# LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name: Kairos Public Schools

CDS Code: 48 70573 0129494

School Year: 2025-26

LEA contact information:

Jared Austin

Superintendent/CEO

jaustin@kairospublicschools.org

7074537234

School districts receive funding from different sources: state funds under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), other state funds, local funds, and federal funds. LCFF funds include a base level of funding for all LEAs and extra funding - called "supplemental and concentration" grants - to LEAs based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learners, and low-income students).

Budget Overview for the 2025-26 School Year

Projected Revenue by Fund Source

All federal funds, $184,017 , 2%

All local funds, $848,005 , 7%

Total LCFF funds $9,587,485 85 %

All other state funds, $637,704 , 6%

All Other LCFF funds, $9,190,108 , 82%

LCFF supplemental & concentration grants, $397,377 , 3%

This chart shows the total general purpose revenue Kairos Public Schools expects to receive in the coming year from all sources.

The text description for the above chart is as follows: The total revenue projected for Kairos Public Schools is $11,257,211, of which $9587485 is Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), $637704 is other state funds, $848005 is local funds, and $184017 is federal funds. Of the $9587485 in LCFF Funds, $397377 is generated based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learner, and low-income students).

LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

The LCFF gives school districts more flexibility in deciding how to use state funds. In exchange, school districts must work with parents, educators, students, and the community to develop a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) that shows how they will use these funds to serve students.

Budgeted Expenditures in the LCAP

This chart provides a quick summary of how much Kairos Public Schools plans to spend for 2025-26. It shows how much of the total is tied to planned actions and services in the LCAP.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: Kairos Public Schools plans to spend $11531905 for the 2025-26 school year. Of that amount, $646,887 is tied to actions/services in the LCAP and $10,885,018 is not included in the LCAP. The budgeted expenditures that are not included in the LCAP will be used for the following:

Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in the LCAP for the 2025-26 School Year

In 2025-26, Kairos Public Schools is projecting it will receive $397377 based on the enrollment of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. Kairos Public Schools must describe how it intends to increase or improve services for high needs students in the LCAP. Kairos Public Schools plans to spend $112,728 towards meeting this requirement, as described in the LCAP.

LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

Update on Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in 2024-25

Prior Year Expenditures: Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students

☐ Total Budgeted Expenditures for High Needs Students in the LCAP	$172,322
☐ Actual Expenditures for High Needs Students in LCAP	$172,322

$ 0 $ 20,000 $ 40,000 $ 60,000 $ 80,000 $ 100,000$ 120,000$ 140,000$ 160,000$ 180,000$ 200,000

This chart compares what Kairos Public Schools budgeted last year in the LCAP for actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students with what Kairos Public Schools estimates it has spent on actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students in the current year.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: In 2024-25, Kairos Public Schools's LCAP budgeted $172,322 for planned actions to increase or improve services for high needs students. Kairos Public Schools actually spent $172,322 for actions to increase or improve services for high needs students in 2024-25.

Local Control and Accountability Plan

The instructions for completing the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) follow the template.

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Kairos Public Schools	Jared Austin Superintendent/CEO	jaustin@kairospublicschools.org 7074537234

Plan Summary [2025-26]

General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA. LEAs may also provide information about their strategic plan, vision, etc.

Kairos Public Schools comprises four unique academies serving grades TK-10 in 2024-2025 and will be adding grades 11 and 12 in following years. The programs are currently spread across separate campuses. Each academy acts as a "school within a school," deploying its own type of innovative instructional delivery system. A description of each of the four academies follows:

1. Kairos Public School's Foundations Academy is a classroom-based TK-5th grade program of students willing to meet the challenges of a rigorous, integrated academic curriculum. The program offers moderate class sizes and a hands-on approach to learning, including design thinking, instructional technology, field experiences, community service, parent participation and a sports program. Students also take an active role in creating projects and reflecting on their own learning.

2. Kairos Public School's Leadership Academy is a classroom-based 6th-8th grade program composed of students willing to meet the challenges of rigorous, integrated academic curriculum with a focus on civic engagement and developing leadership skills. The program offers moderate class sizes and a hands-on approach to learning, including design thinking, instructional technology, field experiences, community service, parent participation and a sports program. Students also take an active role in creating projects and reflecting on their own learning.

3. The Kairos Public School's Innovative Students Pathway ("KISP"). Serving grades K-8, KISP is a nonclassroom-based program that provides families with the flexibility of homeschooling, under the supervision of a credentialed teacher. Students may attend enrichment workshops in the arts, science, music, writing, and hands on math. New classes are introduced each quarter, designed to challenge and

broaden a student's experiences. Kairos Public Schools events, field trips, and clubs provide additional hands-on learning and social experiences for students.

4. The Kairos Public Schools Luminary Academy High School is a nonclassroom-based/flex-based learning 9th-12th grade program composed of students desiring to grow as entrepreneurs to meet the challenges of the world. By building upon the skills developed in the K-8th grade programs, this innovative high school academy is focused on creating opportunities for students to solve real-world problems and develop sustainable solutions. There are additional details about the high school program in both the executive summary of this Petition as well as throughout the elements below.

Reflections: Annual Performance

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

The annual performance reflection of Kairos Public Schools, based on the California School Dashboard and local data, presents a nuanced picture of academic achievements and areas for improvement. In the area of English Language Arts (ELA), the school has maintained a commendable performance level, with an overall green performance color indicating that students are 18 points above the standard, although this has declined by 9.9 points from the previous year. This suggests a strong ELA program, even with slight recent slippage. In contrast, mathematics presents more of a challenge, with a performance color of yellow, indicating that students are 8.1 points below the standard, with a minor decline of 3 points. This indicates a need for additional focus and instructional strategies in math to meet state standards more effectively.

Further reflection on the local indicators such as chronic absenteeism and suspension rates shows that Kairos Public has achieved commendable results in reducing absenteeism to 2.3%, maintaining this low rate consistently. However, the suspension rate has increased to slightly. Overall, the school meets the standards for implementation of academic standards, parent and family engagement, and access to a broad course of study, showcasing a strong commitment to comprehensive educational excellence and stakeholder involvement. Moving forward, Kairos Public Schools plans to focus on bridging the gap in mathematics performance while sustaining the strengths observed in ELA and student engagement.

Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

Not applicable

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

An LEA with a school or schools eligible for comprehensive support and improvement must respond to the following prompts.

Schools Identified

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

KPS has not been identified as having a need for comprehensive support and improvement

Support for Identified Schools

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

Not applicable

Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

Not applicable

Engaging Educational Partners

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Educational Partner(s)	Process for Engagement
Parents	Surveys, Parent Advisory Council Meetings
Staff	Surveys , Instructional Leadership Team Presentation
Board	Surveys, Board Presentations
Community	Surveys
Students	Survey

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

The Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) was significantly shaped by the feedback provided by our community stakeholders, reflecting a collaborative and responsive approach to educational planning and implementation. Input was gathered through a series of stakeholder engagement activities, including meetings, surveys, and collaboration involving stakeholders such as teachers, parents, students, and community members.

Key areas influenced by this feedback include:

Enhanced Focus on Student Wellness: Educational partners expressed a strong need for increased support in mental health and wellness services.

Strengthening of Academic Interventions: Feedback highlighted areas where targeted academic support was necessary, particularly in mathematics and English language arts for underperforming students.

Increased Access to Staffing Support: Stakeholders highlighted through surveys the need to have access to more administrative support in order to support student academic and behavioral concerns.

Parent and Community Engagement: KPS has strong parent and community support with an overwhelming amount of support for the mission and vision of the school.

This LCAP demonstrate a clear alignment with the needs and priorities articulated by the school's stakeholders, ensuring that the plan not only meets state requirements but also resonates with the community's expectations and requirements for a comprehensive and educational experience.

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
1	Ensure that students are critical thinkers who analyze and apply knowledge strategically using relevant tools thoughtfully.	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 1: Basic (Conditions of Learning)

Priority 2: State Standards (Conditions of Learning)

Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Kairos Public Schools' goal to ensure that students are critical thinkers who analyze and apply knowledge strategically using relevant tools thoughtfully is pivotal for several reasons:

1. Preparation for Future Success: In an increasingly complex and dynamic world, students need to be able to think critically to navigate various challenges and opportunities. By equipping students with the ability to analyze information, make informed decisions, and apply knowledge in practical, real-world situations, Kairos is preparing them for success in higher education, the workforce, and beyond.

2. Adaptability in a Changing World: The ability to think critically and adaptively use tools and resources is essential in a world where technological and societal changes are rapid and unpredictable. Students who can assess situations, understand multiple viewpoints, and use available tools wisely are better prepared to adapt and thrive.

3. Enhanced Problem-Solving Skills: Critical thinking is fundamental to effective problem-solving. Encouraging students to think critically and analytically fosters an environment where they can approach problems methodically and creatively. This skill is invaluable across all disciplines and in everyday life.

4. Informed Decision Making: Teaching students to thoughtfully analyze information helps them become informed, discerning, and responsible decision-makers. This is particularly important in an era of information overload, where the ability to sift through vast amounts of data and identify what is credible is crucial.

5. Empowerment Through Knowledge: By applying knowledge strategically, students learn not just to pass tests, but to use their education to influence and improve their surroundings. This empowerment through knowledge builds confidence and encourages lifelong learning and civic engagement.

6. Utilization of Digital and Technological Tools: In the 21st century, digital literacy is nearly as fundamental as reading and writing. Understanding how to effectively and responsibly use technology and digital tools is essential for modern learning and professional environments. Kairos aims to integrate these tools into learning processes, making students proficient and ethical digital citizens.

Overall, Kairos Public Schools' focus on developing critical thinkers who can analyze and apply knowledge using relevant tools aligns with modern educational objectives that aim to prepare students not just academically but also as capable, responsible individuals in a globalized society.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
1.1	1A: 100% of teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the students they are teaching	The teacher shortage from CA is real and we continue to have a few vacancies as we assist teachers with their credential programs. 96% of teachers are fully credentialed	The teacher shortage from CA is real and we continue to have a few vacancies as we assist teachers with their credential programs. 96% of teachers are fully credentialed		100% of teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the students they are teaching	Same
1.2	1B: 100% of students have sufficient access to standards-aligned instructional materials	100% of students have sufficient access to standards-aligned instructional materials	100% of students have sufficient access to standards-aligned instructional materials		100% of students have sufficient access to standards-aligned instructional materials	Same
1.3	1C: 100% of facilities are well maintained and in good repair	100% of facilities are well maintained and in good repair	100% of facilities are well maintained and in good repair		100% of facilities are well maintained and in good repair	Same
1.4	2A: Implementation of State Standards for all learners, including	Kairos provided professional development aligned with State Standards	Kairos provided professional development aligned with State		3 Professional Development Sessions per year focused on Special	Same

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	English Learners and students with disabilities	which supported all students, including special education and EL student	Standards which supported all students, including special education and EL student		Education and English Learners support	
1.5	2B: All programs and services enable English Learners to access State Standards and English Language Development (ELD) standards for the purposes of gaining academic content knowledge and English Language Proficiency	100% of English Learners have access to ELD standards	100% of English Learners have access to ELD standards		100% of English Learners have access to ELD standards	Same
1.6	4A: Maintain or Increase overall California Assessment of Student Performance of Progress (CAASPP) in English Language Arts (ELA) for all students and displayed on the California State Dashboard by maintaining "Green" or improving to "Blue" Status.	ELA for all students is "Green"	ELA status is predicted to remain in the Green		ELA for all students is "Green"	Same
1.7	4B: Maintain or Increase overall California Assessment of Student Performance of Progress (CAASPP) in Math for all students and displayed on the California State Dashboard by maintaining "Green" or	Math for all students is "Yellow"	Math is anticipated to remain in Yellow status. More improvement is needed		Math for all students is "Green"	Same

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	improving to "Blue" Status.					
1.8	4C: Increase overall California Assessment of Student Performance of Progress (CAASPP) in ELA for students with disabilities and displayed on the California State Dashboard by improving to "Green" Status.	ELA is "yellow" for students with disabilities	This status is unknown until the Fall. Will update at the next mid-year update		ELA would be "green" for students with disabilities	Unknown
1.9	4D: Increase overall California Assessment of Student Performance of Progress (CAASPP) in math for students with disabilities and displayed on the California State Dashboard by improving to "Green" Status.	Math is "yellow" for students with disabilities	This status is unknown until the Fall. Will update at the next mid-year update		Math would be "green" for students with disabilities	Unknown

Goal Analysis [2024-25]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

The goal was implemented through a series of structured steps that aligned closely with the original plan. While the core components of the goal were executed as intended, there were some differences between the planned actions and what was ultimately implemented. One being the need for Emergency credential waivers for some teaching positions due to the California Teaching Shortage.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

There were no material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Overall, the goal is effective and needed at Kairos Public Schools. Some of the external factors such as the teaching shortage make the goal challenging to make the intended progress on the goal.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

There were no major structural changes to the goal itself, metrics, or target outcomes for Goal 1 in the 2025–26 plan. However, reflections from the prior year did inform some minor but relevant considerations and implementation adjustments, especially in response to external challenges.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
1.1	Professional Development	Provide Professional Development to all teachers on instructional strategies and curriculum aligned to state standards	$38,575.00	No Yes
1.2	High Quality Staffing	Ensure all teaching staff hired meet credential requirements for the courses they teach.	$75,250.00	No Yes
1.3	Professional Development on Data	Provide training for teachers on verified data tools and how to use the data to refine instructional practice including consultation with LEAP Instructional Partners	$60,000.00	No Yes
1.4	ELD Support	Utilize English Language Development strategies: Integrated English Language Development provides English Learners with opportunities to practice language skills and access content through the day.	$116,023.00	Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
1.5	Students with Disabilities	Goals and services will be addressed to ensure students with disabilities are growing in academic knowledge and meeting state standards	$42,000.00	No Yes

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
2	Ensure multi-tiered systems of support are in place to ensure scholars have access to a safe and supportive learning environment.	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 2: State Standards (Conditions of Learning)
Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)
Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement)
Priority 6: School Climate (Engagement)
Priority 7: Course Access (Conditions of Learning)
Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

By focusing on this goal, KPS can create a nurturing environment that promotes not only academic success but also the overall well-being of students, making the educational setting a place where students feel secure and are motivated to learn.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
2.1	2A: ELA CAASPP Scores for Students with Disabilities	Dashboard Indicators shows students with disabilities performed "yellow"	Data is unavailable until Fall of 2025, but resources were allocated for this metric		Dashboard Indicators will show students with disabilities performed "green"	Same
2.2	2B: Math CAASPP Scores for Students with Disabilities	Dashboard Indicators shows students with disabilities performed yellow"	Data is unavailable until Fall of 2025, but resources were allocated for this metric		Dashboard Indicators will show students with disabilities performed "green"	Same
2.3	4A: Learning Loss ELA	DFS average for all students was listed at 27.9 above standard	Data is unavailable until Fall of 2025, but resources were		DFS average for all students will	Same

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
			allocated for this metric		show listed at 32 above standard	
2.4	4B: Learning Loss Math	DFS average for all students was listed at -5 below standard	Data is unavailable until Fall of 2025, but resources were allocated for this metric		DFS average for all students will show listed at 10 above standard	Same
2.5	6A: Current Counseling Load	Counselor currently meets with 55 students per month	KPS Hired additional counseling staff to reduce the caseload and improve social and emotional resources available		Counselors meet with up to 55 students per month each	Reduced caseloads due to hiring of additional staff
2.6	6B SEL Integration	All grade levels incorporate SEL lesson at least once per week, TK-8	All grade levels incorporate SEL lesson at least once per week, TK-10		All grade levels to incorporate SEL lesson at least once per week, TK-8	All grade levels incorporate SEL lesson at least once per week, TK-10
2.7	5A: Intervention Enrollment	60 students participated in LLI Intervention	Target goal of students participated in LLI Intervention		40 students participated in LLI Intervention	Met target
2.8	7A: Course Access	100% of students have access to a broad course of study	100% of students have access to a broad course of study		100% of students have access to a broad course of study	100% of students have access to a broad course of study

Goal Analysis [2024-25]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

The planned actions such as SEL training, intervention programs, support for students with disabilities, and community outreach were budgeted and identified and evidence continues to be analyzed by the leadership teams to understand its overall effectiveness. There were no substantial changes between planned actions and actual services.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

At the time of this LCAP submission, there are no material differences between the budgeted expenditures and the estimated actual expenditures for the actions outlined under Goal 2. All planned actions including those related to SEL integration, intervention programming, and support services for students with disabilities and English learners have either been implemented as budgeted or are in progress with spending projections aligning to original allocations.

Additionally, there are no deviations in the planned versus estimated percentages of improved services for unduplicated student groups. Monitoring and evaluation of actual outcomes and expenditures will continue through the mid-year and annual reporting processes. If any material variances emerge during those periods, they will be addressed and documented in subsequent updates.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

The actions implemented under Goal 2 have shown early effectiveness in supporting the creation of a safe and supportive learning environment, although a full evaluation of their long-term impact is still underway.

Action 2.1 – SEL Training has been positively received by staff, and the integration of weekly SEL lessons across TK-8 classrooms has contributed to a more consistent culture of emotional support and student engagement. Ongoing professional development continues to strengthen implementation fidelity.

Action 2.2 – Intervention Program demonstrated effectiveness in addressing academic needs for targeted students, though enrollment was lower than projected (40 students versus 60 the prior year). This indicates a need for deeper outreach and early identification systems to connect more students with interventions.

Action 2.4 – Support for Students with Disabilities has contributed to stronger IEP goal alignment and increased access to general education settings. While overall CAASPP performance for students with disabilities remains a growth area, internal progress monitoring shows incremental academic gains.

Action 2.5 – ELD Coordination continues to ensure compliance and support for English learners. The use of designated and integrated ELD strategies has been aligned to state standards, with continued monitoring planned to track language development outcomes.

Action 2.6 – Community Outreach has improved family access to support resources, particularly for socioeconomically disadvantaged students. This has had a stabilizing effect on school climate, though further investment may be required to sustain impact.

Overall, the actions under Goal 2 have been largely effective in advancing student well-being, inclusivity, and academic access. Areas requiring continued focus include increasing intervention access and expanding data-informed monitoring systems to measure student growth and behavioral trends.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Based on reflections from prior practice and preliminary outcome data, no substantive changes were made to the overall structure of Goal 2, its metrics, or its target outcomes for the 2025–26 school year. The goal continues to prioritize the implementation of multi-tiered systems of support (MTSS) to foster a safe and supportive learning environment.

However, some implementation refinements were made at the action level to improve impact:

Intervention Program (Action 2.2): While effective for participating students, the number of students enrolled in the LLI intervention was lower than anticipated. As a result, staff will increase efforts in early identification and referrals, and improve outreach to ensure more students benefit from targeted interventions.

Community Outreach (Action 2.6): Given the ongoing needs of socioeconomically disadvantaged families, outreach services will be expanded to include deeper collaboration with local agencies and targeted supports during transitions (e.g., enrollment, grade-level promotion).

Support for Students with Disabilities (Action 2.4): Reflection on IEP implementation and academic performance trends led to enhanced professional development planned for 2025–26, focusing on co-teaching strategies and inclusive practices.

SEL Training (Action 2.1): Stakeholder feedback affirmed the value of weekly SEL instruction. Therefore, SEL integration will continue with an increased focus on trauma-informed practices and alignment to behavior intervention strategies within MTSS.

These refinements do not alter the core intent of Goal 2, but rather strengthen its implementation fidelity and alignment with evolving student needs. Metrics remain the same to ensure consistency in monitoring year-over-year progress.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
2.1	SEL Training	Provide Professional Development and Resources to integrate Social and Emotional Learning within the instructional program on a regular basis	$79,000.00	No
2.2	Intervention Program	Refine our Intervention program that is student centered and guided by data and performance	$47,000.00	No Yes
2.3	Learning Loss	Close the gap on any learning loss due to the pandemic	$64,432.00	No
2.4	Support for Students with Disabilities	Increase services for students with disabilities to ensure they have assistance needed to master academic standards	$42,407.00	No
2.5	ELD Coordinator	Our ELD Coordinator provides designated English Language Development and helps monitor student growth and progress.	$4,000.00	Yes
2.6	Community Outreach	Provide Socially Economically Disadvantaged students tools and resources for support within the community.	$15,000.00	Yes

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
3	Ensure opportunities are provided for scholars to interact thoughtfully within the global community	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 3: Parental Involvement (Engagement)

Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement)

Priority 6: School Climate (Engagement)

Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

This goal was developed for Kairos for several reasons, reflecting its commitment to fostering comprehensive educational experiences that extend beyond local or national boundaries.

1. Global Awareness and Competence: In an increasingly interconnected world, it's important for students to understand global issues and perspectives. Providing these opportunities helps students develop a sense of global citizenship, enhancing their awareness and sensitivity towards different cultures, economies, and global challenges.

2. Preparation for a Globalized Economy: Kairos aims to prepare students for success in a globalized economy. Interacting with the global community helps students gain essential skills such as cross-cultural communication and international collaboration, which are highly valued in the global job market.

3. Enhancing Educational Outcomes: Exposure to diverse perspectives and ideas can stimulate critical thinking, creativity, and problem-solving skills. Engaging with global issues and communities enriches the learning experience, making it more comprehensive and relevant.

4. Empathy and Ethical Development: Engaging thoughtfully with the global community fosters empathy and ethical understanding. It encourages students to think about their role in the world and the impact of their actions on a global scale, promoting responsible and ethical behavior.

5. Networking and Opportunities: Interactions within a global context can provide students with networking opportunities that might be beneficial for their future academic and career paths. This exposure can open doors to international scholarships, internships, and employment opportunities.

Thus, this goal aligns with Kairos's broader mission to equip students not just academically but also socially and ethically, preparing them to be effective and compassionate leaders in a global society.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
3.1	Priority 5A: Maintain above 94% Attendance Rates	ADA for 2023-2024 has been 97%	ADA for 2024-2025 was approximately 98%		ADA will be at least 96%	Above Target Goal
3.2	Priority 5B: Maintain and improve low amount of students identified as Chronically absent	Dashboard reflects a "very low" rate of chronic absenteeism	Anticipated improvement but the data is not yet available publicly		Dashboard will reflect a "very low" rate of chronic absenteeism	Improvement
3.3	Priority 6A: Decrease Suspension rates for hispanic students	Dashboard reflects a " low" rate of suspensions	Anticipated improvement but the data is not yet available publicly		Dashboard will reflect a " low" rate of suspensions	Expected Improvement
3.4	Priority 6B: Decrease Suspension rates for socioeconomically disadvantaged students	Currently "Yellow" on the state dashboard	Anticipated improvement but the data is not yet available publicly		Dashboard will reflect a " low" rate of suspensions	Expected Improvement
3.5	Priority 6C: Expulsion Rates	0% Expulsion Rate	0% Expulsion Rate		Maintain a 0% expulsion rate	Maintained
3.6	Priority 3A: Parent Surveys on community impact	Currently 96% satisfied	Currently 96% satisfied		A minimum of 95% of parents will report as satisfied on community impact.	Maintained
3.7	Priority 3B: Parent surveys overall development	Currently 98% satisfied	97% satisfied		A minimum of 95% of parents will report as satisfied on survey results	Maintained
3.8	Priority 8: Community Service Hours	Average of 4,000 hours per year volunteered	Average of 4,000 hours per year volunteered		>4,000 hours annually	Maintained

Goal Analysis [2024-25]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

The implementation of Goal 3 during the 2024–25 school year has progressed as planned, with most actions executed in alignment with their original design. The goal focuses on enhancing student engagement, fostering global citizenship, and promoting strong parent-school-community connections.

Action 3.1 – Parent Involvement was implemented as intended. Weekly briefs, podcast updates, monthly Parent Advisory Council (PAC) meetings, and the annual Community Impact Report have supported consistent communication and engagement. These tools helped maintain high levels of parent satisfaction (96–98%), as reported in stakeholder surveys.

Action 3.2 – Student Engagement activities were successfully carried out, including schoolwide events such as Fall Festival, concerts, community lunches, and athletic programs. These efforts created inclusive spaces for students to connect, celebrate, and express themselves, supporting positive school climate metrics.

Action 3.3 – Communication Skills Development was integrated through student-led presentations, exhibitions, and ambassador programs. While these experiences fostered real-world speaking and collaboration skills, some campuses reported challenges with consistency in implementation across grade levels. In response, more structured rubrics and staff support will be introduced next year.

There were no substantive differences between the planned actions and their actual implementation. However, event participation rates were slightly lower in some middle-grade activities, prompting a reflection on student voice and scheduling flexibility to increase future attendance and enthusiasm.

Overall, the implementation of Goal 3 has been effective in advancing school culture, student leadership, and family involvement. Continued refinement in cross-grade coordination and embedding global citizenship themes into academic content will further strengthen its impact.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

For Goal 3, there were no material differences between the budgeted expenditures and the estimated actual expenditures for the 2024–25 school year. All planned actions—including those supporting parent involvement, student engagement events, and communication skills development—were implemented within their allocated budgets.

Specifically:

Parent Involvement (Action 3.1) was implemented as planned

Student Engagement Activities (Action 3.2) were delivered successfully with no change from the budgeted $13,200.

Communication Skills Development (Action 3.3) remained on track with estimated expenditures aligned to the planned amount of $15,575.

Additionally, Goal 3 actions did not carry designated funding under the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for unduplicated student groups, and therefore, no variance is reported in that metric.

All actions under Goal 3 were executed in a fiscally responsible manner, and services were delivered as intended without requiring reallocation or modification of planned financial resources.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

The specific actions under Goal 3 have been largely effective in making progress toward fostering student engagement, global awareness, and strong family-school-community relationships.

Action 3.1 – Parent Involvement has been effective, as evidenced by high levels of family engagement reported through parent surveys, with 96% of families indicating satisfaction with communication and community impact, and 98% with overall school experience. Consistent communication through weekly briefs, podcasts, PAC meetings, and the annual Community Impact Report has contributed to this outcome.

Action 3.2 – Student Engagement has resulted in robust participation in schoolwide events such as exhibitions, concerts, athletic tournaments, community lunches, and festivals. These experiences promote cultural connection, collaboration, and real-world socialization—key pillars of global citizenship and civic engagement.

Action 3.3 – Communication Skills Development has supported student presentations, scholar ambassador programs, and public speaking opportunities. These initiatives have been particularly impactful at the middle school level, though more consistency is needed across grade spans to ensure equitable access to these skill-building experiences.

While most actions are meeting their intended outcomes, feedback indicates that middle school engagement could be improved through student-driven planning and event customization. This insight will guide refinements for the upcoming year.

Overall, the actions tied to Goal 3 have had a meaningful impact in advancing a globally minded, community-engaged student body and fostering a culture of connection between home, school, and the wider community.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Following reflections on prior implementation and feedback from stakeholders, no major changes were made to the overall goal statement or core metrics under Goal 3. The foundational emphasis on student engagement, parent involvement, and fostering global citizenship remains relevant and strongly aligned with community priorities.

However, some adjustments have been made at the action level to enhance effectiveness and respond to observed challenges:

Student Engagement (Action 3.2): While most events were successful, some middle-grade activities experienced lower participation than expected. In response, the coming year will include more student-led planning opportunities and event modifications to reflect student interests and grade-specific needs. This aims to increase buy-in and attendance.

Communication Skills (Action 3.3): While student exhibitions and ambassador opportunities were impactful, implementation varied across sites. To address this, the plan will include more structured expectations and rubrics to ensure consistency in how communication opportunities are integrated across all grade levels.

Parent Involvement (Action 3.1): Stakeholder feedback affirmed the effectiveness of ongoing communication. Therefore, no major revisions were made, though there will be expanded use of family feedback loops (e.g., quick surveys, listening sessions) to further tailor communications to parent needs.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
3.1	Parent Involvement	Provide ongoing communication and information, resources, and support for families by: • Sending home a weekly briefs • Podcast topics covered for parent trainings and resources • Meeting monthly with all parents at Parent Advisory Council Meetings • Annual Community Impact Report	$34,000.00	No
3.2	Student Engagement	Provide regular student engagement events • Fall Festival • Middle School Harvest Fest • Elementary Scarecrow Patch • Music Concerts	$13,200.00	No

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		• Jog -0-Thon • Middle School Community Lunches • Dances • Healthy Kids Challenge • Middle School Exhibition Nights • Community Service Opportunities • Middle School Momentum Night • Sports Tournament • Athletic Program • Scholar Ambassador Program		
3.3	Communication Skills	Develop opportunities for scholars to further develop communications skills.	$16,000.00	No Yes

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
4		

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline

Goal Analysis [2024-25]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
5		

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline

Goal Analysis [2024-25]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students [2025-26]

Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant
$397,377	$59,607

Required Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the LCAP Year

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year	LCFF Carryover — Percentage	LCFF Carryover — Dollar	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year
4.542%	0.000%	$0.00	4.542%

The Budgeted Expenditures for Actions identified as Contributing may be found in the Contributing Actions Table.

Required Descriptions

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions
For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
1.1	**Action:** Professional Development **Need:** High Quality Instruction **Scope:** LEA-wide	Professional development (PD) directly addresses several critical needs within the school environment: Teacher Effectiveness: Enhancing the skills and knowledge of educators ensures they are equipped with the latest instructional strategies and content knowledge. This directly impacts student learning outcomes by improving the quality of instruction.	Dashboard Indicators and Internal Assessments

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
		Student Achievement: Well-trained teachers are better able to address diverse student needs, implement effective teaching practices, and ultimately raise student achievement levels. Professional development helps in closing the achievement gap between different student sub-groups. Retention of Quality Staff: Ongoing professional growth opportunities contribute to job satisfaction and retention of high-quality educators. When teachers feel supported and see opportunities for professional growth, they are more likely to remain in their positions, contributing to school stability and continuity. Adaptation to Changes: Professional development prepares teachers to adapt to new educational standards, technological advancements, and evolving pedagogical methods. This is crucial for maintaining a modern and effective learning environment. Building Leadership Capacity: By including leadership training in professional development, schools can cultivate future leaders from within their existing staff. This helps in succession planning and ensures that the school has a pipeline of capable leaders for the future. Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis Providing professional development on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis ensures consistency and alignment across the entire educational	

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
		community. This broad approach offers several benefits:	
		Consistency in Instruction: When all teachers receive the same training, it ensures a uniform approach to teaching and learning across classrooms. This consistency helps in maintaining high standards of education throughout the school or district.	
		Collaborative Culture: Schoolwide professional development fosters a culture of collaboration and shared learning among teachers. It encourages the sharing of best practices, peer support, and a sense of community within the school.	
		Resource Optimization: Organizing PD sessions at the LEA or school level is often more cost-effective. It allows for the pooling of resources, hiring of expert trainers, and purchase of high-quality training materials that might be too expensive for individual teachers or smaller groups.	
		Strategic Alignment: LEA-wide or schoolwide professional development ensures that training is aligned with the school's or district's strategic goals and initiatives. This alignment helps in driving systemic change and achieving long-term educational objectives.	
		Comprehensive Impact: When PD is delivered to all staff members, the entire educational ecosystem benefits. This includes teachers, administrators, and support staff, leading to a	

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
		more holistic improvement in the school's or district's performance.	
1.2	**Action:** High Quality Staffing **Need:** Teacher Shortage **Scope:** LEA-wide	High-quality staffing addresses essential needs within the school environment by enhancing student learning, reducing achievement gaps, and improving the overall school climate. Experienced and skilled educators contribute to increased student engagement and motivation, fostering a positive and inclusive school culture. High-quality staffing also leads to higher retention rates among teachers, providing continuity and stability in the educational process. This approach ensures that students receive high-caliber instruction and support, which is critical for their academic success and personal growth. Implementing high-quality staffing on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis ensures equitable access to excellent educators for all students, promoting consistency in instructional quality and standards across the district. It supports professional development and collaboration among educators, leading to continuous improvement in teaching practices. Effective resource allocation, strategic leadership, and community engagement are also facilitated by this approach. Examples from Kairos Public Schools illustrate the positive impact of high-quality staffing, where dedicated educators and administrators contribute significantly to student success, inclusive education, and a supportive learning environment.	SARC Report, Missing Assignment, Teacher Vacancies
1.3	**Action:** Professional Development on Data	Professional development on data usage addresses critical needs within schools by empowering educators to make informed	Dashboard Indicators

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	Need: Student Achievement **Scope:** LEA-wide	instructional decisions. By training teachers to effectively analyze and utilize assessment data, they can identify student strengths and weaknesses, allowing for tailored instruction that meets individual student needs. This targeted approach leads to improved student outcomes, as teachers can adjust their strategies to address specific learning gaps and challenges. Providing professional development on data usage on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis ensures that all educators are equipped with the necessary skills to implement data-driven instruction uniformly. This consistency promotes a cohesive approach to monitoring student progress and designing effective interventions, ultimately enhancing student achievement across the district. Furthermore, it fosters a culture of accountability and transparency, where data is used systematically to inform teaching practices and educational strategies, supporting overall school improvement and success.	
1.4	**Action:** ELD Support **Need:** English Learners **Scope:** LEA-wide	Growth for English Leaders	Dashboard Indicators
1.5	**Action:** Students with Disabilities	Students with Disabilities supports are need across the organization in order to improve Student Achievement	Dashboard Indicators

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	Need: **Scope:** LEA-wide		
2.2	**Action:** Intervention Program **Need:** Academic Growth **Scope:** LEA-wide	Intervention programs address critical needs within schools by providing targeted support to students who are struggling academically or behaviorally. These programs offer tailored instruction and resources, helping to bridge learning gaps and prevent minor issues from escalating into significant academic failures. By identifying at-risk students early and providing the necessary support, intervention programs enhance student achievement and contribute to a more positive school climate. Implementing intervention programs on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis ensures equitable access to support for all students, regardless of their background or school. This approach promotes consistency in the implementation of intervention strategies, maintaining high standards of support across the district. By offering uniform procedures and practices, it ensures that all students receive the same level of assistance, ultimately fostering educational equity and improving overall student outcomes.	Star 360 Scores
2.5	**Action:** ELD Coordinator	ELD supoprts are need across the organization in order to improve English Language	Dashboard Indicators

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	Need: **Scope:** LEA-wide		
2.6	**Action:** Community Outreach **Need:** Community Partnerships **Scope:** LEA-wide	Community outreach addresses critical needs within schools by strengthening relationships between the school and the broader community. By engaging with parents, local businesses, and community organizations, schools foster a sense of shared responsibility and support for their goals and initiatives. This collaboration enhances the school environment and creates a network of support that benefits students academically, socially, and emotionally. Providing community outreach on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis ensures that all students and families have access to valuable resources and services. This approach promotes consistency in engagement efforts and helps build a unified community that supports student success. Increased parental involvement, access to tutoring, counseling, and extracurricular activities are some of the key benefits, contributing to a supportive and enriching educational experience for all students.	Board Reports, Grants,
3.3	**Action:** Communication Skills **Need:** Prepared for future Careers	Enhancing communication skills within the school environment addresses critical needs such as improving student outcomes, resolving conflicts, and strengthening teacher-student relationships. Effective communication skills enable students to articulate their thoughts clearly, engage in	Public Presentations of Student Work

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	Scope: LEA-wide	meaningful discussions, and understand instructional material better, leading to higher academic performance. Additionally, strong communication skills help in resolving conflicts amicably, creating a more positive school climate and fostering a safe, supportive learning environment. For teachers, improved communication skills enhance their ability to connect with students and understand their needs, which bolsters the overall effectiveness of instruction and student-teacher relationships. Providing professional development on communication skills on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis ensures that all educators, staff, and students benefit from consistent and effective communication practices. This approach promotes equity by ensuring that everyone has access to training and the opportunity to improve their abilities. It also fosters a collaborative culture, enhancing teamwork, problem-solving, and community building within the school. Implementing communication skills training on a large scale maximizes its impact, leading to better academic and social outcomes for students and creating a cohesive educational environment.	

Limited Actions

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
1.4	**Action:** ELD Support **Need:** English Learners **Scope:** Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Growth for English Leaders	Dashboard Indicators
2.5	**Action:** ELD Coordinator **Need:** English Learners **Scope:** Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Growth for EL Students	Dashboard Indicators

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

For the 2025–26 LCAP, Kairos Public Schools did not assign a Planned Percentage of Improved Services based on non-LCFF expenditures for any limited actions. All limited actions contributing toward the increased or improved services requirement for unduplicated student groups—such as ELD Support (Action 1.4) and ELD Coordinator (Action 2.5)—were tied directly to expenditures from LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration funds.

As a result, there is no applied methodology necessary for converting indirect or non-fiscal contributions into a proportional percentage in the Contributing Summary Table. All contributions toward the required increase or improvement of services were calculated based solely on actual LCFF-funded actions.

Should Kairos opt to assign non-fiscal contributions toward the proportional percentage in future years, it will utilize a methodology based on the estimated cost of providing the action if it were fully funded, compared against the total LCFF allocation, consistent with CDE guidance and regulatory expectations.

Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

This is not applicable to KPS

Staff-to-student ratios by type of school and concentration of unduplicated students	Schools with a student concentration of 55 percent or less	Schools with a student concentration of greater than 55 percent
Staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students	Not applicable	Not Applicable
Staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students	Not applicable	Not Applicable

2025-26 Total Planned Expenditures Table

LCAP Year	1. Projected LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Input Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)
Totals	8,748,718	397,377	4.542%	0.000%	4.542%

Totals	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel
Totals	$148,558.00	$438,329.00	$60,000.00	$0.00	$646,887.00	$532,923.00	$113,964.00

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Planned Percentage of Improved Services
1	1.1	Professional Development	All	No Yes	LEA-wide		All Schools	2025-2026	$0.00	$38,575.00	$20,000.00	$18,575.00			$38,575.00	15
1	1.2	High Quality Staffing	All	No Yes	LEA-wide		All Schools	2025-2026	$75,250.00	$0.00	$75,250.00				$75,250.00	15
1	1.3	Professional Development on Data	All	No Yes	LEA-wide		All Schools	2025-2026	$0.00	$60,000.00			$60,000.00		$60,000.00	
1	1.4	ELD Support	English Learners	Yes	LEA-wide Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	English Learners	All Schools	2025-20256	$116,023.00	$0.00		$116,023.00			$116,023.00	
1	1.5	Students with Disabilities	Students with Disabilities	No Yes	LEA-wide		All Schools	2025-2026	$40,000.00	$2,000.00		$42,000.00			$42,000.00	
2	2.1	SEL Training	All	No			All Schools	Annually	$79,000.00	$0.00		$79,000.00			$79,000.00	
2	2.2	Intervention Program	All	No Yes	LEA-wide		All Schools	2025-2026	$45,000.00	$2,000.00		$47,000.00			$47,000.00	

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Planned Percentage of Improved Services
2	2.3	Learning Loss	All	No			All Schools	2025-2026	$64,432.00	$0.00		$64,432.00			$64,432.00	
2	2.4	Support for Students with Disabilities	Students with Disabilities	No			All Schools	2025-2026	$42,407.00	$0.00	$9,547.00	$32,860.00			$42,407.00	15
2	2.5	ELD Coordinator	English Learners	Yes	LEA-wide Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	English Learners	All Schools		$4,000.00	$0.00	$4,000.00				$4,000.00	15
2	2.6	Community Outreach	Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	Low Income	All Schools	2025-2026	$15,000.00	$0.00	$9,053.00	$5,947.00			$15,000.00	15
3	3.1	Parent Involvement	All	No			All Schools	2025-2026	$30,536.00	$3,464.00	$26,283.00	$7,717.00			$34,000.00	15
3	3.2	Student Engagement	All	No			All Schools	2025-2026	$9,700.00	$3,500.00		$13,200.00			$13,200.00	
3	3.3	Communication Skills	All	No Yes	LEA-wide		All Schools		$11,575.00	$4,425.00	$4,425.00	$11,575.00			$16,000.00	8

2025-26 Contributing Actions Table

1. Projected LCFF Base Grant	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)	Totals by Type	Total LCFF Funds
8,748,718	397,377	4.542%	0.000%	4.542%	$112,728.00	98.000%	99.289 %	**Total:**	$112,728.00
								LEA-wide Total:	$112,728.00
								Limited Total:	$4,000.00
								Schoolwide Total:	$0.00

Goal	Action #	Action Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
1	1.1	Professional Development	Yes	LEA-wide		All Schools	$20,000.00	15
1	1.2	High Quality Staffing	Yes	LEA-wide		All Schools	$75,250.00	15
1	1.3	Professional Development on Data	Yes	LEA-wide		All Schools		
1	1.4	ELD Support	Yes	LEA-wide Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	English Learners	All Schools		
1	1.5	Students with Disabilities	Yes	LEA-wide		All Schools		
2	2.1	SEL Training				All Schools		
2	2.2	Intervention Program	Yes	LEA-wide		All Schools		
2	2.3	Learning Loss				All Schools		
2	2.4	Support for Students with Disabilities				All Schools	$9,547.00	15

Goal	Action #	Action Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
2	2.5	ELD Coordinator	Yes	LEA-wide Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	English Learners	All Schools	$4,000.00	15
2	2.6	Community Outreach	Yes	LEA-wide	Low Income	All Schools	$9,053.00	15
3	3.1	Parent Involvement				All Schools	$26,283.00	15
3	3.2	Student Engagement				All Schools		
3	3.3	Communication Skills	Yes	LEA-wide		All Schools	$4,425.00	8

2024-25 Annual Update Table

Totals	Last Year's Total Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Total Estimated Expenditures (Total Funds)
Totals	$638,026.00	$654,646.00

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
1	1.1	Professional Development	No Yes	$38,575.00	35,174
1	1.2	High Quality Staffing	No Yes	$75,250.00	75217
1	1.3	Professional Development on Data	No Yes	$56,000.00	70000
1	1.4	ELD Support	Yes	$116,023.00	113529
1	1.5	Students with Disabilities	No Yes	$42,000.00	41339
2	2.1	SEL Training	No	$77,175.00	80,830
2	2.2	Intervention Program	No Yes	$46,800.00	46958
2	2.3	Learning Loss	No	$64,432.00	65296

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
2	2.4	Support for Students with Disabilities	No	$42,407.00	41339
2	2.5	ELD Coordinator	Yes	$3,500.00	4000
2	2.6	Community Outreach	Yes	$13,553.00	16745
3	3.1	Parent Involvement	No	$33,536.00	34906
3	3.2	Student Engagement	No	$13,200.00	13190
3	3.3	Communication Skills	No Yes	$15,575.00	16123

2024-25 Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

6. Estimated LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	7. Total Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	8. Total Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)
$330,916	$172,322.00	$172,322.00	$0.00	70.000%	70.000%	0.000%

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Input LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services	Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Input Percentage)
1	1.1	Professional Development	Yes	$34,912	$34,912	10	10
1	1.2	High Quality Staffing	Yes	$75,217	$75,217	10	10
1	1.3	Professional Development on Data	Yes	$14,000	$14,000	10	10
1	1.4	ELD Support	Yes	0	0	0	0
1	1.5	Students with Disabilities	Yes	$6,325	$6,325	10	10
2	2.2	Intervention Program	Yes	$9,000	$9,000	10	10
2	2.5	ELD Coordinator	Yes	0	0	0	0
2	2.6	Community Outreach	Yes	$16,745	$16,745	10	10
3	3.3	Communication Skills	Yes	$16,123	$16,123	10	10

2024-25 LCFF Carryover Table

9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 + Carryover %)	7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)	11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)	12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)	13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)
$7,938,783	$330,916	0	4.168%	$172,322.00	70.000%	72.171%	$0.00	0.000%

Local Control and Accountability Plan Instructions

[Plan Summary](#)

[Engaging Educational Partners](#)

[Goals and Actions](#)

[Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students](#)

For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) template, please contact the local county office of education (COE), or the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Local Agency Systems Support Office, by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at LCFF@cde.ca.gov.

Introduction and Instructions

The Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) requires local educational agencies (LEAs) to engage their local educational partners in an annual planning process to evaluate their progress within eight state priority areas encompassing all statutory metrics (COEs have 10 state priorities). LEAs document the results of this planning process in the LCAP using the template adopted by the State Board of Education.

The LCAP development process serves three distinct, but related functions:

- **Comprehensive Strategic Planning:** The process of developing and annually updating the LCAP supports comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (California Education Code [EC] Section 52064[e][1]). Strategic planning that is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students.

- **Meaningful Engagement of Educational Partners:** The LCAP development process should result in an LCAP that reflects decisions made through meaningful engagement (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Local educational partners possess valuable perspectives and insights about an LEA's programs and services. Effective strategic planning will incorporate these perspectives and insights in order to identify potential goals and actions to be included in the LCAP.

- **Accountability and Compliance:** The LCAP serves an important accountability function because the nature of some LCAP template sections require LEAs to show that they have complied with various requirements specified in the LCFF statutes and regulations, most notably:

  - Demonstrating that LEAs are increasing or improving services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students in proportion to the amount of additional funding those students generate under LCFF (EC Section 52064[b][4-6]).

  - Establishing goals, supported by actions and related expenditures, that address the statutory priority areas and statutory metrics (EC sections 52064[b][1] and [2]).

    - **NOTE:** As specified in EC Section 62064(b)(1), the LCAP must provide a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified pursuant to EC Section 52052, to be achieved for each of the state priorities. Beginning in 2023–24, EC

Section 52052 identifies long-term English learners as a separate and distinct pupil subgroup with a numerical significance at 15 students.

o Annually reviewing and updating the LCAP to reflect progress toward the goals (EC Section 52064[b][7]).

o Ensuring that all increases attributable to supplemental and concentration grant calculations, including concentration grant add-on funding and/or LCFF carryover, are reflected in the LCAP (EC sections 52064[b][6], [8], and [11]).

The LCAP template, like each LEA's final adopted LCAP, is a document, not a process. LEAs must use the template to memorialize the outcome of their LCAP development process, which must: (a) reflect comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), (b) through meaningful engagement with educational partners that (c) meets legal requirements, as reflected in the final adopted LCAP. The sections included within the LCAP template do not and cannot reflect the full development process, just as the LCAP template itself is not intended as a tool for engaging educational partners.

If a county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over a single school district, the county board of education and the governing board of the school district may adopt and file for review and approval a single LCAP consistent with the requirements in EC sections 52060, 52062, 52066, 52068, and 52070. The LCAP must clearly articulate to which entity's budget (school district or county superintendent of schools) all budgeted and actual expenditures are aligned.

The revised LCAP template for the 2024–25, 2025–26, and 2026–27 school years reflects statutory changes made through Senate Bill 114 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review), Chapter 48, Statutes of 2023 and Senate Bill 153, Chapter 38, Statues of 2024.

At its most basic, the adopted LCAP should attempt to distill not just what the LEA is doing for students in transitional kindergarten through grade twelve (TK–12), but also allow educational partners to understand why, and whether those strategies are leading to improved opportunities and outcomes for students. LEAs are strongly encouraged to use language and a level of detail in their adopted LCAPs intended to be meaningful and accessible for the LEA's diverse educational partners and the broader public.

In developing and finalizing the LCAP for adoption, LEAs are encouraged to keep the following overarching frame at the forefront of the strategic planning and educational partner engagement functions:

Given present performance across the state priorities and on indicators in the Dashboard, how is the LEA using its budgetary resources to respond to TK–12 student and community needs, and address any performance gaps, including by meeting its obligation to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students?

LEAs are encouraged to focus on a set of metrics and actions which, based on research, experience, and input gathered from educational partners, the LEA believes will have the biggest impact on behalf of its TK–12 students.

These instructions address the requirements for each section of the LCAP but may include information about effective practices when developing the LCAP and completing the LCAP document. Additionally, the beginning of each template section includes information emphasizing the purpose that section serves.

Plan Summary

Purpose

A well-developed Plan Summary section provides a meaningful context for the LCAP. This section provides information about an LEA's community as well as relevant information about student needs and performance. In order to present a meaningful context for the rest of the LCAP, the content of this section should be clearly and meaningfully related to the content included throughout each subsequent section of the LCAP.

Requirements and Instructions

General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA. LEAs may also provide information about their strategic plan, vision, etc.

Briefly describe the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades TK–12, as applicable to the LEA.

- For example, information about an LEA in terms of geography, enrollment, employment, the number and size of specific schools, recent community challenges, and other such information the LEA may wish to include can enable a reader to more fully understand the LEA's LCAP.

- LEAs may also provide information about their strategic plan, vision, etc.

- As part of this response, identify all schools within the LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funding.

Reflections: Annual Performance

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

Reflect on the LEA's annual performance on the Dashboard and local data. This may include both successes and challenges identified by the LEA during the development process.

LEAs are encouraged to highlight how they are addressing the identified needs of student groups, and/or schools within the LCAP as part of this response.

As part of this response, the LEA must identify the following, which will remain unchanged during the three-year LCAP cycle:

- Any school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard;

- Any student group within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard; and/or

- Any student group within a school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard.

EC Section 52064.4 requires that an LEA that has unexpended Learning Recovery Emergency Block Grant (LREBG) funds must include one or more actions funded with LREBG funds within the 2025-26, 2026-27 and 2027-28 LCAPs, as applicable to the LEA. To implement the requirements of *EC* Section 52064.4, all LEAs must do the following:

- For the 2025–26, 2026–27, and 2027–28 LCAP years, identify whether or not the LEA has unexpended LREBG funds for the applicable LCAP year.
    - If the LEA has unexpended LREBG funds the LEA must provide the following:
        - The goal and action number for each action that will be funded, either in whole or in part, with LREBG funds; and
        - An explanation of the rationale for selecting each action funded with LREBG funds. This explanation must include:
            - An explanation of how the action is aligned with the allowable uses of funds identified in *EC* Section 32526(c)(2); and
            - An explanation of how the action is expected to address the area(s) of need of students and schools identified in the needs assessment required by *EC* Section 32526(d).
                - For information related to the allowable uses of funds and the required needs assessment, please see the Program Information tab on the LREBG Program Information web page.
        - Actions may be grouped together for purposes of these explanations.
        - The LEA may provide these explanations as part of the action description rather than as part of the Reflections: Annual Performance.
    - If the LEA does not have unexpended LREBG funds, the LEA is not required to conduct the needs assessment required by *EC* Section 32526(d), to provide the information identified above or to include actions funded with LREBG funds within the 2025-26, 2026-27 and 2027-28 LCAPs.

Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

Annually identify the reason(s) the LEA is eligible for or has requested technical assistance consistent with EC sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, and provide a summary of the work underway as part of receiving technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance, however this also includes LEAs that have requested technical assistance from their COE.

- If the LEA is not eligible for or receiving technical assistance, the LEA may respond to this prompt as "Not Applicable."

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

An LEA with a school or schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) under the Every Student Succeeds Act must respond to the following prompts:

Schools Identified

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

- Identify the schools within the LEA that have been identified for CSI.

Support for Identified Schools

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

- Describe how the LEA has or will support the identified schools in developing CSI plans that included a school-level needs assessment, evidence-based interventions, and the identification of any resource inequities to be addressed through the implementation of the CSI plan.

Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

- Describe how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of the CSI plan to support student and school improvement.

Engaging Educational Partners

Purpose

Significant and purposeful engagement of parents, students, educators, and other educational partners, including those representing the student groups identified by LCFF, is critical to the development of the LCAP and the budget process. Consistent with statute, such engagement should support comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard, accountability, and improvement across the state priorities and locally identified priorities (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Engagement of educational partners is an ongoing, annual process.

This section is designed to reflect how the engagement of educational partners influenced the decisions reflected in the adopted LCAP. The goal is to allow educational partners that participated in the LCAP development process and the broader public to understand how the LEA engaged educational partners and the impact of that engagement. LEAs are encouraged to keep this goal in the forefront when completing this section.

Requirements

Requirements

School districts and COEs: *EC* Section 52060(g) and *EC* Section 52066(g) specify the educational partners that must be consulted when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,

- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Local bargaining units of the LEA,
- Parents, and
- Students

A school district or COE receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Before adopting the LCAP, school districts and COEs must share it with the applicable committees, as identified below under Requirements and Instructions. The superintendent is required by statute to respond in writing to the comments received from these committees. School districts and COEs must also consult with the special education local plan area administrator(s) when developing the LCAP.

Charter schools: *EC* Section 47606.5(d) requires that the following educational partners be consulted with when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,
- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Parents, and
- Students

A charter school receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at the school generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for the school.

The LCAP should also be shared with, and LEAs should request input from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, student advisory groups, etc.), to facilitate alignment between schoolsite and district-level goals. Information and resources that support effective engagement, define student consultation, and provide the requirements for advisory group composition, can be found under Resources on the CDE's LCAP webpage.

Before the governing board/body of an LEA considers the adoption of the LCAP, the LEA must meet the following legal requirements:

- For school districts, see *Education Code* Section 52062;

    o **Note:** Charter schools using the LCAP as the School Plan for Student Achievement must meet the requirements of *EC* Section 52062(a).

- For COEs, see *Education Code* Section 52068; and

- For charter schools, see *Education Code* Section 47606.5.

- **NOTE:** As a reminder, the superintendent of a school district or COE must respond, in writing, to comments received by the applicable committees identified in the *Education Code* sections listed above. This includes the parent advisory committee and may include the English learner parent advisory committee and, as of July 1, 2024, the student advisory committee, as applicable.

Instructions

Respond to the prompts as follows:

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Complete the table as follows:

Educational Partners

Identify the applicable educational partner(s) or group(s) that were engaged in the development of the LCAP.

Process for Engagement

Describe the engagement process used by the LEA to involve the identified educational partner(s) in the development of the LCAP. At a minimum, the LEA must describe how it met its obligation to consult with all statutorily required educational partners, as applicable to the type of LEA.

- A sufficient response to this prompt must include general information about the timeline of the process and meetings or other engagement strategies with educational partners. A response may also include information about an LEA's philosophical approach to engaging its educational partners.

- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also include a summary of how it consulted with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

Describe any goals, metrics, actions, or budgeted expenditures in the LCAP that were influenced by or developed in response to the educational partner feedback.

- A sufficient response to this prompt will provide educational partners and the public with clear, specific information about how the engagement process influenced the development of the LCAP. This may include a description of how the LEA prioritized requests of educational partners within the context of the budgetary resources available or otherwise prioritized areas of focus within the LCAP.

- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must include a description of how the consultation with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds influenced the development of the adopted LCAP.

- For the purposes of this prompt, this may also include, but is not necessarily limited to:
  - Inclusion of a goal or decision to pursue a Focus Goal (as described below)
  - Inclusion of metrics other than the statutorily required metrics
  - Determination of the target outcome on one or more metrics
  - Inclusion of performance by one or more student groups in the Measuring and Reporting Results subsection
  - Inclusion of action(s) or a group of actions
  - Elimination of action(s) or group of actions
  - Changes to the level of proposed expenditures for one or more actions
  - Inclusion of action(s) as contributing to increased or improved services for unduplicated students
  - Analysis of effectiveness of the specific actions to achieve the goal
  - Analysis of material differences in expenditures
  - Analysis of changes made to a goal for the ensuing LCAP year based on the annual update process
  - Analysis of challenges or successes in the implementation of actions

Goals and Actions

Purpose

Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the LEA plans to accomplish, what the LEA plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the LEA will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal statement, associated metrics and expected outcomes, and the actions included in the goal must be in alignment. The explanation for why the LEA included a goal is an opportunity for LEAs to clearly communicate to educational partners and the public why, among the various strengths and areas for improvement highlighted by performance data and strategies and actions that could be pursued, the LEA decided to pursue this goal, and the related metrics, expected outcomes, actions, and expenditures.

A well-developed goal can be focused on the performance relative to a metric or metrics for all students, a specific student group(s), narrowing performance gaps, or implementing programs or strategies expected to impact outcomes. LEAs should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related actions to achieve such goals.

Requirements and Instructions

LEAs should prioritize the goals, specific actions, and related expenditures included within the LCAP within one or more state priorities. LEAs must consider performance on the state and local indicators, including their locally collected and reported data for the local indicators that are included in the Dashboard, in determining whether and how to prioritize its goals within the LCAP. As previously stated, strategic planning that

is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students, and to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard.

In order to support prioritization of goals, the LCAP template provides LEAs with the option of developing three different kinds of goals:

- Focus Goal: A Focus Goal is relatively more concentrated in scope and may focus on a fewer number of metrics to measure improvement. A Focus Goal statement will be time bound and make clear how the goal is to be measured.

    - All Equity Multiplier goals must be developed as focus goals. For additional information, see Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding below.

- Broad Goal: A Broad Goal is relatively less concentrated in its scope and may focus on improving performance across a wide range of metrics.

- Maintenance of Progress Goal: A Maintenance of Progress Goal includes actions that may be ongoing without significant changes and allows an LEA to track performance on any metrics not addressed in the other goals of the LCAP.

Requirement to Address the LCFF State Priorities

At a minimum, the LCAP must address all LCFF priorities and associated metrics articulated in *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d), as applicable to the LEA. The *LCFF State Priorities Summary* provides a summary of *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d) to aid in the development of the LCAP.

Respond to the following prompts, as applicable:

Focus Goal(s)

Description

The description provided for a Focus Goal must be specific, measurable, and time bound.

- An LEA develops a Focus Goal to address areas of need that may require or benefit from a more specific and data intensive approach.

- The Focus Goal can explicitly reference the metric(s) by which achievement of the goal will be measured and the time frame according to which the LEA expects to achieve the goal.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.

- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.

- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.

Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding

LEAs receiving Equity Multiplier funding must include one or more focus goals for each school generating Equity Multiplier funding. In addition to addressing the focus goal requirements described above, LEAs must adhere to the following requirements.

Focus goals for Equity Multiplier schoolsites must address the following:

(A) All student groups that have the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard, and

(B) Any underlying issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators, if applicable.

- Focus Goals for each and every Equity Multiplier schoolsite must identify specific metrics for each identified student group, as applicable.

- An LEA may create a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites if those schoolsites have the same student group(s) performing at the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard or, experience similar issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators.

  - When creating a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites, the goal must identify the student groups and the performance levels on the Dashboard that the Focus Goal is addressing; or,

  - The common issues the schoolsites are experiencing in credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators, if applicable.

Identify the type of goal being implemented as an Equity Multiplier Focus Goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.

- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.

- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.

- In addition to this information, the LEA must also identify:

    o The school or schools to which the goal applies

LEAs are encouraged to approach an Equity Multiplier goal from a wholistic standpoint, considering how the goal might maximize student outcomes through the use of LCFF and other funding in addition to Equity Multiplier funds.

- Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the Expanded Learning Opportunities Program (ELO-P), the Literacy Coaches and Reading Specialists (LCRS) Grant Program, and/or the California Community Schools Partnership Program (CCSPP).

- This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.

Note: *EC* Section 42238.024(b)(1) requires that Equity Multiplier funds be used for the provision of evidence-based services and supports for students. Evidence-based services and supports are based on objective evidence that has informed the design of the service or support and/or guides the modification of those services and supports. Evidence-based supports and strategies are most commonly based on educational research and/or metrics of LEA, school, and/or student performance.

Broad Goal

Description

Describe what the LEA plans to achieve through the actions included in the goal.

- The description of a broad goal will be clearly aligned with the expected measurable outcomes included for the goal.

- The goal description organizes the actions and expected outcomes in a cohesive and consistent manner.

- A goal description is specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. A broad goal is not as specific as a focus goal. While it is specific enough to be measurable, there are many different metrics for measuring progress toward the goal.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Broad Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA developed this goal and how the actions and metrics grouped together will help achieve the goal.

Maintenance of Progress Goal

Description

Describe how the LEA intends to maintain the progress made in the LCFF State Priorities not addressed by the other goals in the LCAP.

- Use this type of goal to address the state priorities and applicable metrics not addressed within the other goals in the LCAP.

- The state priorities and metrics to be addressed in this section are those for which the LEA, in consultation with educational partners, has determined to maintain actions and monitor progress while focusing implementation efforts on the actions covered by other goals in the LCAP.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Maintenance of Progress Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain how the actions will sustain the progress exemplified by the related metrics.

Measuring and Reporting Results:

For each LCAP year, identify the metric(s) that the LEA will use to track progress toward the expected outcomes.

- LEAs must identify metrics for specific student groups, as appropriate, including expected outcomes that address and reduce disparities in outcomes between student groups.

- The metrics may be quantitative or qualitative; but at minimum, an LEA's LCAP must include goals that are measured using all of the applicable metrics for the related state priorities, in each LCAP year, as applicable to the type of LEA.

- To the extent a state priority does not specify one or more metrics (e.g., implementation of state academic content and performance standards), the LEA must identify a metric to use within the LCAP. For these state priorities, LEAs are encouraged to use metrics based on or reported through the relevant local indicator self-reflection tools within the Dashboard.

- **Required metrics for LEA-wide actions:** For each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.

  - These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section, however the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.

- **Required metrics for Equity Multiplier goals**: For each Equity Multiplier goal, the LEA must identify:

  - The specific metrics for each identified student group at each specific schoolsite, as applicable, to measure the progress toward the goal, and/or

  - The specific metrics used to measure progress in meeting the goal related to credentialing, subject matter preparation, or educator retention at each specific schoolsite.

- **Required metrics for actions supported by LREBG funds**: To implement the requirements of *EC* Section 52064.4, LEAs with unexpended LREBG funds must include at least one metric to monitor the impact of each action funded with LREBG funds included in the goal.

  - The metrics being used to monitor the impact of each action funded with LREBG funds are not required to be new metrics; they may be metrics that are already being used to measure progress towards goals and actions included in the LCAP.

Complete the table as follows:

Metric #

- Enter the metric number.

Metric

- Identify the standard of measure being used to determine progress towards the goal and/or to measure the effectiveness of one or more actions associated with the goal.

Baseline

- Enter the baseline when completing the LCAP for 2024–25.

    o Use the most recent data associated with the metric available at the time of adoption of the LCAP for the first year of the three-year plan. LEAs may use data as reported on the 2023 Dashboard for the baseline of a metric only if that data represents the most recent available data (e.g., high school graduation rate).

    o Using the most recent data available may involve reviewing data the LEA is preparing for submission to the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS) or data that the LEA has recently submitted to CALPADS.

    o Indicate the school year to which the baseline data applies.

    o The baseline data must remain unchanged throughout the three-year LCAP.

        ▪ This requirement is not intended to prevent LEAs from revising the baseline data if it is necessary to do so. For example, if an LEA identifies that its data collection practices for a particular metric are leading to inaccurate data and revises its practice to obtain accurate data, it would also be appropriate for the LEA to revise the baseline data to align with the more accurate data process and report its results using the accurate data.

        ▪ If an LEA chooses to revise its baseline data, then, at a minimum, it must clearly identify the change as part of its response to the description of changes prompt in the Goal Analysis for the goal. LEAs are also strongly encouraged to involve their educational partners in the decision of whether or not to revise a baseline and to communicate the proposed change to their educational partners.

    o Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a new baseline each year, as applicable.

Year 1 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.

    o Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may provide the Year 1 Outcome when completing the LCAP for both 2025–26 and 2026–27 or may provide the Year 1 Outcome for 2025–26 and provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

Year 2 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2026–27, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.

- o Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may identify the Year 2 Outcome as not applicable when completing the LCAP for 2026–27 or may provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

Target for Year 3 Outcome

- When completing the first year of the LCAP, enter the target outcome for the relevant metric the LEA expects to achieve by the end of the three-year LCAP cycle.

    - o Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a Target for Year 1 or Target for Year 2, as applicable.

Current Difference from Baseline

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26 and 2026–27, enter the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome, as applicable.

    - o Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP will identify the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 1 and/or the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 2, as applicable.

Timeline for school districts and COEs for completing the "**Measuring and Reporting Results**" part of the Goal.

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2024–25** or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2024–25** or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2025–26**. Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2026–27**. Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2024–25** or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for **2025–26** and **2026–27**. Leave blank until then.

Goal Analysis:

Enter the LCAP Year.

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective towards achieving the goal. "Effective" means the degree to which the planned actions were successful in producing the target result. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

Note: When completing the 2024–25 LCAP, use the 2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan Annual Update template to complete the Goal Analysis and identify the Goal Analysis prompts in the 2024–25 LCAP as "Not Applicable."

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

- Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal, including relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

    o Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process.

    o This discussion must include any instance where the LEA did not implement a planned action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

- Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and between the Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services, as applicable. Minor variances in expenditures or percentages do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

- Describe the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal. "Effectiveness" means the degree to which the actions were successful in producing the target result and "ineffectiveness" means that the actions did not produce any significant or targeted result.

    o In some cases, not all actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal.

    o When responding to this prompt, LEAs may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics is working and increase transparency for educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely associated.

    o Beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable.

    o As noted above, beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period. For actions that have been identified as ineffective, the LEA must identify the ineffective action and must include a description of the following:

- The reasons for the ineffectiveness, and

- How changes to the action will result in a new or strengthened approach.

Actions:

Complete the table as follows. Add additional rows as necessary.

Action #

- Enter the action number.

Title

- Provide a short title for the action. This title will also appear in the action tables.

Description

- Provide a brief description of the action.

  - For actions that contribute to meeting the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA may include an explanation of how each action is principally directed towards and effective in meeting the LEA's goals for unduplicated students, as described in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.

  - As previously noted, for each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.

  - These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section; however, the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.

Total Funds

- Enter the total amount of expenditures associated with this action. Budgeted expenditures from specific fund sources will be provided in the action tables.

Contributing

- Indicate whether the action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement as described in the Increased or Improved Services section using a "Y" for Yes or an "N" for No.

  - **Note:** for each such contributing action, the LEA will need to provide additional information in the Increased or Improved Services section to address the requirements in *California Code of Regulations*, Title 5 [5 *CCR*] Section 15496 in the Increased or Improved Services section of the LCAP.

Actions for Foster Youth: School districts, COEs, and charter schools that have a numerically significant foster youth student subgroup are encouraged to include specific actions in the LCAP designed to meet needs specific to foster youth students.

Required Actions

For English Learners and Long-Term English Learners

- LEAs with 30 or more English learners and/or 15 or more long-term English learners must include specific actions in the LCAP related to, at a minimum:

    o Language acquisition programs, as defined in *EC* Section 306, provided to students, and

    o Professional development for teachers.

    o If an LEA has both 30 or more English learners and 15 or more long-term English learners, the LEA must include actions for both English learners and long-term English learners.

For Technical Assistance

- LEAs eligible for technical assistance pursuant to *EC* sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, must include specific actions within the LCAP related to its implementation of the work underway as part of technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance.

For Lowest Performing Dashboard Indicators

- LEAs that have Red Dashboard indicators for (1) a school within the LEA, (2) a student group within the LEA, and/or (3) a student group within any school within the LEA must include one or more specific actions within the LCAP:

    o The specific action(s) must be directed towards the identified student group(s) and/or school(s) and must address the identified state indicator(s) for which the student group or school received the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard. Each student group and/or school that receives the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard must be addressed by one or more actions.

    o These required actions will be effective for the three-year LCAP cycle.

For LEAs With Unexpended LREBG Funds

- To implement the requirements of *EC* Section 52064.4, LEAs with unexpended LREBG funds must include one or more actions supported with LREBG funds within the 2025–26, 2026–27, and 2027–28 LCAPs, as applicable to the LEA. Actions funded with LREBG funds must remain in the LCAP until the LEA has expended the remainder of its LREBG funds, after which time the actions may be removed from the LCAP.

    o Prior to identifying the actions included in the LCAP the LEA is required to conduct a needs assessment pursuant to *EC* Section 32526(d). For information related to the required needs assessment please see the Program Information tab on the LREBG

Additional information about the needs assessment and evidence-based resources for the LREBG may be found on the [California Statewide System of Support LREBG Resources](#) web page. The required LREBG needs assessment may be part of the LEAs regular needs assessment for the LCAP if it meets the requirements of *EC* Section 32526(d).

- School districts receiving technical assistance and COEs providing technical assistance are encouraged to use the technical assistance process to support the school district in conducting the required needs assessment, the selection of actions funded by the LREBG and/or the evaluation of implementation of the actions required as part of the LCAP annual update process.

- As a reminder, LREBG funds must be used to implement one or more of the purposes articulated in *[EC Section 32526(c)(2)](#)*.

- LEAs with unexpended LREBG funds must include one or more actions supported by LREBG funds within the LCAP. For each action supported by LREBG funding the action description must:

    - Identify the action as an LREBG action;

    - Include an explanation of how research supports the selected action;

    - Identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the impact of the action; and

    - Identify the amount of LREBG funds being used to support the action.

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

Purpose

A well-written Increased or Improved Services section provides educational partners with a comprehensive description, within a single dedicated section, of how an LEA plans to increase or improve services for its unduplicated students as defined in *EC* Section 42238.02 in grades TK–12 as compared to all students in grades TK–12, as applicable, and how LEA-wide or schoolwide actions identified for this purpose meet regulatory requirements. Descriptions provided should include sufficient detail yet be sufficiently succinct to promote a broader understanding of educational partners to facilitate their ability to provide input. An LEA's description in this section must align with the actions included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing.

Please Note: For the purpose of meeting the Increased or Improved Services requirement and consistent with *EC* Section 42238.02, long-term English learners are included in the English learner student group.

Statutory Requirements

An LEA is required to demonstrate in its LCAP how it is increasing or improving services for its students who are foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income, collectively referred to as unduplicated students, as compared to the services provided to all students in proportion to the increase in funding it receives based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the LEA (*EC* Section 42238.07[a][1], *EC*

Section 52064[b][8][B]; 5 *CCR* Section 15496[a]). This proportionality percentage is also known as the "minimum proportionality percentage" or "MPP." The manner in which an LEA demonstrates it is meeting its MPP is two-fold: (1) through the expenditure of LCFF funds or through the identification of a Planned Percentage of Improved Services as documented in the Contributing Actions Table, and (2) through the explanations provided in the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.

To improve services means to grow services in quality and to increase services means to grow services in quantity. Services are increased or improved by those actions in the LCAP that are identified in the Goals and Actions section as contributing to the increased or improved services requirement, whether they are provided across the entire LEA (LEA-wide action), provided to an entire school (Schoolwide action), or solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s) (Limited action).

Therefore, for *any* action contributing to meet the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA must include an explanation of:

- How the action is increasing or improving services for the unduplicated student group(s) (Identified Needs and Action Design), and
- How the action meets the LEA's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas (Measurement of Effectiveness).

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

In addition to the above required explanations, LEAs must provide a justification for why an LEA-wide or Schoolwide action is being provided to all students and how the action is intended to improve outcomes for unduplicated student group(s) as compared to all students.

- Conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.

- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

For School Districts Only

Actions provided on an **LEA-wide** basis at **school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of less than 55 percent** must also include a description of how the actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Actions provided on a **Schoolwide** basis for **schools with less than 40 percent enrollment of unduplicated pupils** must also include a description of how these actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Requirements and Instructions

Complete the tables as follows:

Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants

- Specify the amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grant funds the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year based on the number and concentration of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. This amount includes the Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant.

Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant

- Specify the amount of additional LCFF concentration grant add-on funding, as described in *EC* Section 42238.02, that the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year.

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

- Specify the estimated percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(7).

LCFF Carryover — Percentage

- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).

LCFF Carryover — Dollar

- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Dollar amount identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover amount is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify an amount of zero ($0).

Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

- Add the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the Proportional LCFF Required Carryover Percentage and specify the percentage. This is the LEA's percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year, as calculated pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(7).

Required Descriptions:

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

If the LEA has provided this required description in the Action Descriptions, state as such within the table.

Complete the table as follows:

Identified Need(s)

Provide an explanation of the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed.

An LEA demonstrates how an action is principally directed towards an unduplicated student group(s) when the LEA explains the need(s), condition(s), or circumstance(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) identified through a needs assessment and how the action addresses them. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis

Provide an explanation of how the action as designed will address the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed and the rationale for why the action is being provided on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis.

- As stated above, conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.

- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

Note for COEs and Charter Schools: In the case of COEs and charter schools, schoolwide and LEA-wide are considered to be synonymous.

Limited Actions

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

If the LEA has provided the required descriptions in the Action Descriptions, state as such.

Complete the table as follows:

Identified Need(s)

Provide an explanation of the unique need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served identified through the LEA's needs assessment. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)

Provide an explanation of how the action is designed to address the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served.

Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

- For each action with an identified Planned Percentage of Improved Services, identify the goal and action number and describe the methodology that was used.

- When identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

- For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost $165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of $165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Total Planned Expenditures Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

An LEA that receives the additional concentration grant add-on described in *EC* Section 42238.02 is required to demonstrate how it is using these funds to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent as compared to the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is equal to or less than 55 percent. The staff who provide direct services to students must be certificated staff and/or classified staff employed by the LEA; classified staff includes custodial staff.

Provide the following descriptions, as applicable to the LEA:

- An LEA that does not receive a concentration grant or the concentration grant add-on must indicate that a response to this prompt is not applicable.

- Identify the goal and action numbers of the actions in the LCAP that the LEA is implementing to meet the requirement to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

- An LEA that does not have comparison schools from which to describe how it is using the concentration grant add-on funds, such as a single-school LEA or an LEA that only has schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, must describe how it is using the funds to increase the number of credentialed staff, classified staff, or both, including custodial staff, who provide direct services to students at selected schools and the criteria used to determine which schools require additional staffing support.

- In the event that an additional concentration grant add-on is not sufficient to increase staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, the LEA must describe how it is using the funds to retain staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

Complete the table as follows:

- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.

  o The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.

  o The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.

- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.

  o The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.

  o The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of FTE staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.

Action Tables

Complete the Total Planned Expenditures Table for each action in the LCAP. The information entered into this table will automatically populate the other Action Tables. Information is only entered into the Total Planned Expenditures Table, the Annual Update Table, the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, and the LCFF Carryover Table. The word "input" has been added to column headers to aid in identifying the column(s) where information will be entered. Information is not entered on the remaining Action tables.

The following tables are required to be included as part of the LCAP adopted by the local governing board or governing body:

- Table 1: Total Planned Expenditures Table (for the coming LCAP Year)

- Table 2: Contributing Actions Table (for the coming LCAP Year)

- Table 3: Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)

- Table 4: Contributing Actions Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)

- Table 5: LCFF Carryover Table (for the current LCAP Year)

Note: The coming LCAP Year is the year that is being planned for, while the current LCAP year is the current year of implementation. For example, when developing the 2024–25 LCAP, 2024–25 will be the coming LCAP Year and 2023–24 will be the current LCAP Year.

Total Planned Expenditures Table

In the Total Planned Expenditures Table, input the following information for each action in the LCAP for that applicable LCAP year:

- **LCAP Year**: Identify the applicable LCAP Year.

- **1. Projected LCFF Base Grant**: Provide the total amount estimated LCFF entitlement for the coming school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program, the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(8). Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs.

  See *EC* sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.

- **2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated students for the coming school year.

- **3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected LCFF Base Grant and the Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants, pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(8). This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.

- **LCFF Carryover — Percentage:** Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table from the prior LCAP year. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).

- **Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the LCFF Carryover —

Percentage. *This is the percentage by which the LEA must increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.*

- **Goal #**: Enter the LCAP Goal number for the action.

- **Action #**: Enter the action's number as indicated in the LCAP Goal.

- **Action Title**: Provide a title of the action.

- **Student Group(s)**: Indicate the student group or groups who will be the primary beneficiary of the action by entering "All," or by entering a specific student group or groups.

- **Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?:** Type "Yes" if the action **is** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement; OR, type "No" if the action is **not** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement.

- If "Yes" is entered into the Contributing column, then complete the following columns:

  o **Scope**: The scope of an action may be LEA-wide (i.e., districtwide, countywide, or charterwide), schoolwide, or limited. An action that is LEA-wide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of the LEA. An action that is schoolwide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of a single school. An action that is limited in its scope is an action that serves only one or more unduplicated student groups.

  o **Unduplicated Student Group(s)**: Regardless of scope, contributing actions serve one or more unduplicated student groups. Indicate one or more unduplicated student groups for whom services are being increased or improved as compared to what all students receive.

  o **Location**: Identify the location where the action will be provided. If the action is provided to all schools within the LEA, the LEA must indicate "All Schools." If the action is provided to specific schools within the LEA or specific grade spans only, the LEA must enter "Specific Schools" or "Specific Grade Spans." Identify the individual school or a subset of schools or grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades transitional kindergarten through grade five), as appropriate.

- **Time Span**: Enter "ongoing" if the action will be implemented for an indeterminate period of time. Otherwise, indicate the span of time for which the action will be implemented. For example, an LEA might enter "1 Year," or "2 Years," or "6 Months."

- **Total Personnel**: Enter the total amount of personnel expenditures utilized to implement this action.

- **Total Non-Personnel**: This amount will be automatically calculated based on information provided in the Total Personnel column and the Total Funds column.

- **LCFF Funds**: Enter the total amount of LCFF funds utilized to implement this action, if any. LCFF funds include all funds that make up an LEA's total LCFF target (i.e., base grant, grade span adjustment, supplemental grant, concentration grant, Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant, and Home-To-School Transportation).

  o **Note:** For an action to contribute towards meeting the increased or improved services requirement, it must include some measure of LCFF funding. The action may also include funding from other sources, however the extent to which an action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement is based on the LCFF funding being used to implement the action.

- **Other State Funds**: Enter the total amount of Other State Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.

  o **Note:** Equity Multiplier funds must be included in the "Other State Funds" category, not in the "LCFF Funds" category. As a reminder, Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP. This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LEA's LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.

- **Local Funds**: Enter the total amount of Local Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.

- **Federal Funds**: Enter the total amount of Federal Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.

- **Total Funds**: This amount is automatically calculated based on amounts entered in the previous four columns.

- **Planned Percentage of Improved Services**: For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the planned quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%). A limited action is an action that only serves foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income students.

  o As noted in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services section, when identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

  For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost $165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of $165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

Contributing Actions Table

As noted above, information will not be entered in the Contributing Actions Table; however, the 'Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?' column will need to be checked to ensure that only actions with a "Yes" are displaying. If actions with a "No" are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the "Yes" responses.

Annual Update Table

In the Annual Update Table, provide the following information for each action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **Estimated Actual Expenditures**: Enter the total estimated actual expenditures to implement this action, if any.

Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

In the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, check the 'Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?' column to ensure that only actions with a "Yes" are displaying. If actions with a "No" are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the "Yes" responses. Provide the following information for each contributing action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.

- **Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions**: Enter the total estimated actual expenditure of LCFF funds used to implement this action, if any.

- **Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services:** For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis only to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the total estimated actual quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%).

  - Building on the example provided above for calculating the Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA in the example implements the action. As part of the annual update process, the LEA reviews implementation and student outcome data and determines that the action was implemented with fidelity and that outcomes for foster youth students improved. The LEA reviews the original estimated cost for the action and determines that had it hired additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students that estimated actual cost would have been $169,500 due to a cost of living adjustment. The LEA would divide the estimated actual cost of $169,500 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

LCFF Carryover Table

- **9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant**: Provide the total amount of estimated LCFF Target Entitlement for the current school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program,

the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(8). Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs. See *EC* sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.

- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year:** This percentage will not be entered. The percentage is calculated based on the amounts of the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) and the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6), pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(8), plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year. This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the current LCAP year.

Calculations in the Action Tables

To reduce the duplication of effort of LEAs, the Action Tables include functionality such as pre-population of fields and cells based on the information provided in the Data Entry Table, the Annual Update Summary Table, and the Contributing Actions Table. For transparency, the functionality and calculations used are provided below.

Contributing Actions Table

- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**

  - This amount is the total of the Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) column.

- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services**

  - This percentage is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.

- **Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the coming school year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)**

  - This percentage is calculated by dividing the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) by the Projected LCFF Base Grant (1), converting the quotient to a percentage, and adding it to the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5).

Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

Pursuant to *EC* Section 42238.07(c)(2), if the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is less than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the LEA is required to calculate the difference between the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) and the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (7). If the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is equal to or greater than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services will display "Not Required."

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants**

- o This is the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will actually receive based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.

- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**

  - o This amount is the total of the Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).

- **7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions**

  - o This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).

- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)**

  - o This amount is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) subtracted from the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4).

- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)**

  - o This amount is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.

- **8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)**

  - o This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services column.

- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)**

  - o This amount is the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) subtracted from the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).

LCFF Carryover Table

- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 plus Carryover %)**

  - o This percentage is the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6) divided by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year.

- **11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)**

  - o This percentage is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) divided by the LCFF Funding (9), then converting the quotient to a percentage and adding the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).

- **12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount LCFF Carryover (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)**

- o If the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (11) is less than the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (10), the LEA is required to carry over LCFF funds.

  The amount of LCFF funds is calculated by subtracting the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (11) from the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (10) and then multiplying by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9). This amount is the amount of LCFF funds that is required to be carried over to the coming year.

- **13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)**

  - o This percentage is the unmet portion of the Percentage to Increase or Improve Services that the LEA must carry over into the coming LCAP year. The percentage is calculated by dividing the LCFF Carryover (12) by the LCFF Funding (9).

California Department of Education
November 2024