

# **Sacramento County Office of Education**

## **2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Community Outreach Academy (Dudley A)

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/12/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 5

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Good  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Good  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education**

## **2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Community Outreach Academy (Dudley B)

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/12/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 6

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Good  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Good  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education**

## **2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Community Outreach Academy (Dudley C)

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/12/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 9

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Good  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Good  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education**

## **2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Community Outreach Academy (Pavillion)

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/12/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 12

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Good  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Good  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education**

## **2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Community Outreach Academy (Rafferty A)

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/12/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 11

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Fair  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Good  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education**

## **2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Community Outreach Academy (Rafferty B)

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/12/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 8

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Poor  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Good  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education**

## **2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Community Outreach Academy (Skvarla)

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/12/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 13

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Fair  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Fair  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education**

## **2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Community Outreach Academy MS (Site #2)

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/20/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 22

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Good  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Good  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education**

## **2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: D. W. Babcock Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/26/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 29

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Good  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Good  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Del Paso Heights Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/2/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 19

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Good  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Good  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education**

## **2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Fairbanks Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/2/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 15

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Good  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Good  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education**

## **2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Foothill High

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/12/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 29

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Good  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Fair  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education**

## **2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Foothill Oaks Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/3/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 18

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Fair  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Fair  
Restrooms and Fountains: Poor  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education**

## **2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Frontier Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/3/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 15

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Good  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Good  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education**

## **2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Garden Valley Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/25/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 16

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Poor  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Fair  
Restrooms and Fountains: Poor  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Fair: The school is not in good repair. Some deficiencies noted are critical and/or widespread. Repairs and/or additional maintenance are necessary in several areas of the school site.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education**

## **2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Grant Union High

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/22/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 48

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Good  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Good  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education**

## **2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Hagginwood Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/5/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 20

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Good  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Good  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education**

## **2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Hazel Strauch Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/25/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 16

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Poor  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Poor  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Fair: The school is not in good repair. Some deficiencies noted are critical and/or widespread. Repairs and/or additional maintenance are necessary in several areas of the school site.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Highlands High

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/23/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 24

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Good  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Good  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education**

## **2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Kohler Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/27/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 23

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Good  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Poor  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education**

## **2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Las Palmas Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/28/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 28

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Good  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Fair  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education**

## **2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Madison Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/27/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 27

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Good  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Fair  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education**

## **2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Martin Luther King, Jr. Technology Academy

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/16/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 25

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Good  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Good  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education**

## **2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Michael J. Castori Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/5/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 19

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Good  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Good  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education**

## **2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Northwood Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/29/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 21

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Good  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Fair  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education**

## **2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Oakdale Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/26/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 25

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Fair  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Fair  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education**

## **2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Orchard Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/11/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 20

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Fair  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Poor  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education**

## **2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Pioneer Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/11/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 20

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Fair  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Poor  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Fair: The school is not in good repair. Some deficiencies noted are critical and/or widespread. Repairs and/or additional maintenance are necessary in several areas of the school site.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education**

## **2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Rio Linda High

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/18/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 35

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Good  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Good  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education**

## **2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Rio Linda Preparatory Academy

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/19/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 18

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Good  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Fair  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education**

## **2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Rio Tierra Junior High

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 10/9/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 9

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Fair  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Fair  
Restrooms and Fountains: Fair  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education**

## **2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Smythe Academy of Arts and Sciences, 7-8

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/15/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 22

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Fair  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Good  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education**

## **2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Smythe Academy of Arts and Sciences, K-6

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/15/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 20

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Fair  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Poor  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education**

## **2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Village Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/10/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 22

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Fair  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Fair  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Poor  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Fair: The school is not in good repair. Some deficiencies noted are critical and/or widespread. Repairs and/or additional maintenance are necessary in several areas of the school site.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education**

## **2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Warren A. Allison Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/8/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 16

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Good  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Good  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education**

## **2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Woodlake Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/11/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 21

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Poor  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Poor  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Fair: The school is not in good repair. Some deficiencies noted are critical and/or widespread. Repairs and/or additional maintenance are necessary in several areas of the school site.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education**

## **2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Woodridge Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/8/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 23

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Good  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Fair  
Restrooms and Fountains: Fair  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.