

Kynoch Elementary School

2024-2025 School Accountability Report Card (Published During the 2025-2026 School Year)



General Information about the School Accountability Report Card (SARC)

SARC Overview



By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements and access to prior year reports, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>
- For more information about the LCFF or the LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest



DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

California School Dashboard



The California School Dashboard (Dashboard) <https://www.caschooldashboard.org/> reflects California's new accountability and continuous improvement system and provides information about how LEAs and schools are meeting the needs of California's diverse student population. The Dashboard contains reports that display the performance of LEAs, schools, and student groups on a set of state and local measures to assist in identifying strengths, challenges, and areas in need of improvement.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

Admission Requirements for the University of California (UC)	Admission requirements for the UC follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college course work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work. For general admissions requirements, please visit the UC Admissions Information website at https://admission.universityofcalifornia.edu/ .
Admission Requirements for the California State University (CSU)	Eligibility for admission to the CSU is determined by three factors: (1) Specific high school courses, (2) Grades in specified courses and test scores, and (3) Graduation from high school. Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses have local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For admission, application, and fee information, see the CSU website at https://www2.calstate.edu/ .

2025-26 School Contact Information

School Name	Kynoch Elementary School
Street	1905 Ahern Street
City, State, Zip	Marysville
Phone Number	5307416141
Principal	Tracy Pomeroy
Email Address	tpomeroy@mjud.k12.ca.us
School Website	kynoch.mjud.com
Grade Span	K-5
County-District-School (CDS) Code	58 72736 6056774

2025-26 District Contact Information

District Name	Marysville Joint Unified School District
Phone Number	(530) 741-6000
Superintendent	Jordan Reeves
Email Address	jreeves@mjud.k12.ca.us
District Website	www.mjud.com

2025-26 School Description and Mission Statement

Our vision is to be a school that empowers each student to reach their highest potential, while recognizing and celebrating the achievements, perseverance, and diversity of one another.

Our mission is to create with our educational partners a positive atmosphere that inspires ALL students to embody honor and leadership throughout their academic, personal and professional lives.

The 2025/2026 school year holds so much potential for all that step foot on the Kynoch Elementary campus. Guided by our Site Council, informed by parent survey feedback, and shaped by our Annual Program Evaluation, we will align Site Plan with our the district's LCAP. We will be focusing our efforts on providing what students need to show growth on an individual basis by

2025-26 School Description and Mission Statement

providing supports like hiring instructional assistants, providing teachers opportunities to participate in professional development. Our EL learners will get the same attention, if not more, this upcoming year making sure that integrated AND designated EL time is provided for this group of learners. We will also continue our work on improving school culture by including parents and educational partners on this journey. Our Student Support Specialist, Outreach Consultant, administration team, school counselor and school psychologist will develop a program that supports the needs of parents who are trying to connect school to home. Our award winning PBIS team will work with administration to continue the progress we are making in creating a learning environment where students feel safe, they know that they matter, and they are celebrated for the work that they accomplish here. The administration team will work with parent groups and district staff to improve site safety by holding students accountable for their behaviors but also using these incidents to correct the behavior instead of just doling out punishments. We have a good thing going here and are excited to see what the upcoming year holds for us!

About this School

2024-25 Student Enrollment by Grade Level

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	123
Grade 1	99
Grade 2	109
Grade 3	119
Grade 4	115
Grade 5	113
Total Enrollment	678

2024-25 Student Enrollment by Student Group

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Female	50.4
Male	49.6
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.7
Asian	3.7
Black or African American	3.8
Filipino	0.1
Hispanic or Latino	45.7
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.4
Two or More Races	8.7
White	34.5
English Learners	12.1
Foster Youth	1.6
Homeless	7.2
Migrant	0.6
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	8.3
Students with Disabilities	18.4

A. Conditions of Learning **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

2021-22 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	28.5	93.44	384	85.5	234405.2	84
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	0	0	4.6	1.03	4853	1.74
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)	1	3.28	33.8	7.54	12001.5	4.3
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)	0	0	11.4	2.54	11953.1	4.28
Unknown/Incomplete/NA	1	3.28	15.1	3.38	15831.9	5.67
Total Teaching Positions	30.5	100	449.1	100	279044.8	100

Note: The data in this table is based on full-time equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

2022-23 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	29	96.67	384.5	82.83	231142.4	83.24
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	0	0	6.2	1.34	5566.4	2
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)	1	3.33	34.3	7.4	14938.3	5.38
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)	0	0	5.5	1.2	11746.9	4.23
Unknown/Incomplete/NA	0	0	33.5	7.22	14303.8	5.15
Total Teaching Positions	30	100	464.3	100	277698	100

Note: The data in this table is based on FTE status. One FTE equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

2023-24 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	29	87.88	396.8	81.6	230039.4	100
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	2	6.06	13.4	2.76	6213.8	2.23
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)	1	3.03	43.2	8.89	16855	6.04
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)	0	0	7.6	1.58	12112.8	4.34
Unknown/Incomplete/NA	1	3.03	25.1	5.16	13705.8	4.91
Total Teaching Positions	33	100	486.3	100	278927.1	100

Note: The data in this table is based on FTE status. One FTE equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (considered “ineffective” under ESSA)

Authorization/Assignment	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24
Permits and Waivers	0.00	0	0
Misassignments	1.00	1	1
Vacant Positions	0.00	0	0
Total Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments	1.00	1	1

Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (considered “out-of-field” under ESSA)

Indicator	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24
Credentialed Teachers Authorized on a Permit or Waiver	0.00	0	0
Local Assignment Options	0.00	0	0
Total Out-of-Field Teachers	0.00	0	0

Class Assignments

Indicator	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24
Misassignments for English Learners (a percentage of all the classes with English learners taught by teachers that are misassigned)	3.4	3.4	3
No credential, permit or authorization to teach (a percentage of all the classes taught by teachers with no record of an authorization to teach)	3.2	0	0

Note: For more information refer to the Updated Teacher Equity Definitions web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teacherequitydefinitions.asp>.

2025-26 Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials

K-8 “State-adopted” instructional materials are those instructional resources which the State Board of Education (SBE) has formally “adopted” for use in the classroom. This action is required by the California State Constitution, Article 9 Section 7.5. The SBE considers K-8 instructional materials for adoption following a thorough review process outlined in both law (EC 60200) and regulations (CCR, Title 5 sections 9510-9525). There are no state adoptions for grades nine through twelve. LEA governing boards have the authority and responsibility under EC Section 60400 to adopt instructional materials for use in their high schools for grades nine through twelve.

Year and month in which the data were collected

October 2025

Subject	List of Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials / Indicate if from Most Recent Adoption / Year of Adoption	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	RMcGraw Hill, World of Wonders, ©2015, Gr. TK, 4/26/2016 McGraw Hill, Wonders, ©2015, Gr. K-6, 4/26/2016 Intervention McGraw Hill, Reading Wonderworks, ©2015, RSP Gr. K-6, 4/26/2016 McGraw Hill, FLEX, ©2015, SDC Gr. 3-5, 4/26/2016 Voyager Sopris Learning, Language! Live, ©2018, Gr. 6-8, 5/28/2019	0%
Mathematics	Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, Go Math, ©2014, Gr. K-8, 5/27/2014	0%
Science	Savvas (formerly known as Pearson), California Elevate Science, ©2020, Gr. K-8, 6/16/2020	0%
History-Social Science	McGraw Hill, Impact California Social Studies, ©2019, Gr. K-5, 5/14/2019 Pearson Scott Foresman and Prentice Hall: California History-Social Science: myWorld Interactive, ©2019, Gr. 6-8, 5/22/2019	0%

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

The Building and Grounds Department has repaired many of the smaller items identified through the facilities inspection. Work orders have been created to address the remaining identified items. Buildings and Grounds is currently working on a long term plan for the larger deficiencies.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

Year and month of the most recent FIT report

September 2024

System Inspected	Rate Good	Rate Fair	Rate Poor	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
<p>Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer</p>	<p>X</p>			<p>Gas Leaks "Gas systems and pipes appear safe, functional, and free of leaks. Examples include but are not limited to the following:"</p> <p>a. There is no odor that would indicate a gas leak. (X) b. Gas pipes are not broken and appear to be in good working order. (X)</p> <p>Mechanical Systems Heating, ventilation, and air conditioning systems (HVAC) as applicable are functional and unobstructed. Examples include but are not limited to the following:</p> <p>a. The HVAC system is operable. (X) b. The facilities are ventilated (via mechanical or natural ventilation). c. The ventilation units are unobstructed and vents and grills are without evidence of excessive dirt or dust. d. There appears to be an adequate air supply to all classrooms, work spaces, and facilities (i.e. no strong odor is present, air is not stuffy) e. Interior temperatures appear to be maintained within normally accepted ranges. f. The ventilation units are not generating any excessive noise or vibrations. g. Other</p> <p>Sewer Sewer line stoppage is not evident. Examples include but are not limited to the following:</p> <p>a. There are no obvious signs of flooding caused by sewer line back-up in the facilities or on the school grounds. (X) b. The sanitary system controls odors as designed.</p>
<p>Interior: Interior Surfaces</p>		<p>X</p>		<p>Interior Surfaces (Floors, Ceilings, Walls, and Window Casings) Interior surfaces appear to be clean, safe, and functional. Examples include but are not limited to the following:</p> <p>a. Walls are free of hazards from tears and holes. b. Flooring is free of hazards from torn carpeting, missing floor tiles, holes. c. Ceiling is free of hazards from missing ceiling tiles and holes. d. There is no evidence of water damage (e.g. no condensation, dampness, staining, warping, peeling, mineral deposits, etc.)</p>

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

<p>Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation</p>		X	<p>Overall Cleanliness School grounds, buildings, common areas, surfaces, and individual rooms appear to have been cleaned regularly. Examples include but are not limited to the following:</p> <p>a. Restrooms, drinking fountains, and food preparation or serving areas appear to have been cleaned each day that school is in session.</p>
<p>Electrical</p>		X	<p>Electrical (Interior and Exterior)</p> <p>1. There is no evidence that any portion of the school has a power failure. (X)</p> <p>2. Electrical systems, components, and equipment appear to be working properly. Examples include but are not limited to the following:</p> <p>a. There are no exposed electrical wires. Electrical equipment is properly covered and secured from pupil access. (X)</p> <p>b. Outlets, access panels, switch plates, junction boxes and fixtures are properly covered and secured from pupil access.</p> <p>3. Lighting appears to be adequate and working properly, including exterior lights. Examples include but are not limited to the following:</p> <p>a. Lighting appears to be adequate.</p> <p>b. Lighting is not flickering.</p> <p>c. There is no unusual hum or noise from the light fixtures.</p>
<p>Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains</p>		X	<p>Restrooms in the vicinity of the area being evaluated appear to be accessible during school hours, clean, functional and in compliance with SB 892 (EC Section 35292.5) and AB 367 (EC Section 35292.6). The following are examples of compliance with SB 892 and AB 367:</p> <p>a. Restrooms are maintained and cleaned regularly.</p> <p>b. Restrooms are fully operational.</p> <p>c. Restrooms are stocked with toilet paper, menstrual products, soap, and paper towels.</p> <p>d. Restrooms are open during school hours.</p>
<p>Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials</p>		X	<p>Fire Safety The fire equipment and emergency systems appear to be functioning properly. Examples include but are not limited to the following:</p> <p>a. The fire sprinklers appear to be in working order (e.g., there are no missing or damaged sprinkler heads). (X)</p> <p>b. Emergency alarms appear to be functional. (X)</p> <p>c. Emergency exit signs function as designed, exits are unobstructed. (X)</p>

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

			<p>d. Fire extinguishers are current and placed in all required areas.</p> <p>e. Fire alarms pull stations are clearly visible.</p> <p>Hazardous Materials (Interior and Exterior) There does not appear to be evidence of hazardous materials that may pose a threat to pupils or staff. Examples include but are not limited to the following:</p> <p>a. Hazardous chemicals, chemical waste, and flammable materials are stored properly (e.g. locked and labeled properly). (X)</p> <p>b. Paint is not peeling, chipping, or cracking.</p>
<p>Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs</p>		X	<p>Structural Damage There does not appear to be structural damage that has created or could create hazardous or uninhabitable conditions. Examples include but are not limited to the following:</p> <p>a. Severe cracks are not evident. (X)</p> <p>b. Ceilings & floors are not sloping or sagging beyond their intended design. (X)</p> <p>c. Posts, beams, supports for portable classrooms, ramps, and other structural building members appear to be intact, secure and functional as designed. (X)</p> <p>d. There is no visible evidence of severe cracks, dry rot, mold, or damage that "undermines the structural components. (X)</p>
<p>External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences</p>		X	<p>Playground/School Grounds "The playground equipment and school grounds in the vicinity of the area being evaluated appear to be clean, safe, and functional. Examples include but are not limited to the following:" evaluated appear to be clean, safe, and functional. Examples include but are not limited to the following:</p> <p>a. Significant cracks, trip hazards, holes and deterioration are not found.</p> <p>b. Open "S" hooks, protruding bolt ends, and sharp points/edges are not found in the playground equipment.</p> <p>c. Seating, tables, and equipment are functional and free of significant cracks.</p> <p>d. There are no signs of drainage problems, such as flooded areas, eroded soil, water damage to asphalt, or clogged storm drain inlets. Trip hazard at walkways and asphalt/cement seam. Cement is broken at entry. Door frame is broken.</p>

Overall Facility Rate

Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
		X	

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

Statewide Assessments

(i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities).

The CAASPP System encompasses the following assessments and student participation requirements:

1. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for ELA** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
2. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for mathematics** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
3. **California Science Test (CAST) and CAAs for Science** in grades five, eight, and once in high school (i.e., grade ten, eleven, or twelve).

College and Career Ready

The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard on CAASPP

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA and mathematics for all students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Subject	School 2023-24	School 2024-25	District 2023-24	District 2024-25	State 2023-24	State 2024-25
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	29	33	32	34	47	48
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	26	28	19	21	35	37

2024-25 CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	346	338	97.69	2.31	33.23
Female	190	185	97.37	2.63	39.46
Male	156	153	98.08	1.92	25.66
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--	--
Asian	15	15	100.00	0.00	33.33
Black or African American	18	17	94.44	5.56	35.29
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	158	154	97.47	2.53	32.68
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
Two or More Races	37	36	97.30	2.70	36.11
White	113	111	98.23	1.77	32.43
English Learners	37	34	91.89	8.11	11.76
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--
Homeless	25	24	96.00	4.00	29.17
Military	0	0	0	0	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	235	230	97.87	2.13	27.39
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	79	78	98.73	1.27	14.10

2024-25 CAASPP Test Results in Math by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Math by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	346	339	97.98	2.02	28.02
Female	190	185	97.37	2.63	28.65
Male	156	154	98.72	1.28	27.27
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--	--
Asian	15	15	100.00	0.00	40.00
Black or African American	18	17	94.44	5.56	11.76
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	158	156	98.73	1.27	28.21
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
Two or More Races	37	36	97.30	2.70	22.22
White	113	110	97.35	2.65	30.91
English Learners	37	36	97.30	2.70	11.11
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--
Homeless	25	23	92.00	8.00	26.09
Military	0	0	0	0	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	235	230	97.87	2.13	23.48
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	79	78	98.73	1.27	15.38

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

This table displays the percentage of all students grades five, eight, and High School meeting or exceeding the State Standard.

Science test results include the CAST and the CAA for Science. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the CAST plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA for Science divided by the total number of students who participated in a science assessment.

To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Subject	School 2023-24	School 2024-25	District 2023-24	District 2024-25	State 2023-24	State 2024-25
Science (grades 5, 8 and high school)	20.66	22.73	19.24	19.77	30.73	32.52

2024-25 CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group

To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	112	110	98.21	1.79	22.73
Female	63	62	98.41	1.59	19.35
Male	49	48	97.96	2.04	27.08
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	--	--	--	--	--
Filipino	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic or Latino	48	47	97.92	2.08	19.15
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
Two or More Races	13	13	100.00	0.00	15.38
White	38	37	97.37	2.63	32.43
English Learners	--	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--
Homeless	12	12	100.00	0.00	8.33
Military	0	0	0	0	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	76	74	97.37	2.63	17.57
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	24	24	100.00	0.00	8.33

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8): Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education.

2024-25 California Physical Fitness Test Participation Rates

This table displays the percentage of students participating in each of the five fitness components of the California Physical Fitness Test Results. The administration of the PFT requires only participation results for these five fitness areas. Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade Level	Component 1: Aerobic Capacity	Component 2: Abdominal Strength and Endurance	Component 3: Trunk Extensor and Strength and Flexibility	Component 4: Upper Body Strength and Endurance	Component 5: Flexibility
Grade 5	100	100	100	100	100

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3): Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions regarding the school district and at each school site.

2025-26 Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Kynoch Elementary School encourages parents to be part of their child's learning experience. Opportunities to volunteer range from serving on the Site Council to being part of the Parent Teacher Organization (PTO) and volunteering in their child's classroom. At Kynoch, communication between home and school is a high priority. At the beginning of the school year, a calendar is sent home with every child. The calendar provides dates of important events throughout the school year, information about the Site Council and PTO, school policy, and much more. The monthly Paw Print also advertises the dates and times of the different activities happening on campus. PTO meetings take place once each month. Dates are published in the annual calendar and also sent home monthly and reminders are sent out via ParentSquare. Both PTO and the school maintain Facebook pages that disseminate information about activities and opportunities to become involved around the school on a weekly basis as well.

2024-25 Chronic Absenteeism by Student Group

Student Group	Cumulative Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Eligible Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Count	Chronic Absenteeism Rate
All Students	757	726	152	20.9
Female	379	365	77	21.1
Male	378	361	75	20.8
Non-Binary	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	29	26	1	3.8
Black or African American	35	33	12	36.4
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	341	330	76	23.0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	73	69	18	26.1
White	253	243	40	16.5
English Learners	92	90	14	15.6
Foster Youth	17	12	2	16.7
Homeless	68	63	29	46.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	656	626	140	22.4
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	156	153	41	26.8

Note: To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

C. Engagement

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays suspensions data.

Suspensions								
School 2022-23	School 2023-24	School 2024-25	District 2022-23	District 2023-24	District 2024-25	State 2022-23	State 2023-24	State 2024-25
1.85	2.9	2.91	6.68	6.13	5.67	3.6	3.28	2.94

Note: To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

This table displays expulsions data.

Expulsions								
School 2022-23	School 2023-24	School 2024-25	District 2022-23	District 2023-24	District 2024-25	State 2022-23	State 2023-24	State 2024-25
0	0	0	0.19	0.28	0.25	0.08	0.07	0.06

Note: To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

2024-25 Suspensions and Expulsions by Student Group

Student Group	Suspensions Rate	Expulsions Rate
All Students	2.91	0.00
Female	0.26	0.00
Male	5.56	0.00
Non-Binary	0.00	0.00
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.00	0.00
Asian	0.00	0.00
Black or African American	2.86	0.00
Filipino	0.00	0.00
Hispanic or Latino	2.93	0.00
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.00	0.00
Two or More Races	1.37	0.00
White	3.16	0.00
English Learners	2.17	0.00
Foster Youth	0.00	0.00
Homeless	1.47	0.00
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3.05	0.00
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0.00	0.00
Students with Disabilities	5.13	0.00

Note: To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

2025-26 School Safety Plan

Each school site has adopted a Comprehensive Safe School Plan to ensure our school environment is conducive to academic achievement. It is a working document reviewed on a regular basis and has been developed through our School Safety Planning Committee (SSC). This planning committee is composed of school staff, district staff, community members, and local law enforcement. We work closely with other school administrators to ensure that all school safety plans are consistent throughout the district. Improvements and revisions are made with the help of local law enforcement at least one time per year. In August, school administration met regarding school safety with district staff and law enforcement to walk through the sites to review safety concerns and propose improvements. The School Site Safety Team convened on September 2, to review existing safety protocols and procedures. These meetings will continue on a monthly basis. Kynoch's School Site Council reviewed and discussed the safety plan on December 18, 2025.

Signs are visibly posted throughout the school, requiring all non-school employees to register at the office through our RAPTOR system. All staff members are trained to stop and question any person not wearing an identifying badge issued by the office. The Site Council formally adopts the Safe School Plan, which is board approved before March 1 every year. The plan includes the following major elements: safe school goals, safe school strategies and programs, child abuse reporting procedures, disaster response procedures, suspension and expulsion policy, sexual harassment policy, suicide risk assessment protocol, threat assessment and wellness plans, safety standards for arrival and departure, and school discipline.

The safety of our students and staff is our top priority. Staff and students participate in safety drills designed to address potential emergencies at our school, including fire evacuation, earthquake drop and cover, and lockdowns in the event of an on-campus intruder. The first round was conducted in October. Catapult EMS is our communication system used during emergencies to inform staff, the District Safety Team, and law enforcement of a school incident or crisis. The system uses real-time student accounting, staff location check-ins, threat report management, and messaging through text messages and emails.

D. Other SARC Information Information Required in the SARC

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2022-23 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2022-23 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	22	0	6	0
1	23	0	4	0
2	22	0	5	0
3	20	3	2	0
4	30	0	4	0
5	30	0	4	0
6	0	0	0	0
Other	19	1	1	0

2023-24 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2023-24 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled “Number of Classes” indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The “Other” category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	23	0	4	0
1	21	1	4	0
2	24	0	5	0
3	22	0	5	0
4	26	0	4	0
5	28	0	4	0
6	0	0	0	0
Other	16	3	1	0

2024-25 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2024-25 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled “Number of Classes” indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The “Other” category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	22	1	3	
1	25		4	
2	21		5	
3	23		5	
4	28		4	
5	27		4	
Other	11	2		

2024-25 Ratio of Pupils to Academic Counselor

This table displays the ratio of pupils to Academic Counselor. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Ratio
Pupils to Academic Counselor	0

2024-25 Student Support Services Staff

This table displays the number of FTE support staff assigned to this school. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. The "Other" category is for all other student support services staff positions not listed.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School
Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	0.6
Social Worker	
Nurse	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	
Other	2

Fiscal Year 2023-24 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries

This table displays the 2023-24 expenditures per pupil and average teacher salary for this school. Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$17,191	\$6,375	\$10,816	\$98,935
District	N/A	N/A	\$11,202	\$86,719
Percent Difference - School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-3.5	13.2
State	N/A	N/A	\$11,146	\$100,065
Percent Difference - School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-3.0	-1.1

Fiscal Year 2024-25 Types of Services Funded

The Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) creates funding targets based on student characteristics and provides flexibility aligned to increasing or improving services for districts to fund strategies at the local level to improve student outcomes. Our Local Control Accountability Plan outlines LCFF expenditures based on the following goals: 1) Improve Academic Performance by creating an academic system that addresses and engages the various identified needs of all MJUSD students; 2) Create an environment that addresses the physical, emotional and safety needs of all students and staff; 3) Prepare every student with the skills needed for college and career readiness; 4) Build a system of specific support for our EL (English Learner), foster and low SES students; 5) Improve the meaningful school to home relationship. 6) Improve access and inclusion opportunities for Special Education.

Base grant funding provides core, direct instruction and support to students, funds teachers, site administration, district office personnel, maintenance, special education, and all other operating expenses. Each site receives a discretionary allocation. Sites are not responsible for home-to-school transportation, utilities, routine and deferred facility maintenance, custodial or grounds upkeep. Sites also receive support services such as academic and social-emotional counseling, health, and psychological services. School sites receive additional monies for supplemental academic interventions directed to targeted

Fiscal Year 2024-25 Types of Services Funded

students who are not performing at grade level.

Fiscal Year 2023-24 Teacher and Administrative Salaries

This table displays the 2023-24 Teacher and Administrative salaries. For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certification Salaries & Benefits web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts in Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$60,075	\$62,145
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$85,024	\$97,088
Highest Teacher Salary	\$121,857	\$120,436
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$109,013	\$151,343
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$114,463	\$159,514
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$121,763	\$177,261
Superintendent Salary	\$246,328	\$294,805
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	23.27%	29.95%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	4.93%	5.4%

Professional Development

The Marysville Joint Unified School District Board of Trustees believes that, in order to maximize student learning and achievement in all subgroups, staff members need to be continuously engaged in learning and improving their skills through professional learning and collaboration. The district offers a program of ongoing professional development, including opportunities for teachers to enhance their instructional practices and improve student engagement and educational outcomes. Along with ongoing articulation through Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) and trainings scheduled during and after school, teachers have 24 hours with an optional 12 hours based on available funding of additional professional development during non-instructional hours or days yearly for Professional Development. In addition, all new teachers hired before the New Teacher Training in August were invited to participate in up to two additional professional development days. District summative data has illustrated a need for professional development in school and classroom culture, behavior management, EL strategies, and best practices in Mathematics, Literacy, and Science.

Kynoch conducts monthly staff meetings. During these meetings staff participates in professional development that pertains to data analysis, PBIS, STAR Renaissance, ELD instruction, safety and SEL instruction.

This table displays the number of school days dedicated to staff development and continuous improvement.

Subject	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement	7	7	7