the	cus of parent education of number of students who	parent education will be undertaken. Parents opportunities, though all parents will be welcome participate in co-curricular activities, a late but access to after school programs is needed	ne to attend. is, which would				

# Section 3: Use of Supplemental and Concentration Grant funds and Proportionality

A. In the box below, identify the amount of funds in the LCAP year calculated on the basis of the number and concentration of low income, foster youth, and English learner pupils as determined pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(5).

Describe how the LEA is expending these funds in the LCAP year. Include a description of, and justification for, the use of any funds in a districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide manner as specified in 5 CCR 15496.

For school districts with below 55 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils in the district or below 40 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils at a schoolsite in the LCAP year, when using supplemental and concentration funds in a districtwide or schoolwide manner, the school district must additionally describe how the services provided are the most effective use of funds to meet the district's goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. (See 5 CCR 15496(b) for guidance.)

Total amount of Supplemental and Concentration grant funds calculated:	\$
Targeted Students only: Native American liaison at Foothill Elementary (\$25,000), additional control of the students only:	tional instructional aides for assistance in the Behavioral RTi program
(\$34,711).	
For low-income pupils, Intervention Coordinator and Academic Tutor are provided to in	ncrease the percentage of students taking honors class and AP
(\$104,225), Elementary Intervention Specialist to monitor comprehensive Rtl system (\$	640,173), Mental health services for student who cannot afford outside
service providers (\$135,000). These services, academic intervention, behavioral intervention	ention, and mental health services are provided for all students who

need them, of those students identified approximately 70% are students from targeted groups. In regards to academic intervention, the deployment system is used, wherein at given times during each day, by grade level, initial instruction stops and students are sorted by specific skill or skill deficit. For forty five minutes, all students in the grade level work on skills as opposed to receiving initial standards based instruction. In the past practice, targeted students were pulled from class, during initial instruction to receiving skill-based support. In so doing, they missed instructional time in a given subject area. Over the years, that method did not prove to be effective. Likewise, the behavior intervention has transitioned from a pull-out to a push-in program. While students who are not in targeted groups may benefit from the presence of a mental health care professional, the primary beneficiary is the student from a targeted group who does not miss classroom instruction.

Two Academic Coaches, to support implementation of common core standards and to improve delivery of initial instruction (\$159,087). The Academic Coaches provide service to all teachers, because all teachers serve students in the targeted groups. As a small district, it is not feasible to isolate teachers to serve either all targeted student groups or all non-targeted student groups. If we were to "track" students, based on being low income (our primary targeted group), we would be in violation a basic educational theory – that of the heterogeneous classroom being the best instructional setting for students of all ability levels.

For English Learner pupils, we have an LEP Aide (\$12,497)

For Foster Youth, we provide instructional paraprofessionals to help engage and support students with disabilities in foster families (\$103,223)

2 additional days were added to the Certificated staffs duty year starting in 16-17 for professional development to enhance the learning experience for all students (\$56,354), along with the addition of a Curriculum Director funded with Supplemental and Title I funds. (\$34,716 Supplemental)

\$51,160	

B. In the box below, identify the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all pupils in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a).

Consistent with the requirements of 5 CCR 15496, demonstrate how the services provided in the LCAP year for low income pupils, foster youth, and English learners provide for increased or improved services for these pupils in proportion to the increase in funding provided for such pupils in that year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(7). An LEA shall describe how the proportionality percentage is met using a quantitative and/or qualitative description of the increased and/or improved services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils.

Additional services added for the 16-17 year are an additional 2 duty days for Certificated staff, a Curriculum Director and \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Sierra Unified will continue to offer a variety of programs and supports specifically for English learners, low income students and foster youth. School-wide implementation of these practices will not only have an impact on learning environment and the climate of the school as a whole but will

be primarily delivered to targeted subgroups.

The district recognizes that while these funds are generated in order to serve the focus students, some services may, should the need arise, be utilized for students outside the focus subgroups. While the majority of students served will be focus students, there may be other students in need that the district does not want to ignore. By providing the services identified without limitations, Sierra Unified will best serve all students, especially focus students.

### LOCAL CONTROL AND ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN AND ANNUAL UPDATE APPENDIX

For the purposes of completing the LCAP in reference to the state priorities under Education Code sections 52060 and 52066, the following shall apply:

- (a) "Chronic absenteeism rate" shall be calculated as follows:
  - (1) The number of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30) who are chronically absent where "chronic absentee" means a pupil who is absent 10 percent or more of the schooldays in the school year when the total number of days a pupil is absent is divided by the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the regular day schools of the district, exclusive of Saturdays and Sundays.
  - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
  - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (b) "Middle School dropout rate" shall be calculated as set forth in California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 1039.1.
- (c) "High school dropout rate" shall be calculated as follows:
  - (1) The number of cohort members who dropout by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
  - (2) The total number of cohort members.
  - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (d) "High school graduation rate" shall be calculated as follows:
  - (1) The number of cohort members who earned a regular high school diploma [or earned an adult education high school diploma or passed the California High School Proficiency Exam] by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
  - (2) The total number of cohort members.
  - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (e) "Suspension rate" shall be calculated as follows:
  - (1) The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was suspended during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
  - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
  - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (f) "Expulsion rate" shall be calculated as follows:
  - (1) The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was expelled during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
  - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
  - (3) Divide (1) by (2).