



LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name: Sacramento Academic & Vocational Academy - EGUSD

CDS Code: 3467314 0137281

School Year: 2026-27

LEA contact information:

Lezli Warburton-McEndree


Principal

Lezli.Warburton@gcccharters.org

916-223-4990

School districts receive funding from different sources: state funds under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), other state funds, local funds, and federal funds. LCFF funds include a base level of funding for all LEAs and extra funding - called "supplemental and concentration" grants - to LEAs based on the enrollment of high needs students (Foster Youth, English learners, and low-income students).

Budget Overview for the 2026-27 School Year




This chart shows the total general purpose revenue Sacramento Academic & Vocational Academy - EGUSD expects to receive in the coming year from all sources.

The text description for the above chart is as follows: The total revenue projected for Sacramento Academic & Vocational Academy - EGUSD is \$6,914,111, of which \$4,026,892 is Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), \$698,242 is other state funds, \$1,371,333 is local funds, and \$817,644 is federal funds. Of the \$4,026,892 in LCFF Funds, \$811,051 is generated based on the enrollment of high needs students (Foster Youth, English learner, and low-income students).

LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

The LCFF gives school districts more flexibility in deciding how to use state funds. In exchange, school districts must work with parents, educators, students, and the community to develop a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) that shows how they will use these funds to serve students.



This chart provides a quick summary of how much Sacramento Academic & Vocational Academy - EGUSD plans to spend for 2026-27. It shows how much of the total is tied to planned actions and services in the LCAP.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: Sacramento Academic & Vocational Academy - EGUSD plans to spend \$7,434,982 for the 2026-27 school year. Of that amount, \$1,587,589 is tied to actions/services in the LCAP and \$5,847,393 is not included in the LCAP. The budgeted expenditures that are not included in the LCAP will be used for the following:


Funds to support regular school activities such as administrative salaries, Special Education, building rents/leases, building maintenance expenses and administrative salaries as well as other general fund expenses that are not specific to LCAP goals.

Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in the LCAP for the 2026-27 School Year

In 2026-27, Sacramento Academic & Vocational Academy - EGUSD is projecting it will receive \$811,051 based on the enrollment of Foster Youth, English learner, and low-income students. Sacramento Academic & Vocational Academy - EGUSD must describe how it intends to increase or improve services for high needs students in the LCAP. Sacramento Academic & Vocational Academy - EGUSD plans to spend \$811,051 towards meeting this requirement, as described in the LCAP.

LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

Update on Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in 2025-26



This chart compares what Sacramento Academic & Vocational Academy - EGUSD budgeted last year in the LCAP for actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students with what Sacramento Academic & Vocational Academy - EGUSD estimates it has spent on actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students in the current year.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: In 2025-26, Sacramento Academic & Vocational Academy - EGUSD's LCAP budgeted \$1,188,531 for planned actions to increase or improve services for high needs students. Sacramento Academic & Vocational Academy - EGUSD actually spent \$913,592 for actions to increase or improve services for high needs students in 2025-26.

The difference between the budgeted and actual expenditures of \$274,939 had the following impact on Sacramento Academic & Vocational Academy - EGUSD's ability to increase or improve services for high needs students:

Actual expenditures for 2025–26 were lower than budgeted because the LCFF funding available to support LCAP actions and services was reduced due to lower-than-projected Average Daily Attendance (ADA). As a result, expenditures were adjusted to align with available funding while maintaining the LEA's commitment to providing services and supports for high-needs students and implementing the goals and actions outlined in the LCAP.



Local Control and Accountability Plan

The instructions for completing the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) follow the template.

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Sacramento Academic & Vocational Academy - EGUSD	Lezli Warburton-McEndree Principal	lezli.warburton@gcccharters.org 916-223-4990

Plan Summary [2026-27]

General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA. LEAs may also provide information about their strategic plan, vision, etc.

Sacramento Academic and Vocational Academy (SAVA) - EGUSD is a 7th-12th grade charter school located in the city of Sacramento. It is one of nine charter schools operated and overseen by Gateway Community Charters, a 501c3 non-profit charter management organization (CMO). The primary community served by SAVA-EGUSD is the South Sacramento and Elk Grove community. The students served in this community come from areas that are socio-economically disadvantaged and face significant challenges such as high crime, substandard housing, and rampant substance abuse. In regard to the Elk Grove school location, the median household income as reported in the most recent Community Needs Assessment is higher than Sacramento, our SAVA EGUSD families and students do not reflect this income with over 80% of students enrolled at SAVA EGUSD designated as socio-economically disadvantaged. As outline in the 202 Community Needs Assessment (most recent assessment) there are high transportation barriers for SAVA families and students and a shortage of affordable housing. 3

SAVA is designed to deliver a comprehensive educational program through various instructional structures (i.e., independent study, online, career-focused, project-based learning, CTE, and concurrent enrollment in community college, when appropriate). Though all students in grades 7 through 12 may benefit from the program, SAVA's primary focus is on working with underserved students whose academic needs have not been met by the traditional education environment. SAVA maximizes instructional and support services to a diverse and academically challenged student population using a non-classroom-based model of instructional delivery. Although SAVA is an independent study program, the school functions as a hybrid model where most students are on campus two to three days a week taking part in different classes or in individual meetings with their assigned teacher of record. The school is unique in that all graduates are required to complete at least twenty five credits (five semesters) of career and technical education (CTE) classes in order to earn a diploma. As a school, we believe

that preparing students with career focused skills is essential to success after high school and educating students through a hybrid learning model is one key step in preparing our students for future success. SAVA is working towards a five year goal of having 100% of students graduate College and Career Prepared by Spring of 2028.

The El Dorado Charter SELPA supports SAVA in meeting the needs of students with disabilities by providing professional learning to staff and administrators, Alternative Dispute Resolution tools and assistance, facilitation of root cause analysis for Program Indicator Review and other Special Education accountability measures, and access to program specialists and other technical experts to assist with specific student needs.

Reflections: Annual Performance

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

SAVA's CAASPP proficiency levels for both math (161.2 points below standard) and ELA, (52.5 points below standard) are focus areas for improvement. Based on local data, the average new student enrolling at SAVA tests three to four years below grade level in both math and reading upon enrollment. The average new student enters the school in 10th or 11th grade and has attended multiple high schools prior to SAVA. SAVA's four year grad rate for 2024-25 was 61.5% up from 59.8% in the 2023-24 school year. SAVA's one year graduation rate for the 2024-25 school year was 94.9% . SAVA has a socioeconomic disadvantage rate of 11.4% and 11.39% English Learner rate. SAVA has a CCI rate of 2% prepared for the 2023-24 school year.

Differentiated Assistance:

SAVA EGUSD was identified for Differentiated Assistance for the following categories due to low scores and growth:

Hispanic/Latino (HIS): Academics (ELA 61.2 points below standard and Math 167.1 points below standard), Graduation Rate (57.7%), CCI (3.3%)

Socioeconomically Disadvantaged (SED): Academics (ELA 62.4 points below standard and Math 173.4), Chronic Absenteeism (33.3%), Graduation Rate (59.3%), CCI (5.1%)

Students with Disabilities (SWD): Graduation Rate (50%), CCI (0%)

LREBG Funds:

The 2025-2026 and 2026-2027 LREBG funds will be expended in Goal 2, Action 2.4. This action is aligned with the allowable use of funds by providing summer school and other evidence-based action that increases or stabilizes the amount of instructional time or services provided to pupils. The needs assessment conducted was done so in partnership with the needs assessment completed for Comprehensive Support and Improvement. The outcomes will be measured using the metrics indicated in Goal 2.

Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

SAVA achieved several key milestones aligned with its vision and mission. To enhance cohort awareness and support a four-year graduation rate, SAVA refined individualized graduation plans, ensuring students are set on a clear pathway to graduate on time. Teachers and counselors received targeted training to increase awareness and adjust these plans accordingly. Additionally, SAVA has a 9th- and 10th-grade cohort program, providing students with extra time on campus and direct instruction to address academic gaps and support at grade level learning. This initiative led to measurable growth in iReady math and English scores while also incorporating career exploration, helping students identify and commit to a career pathway.

SAVA also expanded dual enrollment opportunities to improve College and Career Indicator (CCI) preparedness among graduates. Monthly collaboration meetings with ARC, enhanced student support, and increased stakeholder education on dual enrollment benefits contributed to greater participation. Efforts continue to integrate these programs with the Los Rios college offerings. Additionally, middle schools adopted Paxton Patterson CTE exploration kits, offering hands-on learning experiences across career pathways to better prepare students for high school CTE programs. With continued expansion planned, these initiatives aim to strengthen academic success and career readiness for all students.

SAVA faces several significant challenges in ensuring all students graduate “prepared” and improving College and Career Indicator (CCI) readiness. Current data indicate low levels of CCI preparedness, but growing, prompting SAVA to enhance instructional rigor, incorporate feedback from educational partners, and implement data-driven strategies. Additionally, the school has historically struggled with a low four-year graduation rate. To address this, SAVA is implementing an academic Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) to close learning gaps and provide targeted interventions.

In the 2024-25 school year, SAVA EG expanded the intervention program with the intervention teacher supporting the increasing academic foundational support for students. This expanded the Response to Intervention (RTI) framework, a critical step in strengthening student support. The school continues to refine its RTI approach, working to identify essential math standards and develop common assessments to pinpoint areas of need more efficiently. Additionally, across all SAVA sites, literacy has been identified as a key focus for the upcoming school year. All teachers and programs will implement best practices to improve literacy outcomes and ensure students gain the skills necessary for long-term success. While securing buy-in and managing logistical challenges remain priorities, SAVA is committed to ongoing collaboration and refinement of its strategies to promote student achievement.

Differentiated Assistance:

SAVA leadership has been collaborating with Gateway Community Charters (CMO) and the Sacramento County Office of Education (SCOE) to conduct a comprehensive needs assessment and root cause analysis. This partnership aims to identify and address resource inequities, implement evidence-based improvement strategies, and use data to develop, monitor, and evaluate progress to enhance student outcomes.

From January 2024 to May 2025, the SAVA leadership team participated in professional development sessions with SCOE, covering the aforementioned topics. Additionally, the SAVA director met monthly with a SCOE coach for progress updates and support.

SAVA has completed a data analysis and root cause analysis, developed change ideas, and prioritized an action plan for implementation in the 2025-2026 school year. During the 2024-2025 school year SAVA has been working on building its academic MTSS model to start implementation of Tier 1 and Tier 2 strategies. One key initiative that SAVA determined through DA is to focus on literacy SAVA wide, doing this by providing professional development, key strategies, PLC time for staff in this area and incorporating this into the Tier 2 academic plan for students.

In addition to this, SAVA is working collaboratively with Solution Tree to support the ongoing MTSS work. SAVA is also working with GCC on building out essential standards for Math and creating common formative and summative assessments. This will help teachers identify areas of student academic need faster and give direct feedback to GCC on supports needed at the school.

The intended results are that students will stay on track with their graduation plans, and i-Ready scores will increase by 5%. This is expected to ultimately raise graduation rates and enhance overall student learning. SAVA will continue to review data and make necessary adjustments to the plan throughout the 2024-25 school year.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

An LEA with a school or schools eligible for comprehensive support and improvement must respond to the following prompts.

Schools Identified

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

Sacramento Academic and Vocational Academy- EGUSD

Support for Identified Schools

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

SAVA Charter School, in collaboration with Gateway Community Charters (CMO), has developed a comprehensive improvement plan. GCC will continue to support SAVA with implementation and monitoring the CSI plan. The following description outlines the process and key components of this support, addressing specific sub-questions regarding needs assessment, data analysis, evidence-based interventions, resource inequities, and stakeholder involvement.

Needs Assessment Development

How was the needs assessment developed?

The needs assessment was developed through a collaborative process involving SAVA leadership and Gateway Community Charters. This process included conducting a thorough root cause analysis to identify underlying issues impacting student outcomes. Stakeholder input, including feedback from students, parents, and staff, was also integrated to ensure a comprehensive understanding of the school's needs.

Data and Information Examined

What type of data and information was examined in the needs assessment and how did that inform the CSI plan?

The needs assessment involved examining various types of data, including:

Academic Performance: CAASPP and ELPAC test scores, course grades and credits, English Learner Progress Indicator, English Learner reclassification rate, iXL scores, and the CA School Dashboard

Attendance Data: ADA reports, chronic absenteeism, student retention rates

Disciplinary Records: Incidents of suspensions, expulsions

Graduation Rates: CA School Dashboard, 4-year and 1-year graduation rates

This data informed the CSI plan by highlighting key areas where interventions were necessary, such as improving instructional practices, increasing student engagement, and providing targeted support for at-risk students.

State Indicator Data and Graduation Rate

How is the plan informed by the state indicator data of graduation rate for which the school is identified for CSI?

The CSI plan is directly informed by the CA Dashboard, particularly the low 4-year cohort graduation rate that led to the school's CSI identification. By analyzing this data, SAVA identified specific barriers to graduation and developed targeted strategies to address these challenges, such as implementing 9th and 10th grade cohorts, providing additional academic support, following a tiered re-engagement system for student attendance and creating a tiered MTSS plan for the school.

Identification and Selection of Evidence-Based Interventions

How were evidence-based interventions identified and selected?

Evidence-based interventions were identified and selected after reviewing relevant research. School leadership also sought ideas and input from stakeholder groups including teacher, counselor and admin voices and guardian and students. The selection criteria included:

Data analysis

The five why analysis

Alignment with the identified needs and goals from the root cause analysis.

Dive into practices and a needs assessment

Feasibility of implementation within the school's context.

SAVA will support students in achieving graduation by creating academic plans for all students as part of the counseling process. These plans are created collaboratively with the student taking into account their long-term goals. In the first year, we focused on 4th and 5th-year seniors, providing targeted intervention time and identifying learning gaps and necessary supports. This practice has now been implemented with all students upon enrollment and is monitored regularly by their teacher and counselor.

Addressing Resource Inequities

How were resource inequities identified and how are they addressed through the plan?

Resource inequities were identified through a detailed analysis of school resources, including funding, staffing, and instructional materials. This analysis highlighted disparities that were contributing to the school's performance issues. One of the largest barriers for at-risk students identified was transportation. This plan includes transportation to and from school for those who need it. This CSI plan addresses inequities by allocating resources to targeted instructional support, research based interventions, and programs that support student engagement.

Involvement of Educational Partners

How were educational partners (students, staff, and parents) involved in the plan development and identification and selection of evidence-based strategies?

Educational partners were actively involved in the development of the CSI plan through various engagement strategies, including:

Surveys: Collecting input from students, parents, and staff on their experiences and perceptions.

Focus Groups: Facilitating in-depth discussions with key stakeholders to gather qualitative data.

Community Meetings: Providing forums for open dialogue and feedback on proposed strategies and interventions.

This inclusive approach ensured that the CSI plan reflects the perspectives and needs of the entire school community, fostering a sense of ownership and commitment to the plan's success.

Implementation and Continuous Improvement

SAVA completed the initial root cause analysis and determined the necessary goals and actions during the 2023 school year. In the 2025-2026 school year, SAVA continued these actions based on ongoing data analysis and stakeholder feedback. SAVA and GCC will continuously monitor, evaluate, and adjust the CSI plan to achieve sustained improvements in student outcomes.

Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

SAVA's strategy to monitor and evaluate the plan for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) involves a multi-faceted approach to ensure continuous progress and effective outcomes for students and the school. Here is a detailed description of the process:

1. Data Analysis

SAVA will conduct regular and systematic analysis of various data points, including academic performance, attendance rates, intervention supports, student progress towards graduation, graduation rates and surveys. This analysis helps identify trends, pinpoint areas needing improvement, and measures progress against established benchmarks.

2. Progress Reviews

To ensure the CSI plan is being implemented effectively, SAVA will conduct quarterly progress reviews. These reviews are designed to assess both the fidelity of the plan's implementation and its impact on student outcomes. These reviews will involve analyzing updated data, comparing it to previous reports, and evaluating the effectiveness of specific interventions.

3. Adjustment

Based on insights gained from data analysis, progress reviews, and stakeholder feedback, SAVA will make necessary adjustments to the CSI plan. This iterative process ensures that the plan remains responsive to the evolving needs of the students and the school. Adjustments could involve modifying instructional strategies, reallocating resources, or implementing new programs and supports.

4. Stakeholder Engagement

Engaging stakeholders is a key component of SAVA's monitoring and evaluation strategy. The school seeks input from students, parents, and staff through various means such as surveys, focus groups, and community meetings. This feedback helps ensure that the CSI plan addresses the concerns and priorities of the entire school community, fostering a collaborative approach to school improvement.

5. Documentation and Reporting

SAVA maintains detailed records of all activities related to the monitoring and evaluation of the CSI plan. This includes documentation of data analyses, progress reviews, adjustments made, and stakeholder feedback. Transparent reporting is also a priority, with regular updates provided to all stakeholders. These reports summarize progress, highlight successes, and outline any areas needing further attention.

By integrating these five components—data analysis, progress reviews, adjustment, stakeholder engagement, and documentation and reporting—SAVA will ensure a comprehensive and effective approach to supporting student and school improvement through the CSI plan.

Engaging Educational Partners

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Educational Partner(s)	Process for Engagement
School staff including teachers, principals, administrators and other school personnel.	Surveys: Administered anonymous surveys to staff members to gather their perspectives on various aspects of the LCAP, such as priorities, challenges, and areas for improvement. Focus groups: Held small group discussions/focus groups with representatives from different departments to delve deeper into specific topics related to the LCAP. Staff meetings: Allocated time during regular staff meetings to discuss the LCAP goals, progress, and solicit feedback from staff members.
Parents	Parent surveys: Administered surveys to parents to gather their perspectives on various aspects of the LCAP, such as educational priorities, areas of concern, and suggestions for improvement. School Site Council (SSC), District English Learner Advisory Committee (DELAC): Involved parents in school site council and advisory committee dedicated to reviewing and providing input on the LCAP.
Students	Student surveys: Administered surveys to students to gather their perspectives on various aspects of the LCAP, such as educational experiences, areas of improvement, and suggestions for enhancing support services.

Educational Partner(s)	Process for Engagement
	Student leadership involvement: Engaging student leaders in the LCAP feedback process.

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

In shaping our LCAP, we actively sought input from our educational partners, recognizing the value of their perspectives. Their feedback informed our goals in several key areas. For example, partners emphasized the importance of preparing students for college and careers, prompting us to enhance career exploration programs and academic counseling services. We also considered their insights on addressing academic achievement gaps, leading us to develop targeted interventions and allocate resources more effectively. Additionally, partners highlighted the significance of supporting students' social-emotional well-being, guiding us to implement practices aimed at creating a nurturing school environment. By incorporating partner feedback into our LCAP, we ensure that our goals align closely with the needs of our educational community, fostering a supportive and inclusive environment for student success.

Input from the School Site Council (SSC) and DELAC played a particularly important role in identifying resource gaps in academic and wellness supports. Their review of disaggregated student performance data led to the inclusion of Title I-funded actions focused on early identification of struggling students and the provision of targeted, evidence-based interventions under Goal 2. The SSC also advocated for consistent access to social-emotional supports, reinforcing the design of Actions 3.3–3.5 under Goal 3.

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
1	Develop college and career ready students.	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 1: Basic (Conditions of Learning)
 Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)
 Priority 7: Course Access (Conditions of Learning)
 Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

LEA wide, literacy and math skills are below proficient for large numbers of students. Multiple supports are needed to guide student success including offering a wide range of instructional programming to close achievement gaps. The LEA will ensure that all students are provided learning opportunities that will foster college and career ready young adults.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
1.1	Appropriately Credentialed Teachers (misassignments)	3% of teacher misassignments (23-24)	1% of teachers misassigned (24-25)	Less than 1% of teachers misassigned (25-26)	100% of teachers are appropriately assigned and credentialed.	Decreased 2%
1.2	Facilities in Good Repair (FIT Tool)	90% of facility in good repair (23-24)	94% of facilities are in good repair (24-25)	95% of facilities are in good repair (25-26)	100% of items for the school are in good repair.	Increase 5%
1.3	College and Career Indicator (CCI)	3.3% prepared 12% approaching prepared (23-24)	2% prepared 17.1 Approaching prepared (24-25)	6.1% Prepared, 12.9% approaching Prepared (25-26)	Increase 5% Annually	Increase of 2.8 % prepared Increase of .9% approaching prepared
1.4	A-G Completion Rates	2% A-G completion (23-24)	less than 1% (24-25)	less than 1% (25-26)	Increase 5% Annually	Decrease of 1%

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
1.5	CTE Pathway Completers	5.56% CTE pathway completers (23-24)	2% CTE pathway completers (24-25)	11.8 % (25-26)	Increase 5% Annually	Increase of 6.4%

Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Overall implementation of planned actions produced both progress and ongoing challenges. SAVA continued to implement student-centered supports aligned to academic engagement and credit recovery, including staffing for direct services, expanded access to instructional resources, and enrichment activities. While all actions were initiated, implementation varied due to staffing availability, particularly in certificated and classified roles. Increased investment in supplemental materials and activities helped offset some of the gaps in direct staffing support. Facilities also improved from 90% in good repair to 95%, demonstrating steady progress toward the 100% target. College and Career Indicator results fluctuated, declining from 3.3% prepared at baseline to 2% in Year 1, but increasing to 6.1% prepared in Year 2, indicating gradual improvement despite remaining below the desired annual growth. A–G completion rates remain a key challenge, decreasing from 2% at baseline to 0% in Year 2. In contrast, Career Technical Education (CTE) pathway completion showed strong success, increasing from 5.56% at baseline to 11.8%, surpassing the annual growth target. Overall, successes included improvements in facilities, teacher credentialing, and CTE completion, while increasing A–G completion and college readiness remain areas for continued focus.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Action 1.1 – Certificated Staff
Actual: \$86,663 | Planned: \$99,707
Variance: \$(13,044)

Action 1.2 – Classified Staff
Actual: \$23,117 | Planned: \$75,484
Variance: \$(52,367)

Action 1.3 – Professional Development
Actual: \$10,485 | Planned: \$943
Variance: \$9,542

Action 1.4 – Supplemental Instructional Programs, Resources, and Supplies
Actual: \$12,963 | Planned: \$52,153

Variance: \$(39,190) Action 1.5 – Events and Activities Actual: \$3,843 Planned: \$19,110 Variance: \$(15,267) Action 1.6 – Clean and Safe Facilities Actual: \$62,179 Planned: \$4,627 Variance: \$57,552

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

The implementation of instructional supports, targeted student activities, and access to supplemental materials contributed to increased student engagement and academic participation. However, staffing limitations impacted the consistency of services across sites. Chronic absenteeism remains an area of focus, though positive student participation in enrichment activities and elective offerings reflects progress. Title 1, Part A funds were used to hire certificated and classified staff were hired to provide Tier I and Tier II support to students struggling in core academic areas. Daily intervention blocks were maintained, and targeted supports for struggling students were offered via small-group and push-in services. Professional development included RTI and data-driven instructional practices.
--

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

No major changes have been made to this goal for the 2026–27 school year. Adjustments in staffing timelines and professional development planning are being addressed internally to support stronger implementation moving forward. Metrics (baseline and outcomes) were updated to reflect the correct calendar years.
--

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year’s actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year’s actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
1.1	Certificated Staff	Fund certificated staff to provide broad access to courses promoting college and career readiness. (CTE, electives, world languages, etc.)	\$367,778.00	Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
1.2	Classified Staff	Fund classified staff to support safe, clean instructional facilities and a broad range of instructional programs to prepare students for college and career Title I, Part A funds for this action support early identification of low performing students using progress monitoring. Identified students receive timely interventions through small-group instruction, push-in supports, and after-school tutoring. Staff are trained to use data protocols to identify and support students not meeting grade-level benchmarks, with EL and SED students prioritized for supplemental services.	\$18,805.00	Yes
1.3	Professional Development	Fund professional development for school staff focused on college and career ready outcomes for students.	\$13,380.00	Yes
1.4	Supplemental Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies	Fund supplemental programs and provide instructional resources accessible to all students including low income, English Learners, foster youth and SPED, to support college and career readiness.	\$26,202.00	Yes
1.5	Events and Activities	Fund activities and events focused on college and career readiness.	\$5,181.00	Yes
1.6	Clean and Safe Facilities	Ensure school campus has resources and supplies need to provide a safe, clean and effective learning environment for students.	\$86,108.00	Yes

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
2	Increase academic growth, achievement and enrichment opportunities for all students, as well as close gaps with underperforming student groups including foster, low SES, EL's, LTEL's and SWD's.	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 2: State Standards (Conditions of Learning)
 Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)
 Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement)
 Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Standardized test results in both ELA and math show significant room for improvement and there are disparities amongst student subgroup scores. A focus on academic achievement with specific academic supports and enrichment opportunities for all students will help raise student proficiency scores and close achievement gaps.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
2.1	CAASPP Math (Schoolwide)	2.87% 157.8 pts below standard (23-24)	4.2% 181.4 Pts below standard (24-25)	3.79% 161.2 PBS (25-26)	All students and all subgroups of students will decrease distance from standard by 10%	Increase of .92%, Increase in the Distance from Standard of 3.4 points.
2.2	CAASPP ELA (Schoolwide)	25.86% 60.5 pts below standard (23-24)	21.68% 78 Pts below standard (24-25)	35.61% 52.5 PBS (25-26)	All students and all subgroups of students will decrease distance from standard by 10%	Increase of 9.75%, Decrease in the Distance from Standard of 8 points
2.3	CAASPP Math (Significant Subgroups)	-SED 3.33% 156.4 pts below standard	-HI 1.25% 185.1 PBS	HI 0.00%, 167.1 PBS	All students and all subgroups of	-SED Decrease of 2.24%, increase of

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
		-SWD 0.00% 201 pts below standard -AA 3.45% 178.1 pts below standard -HI 2.24% 163.6 pts below standard (23-24)	-AA 6.25% 218.4 PBS -SED 4.08% 180.7 PBS -SWD 0.00% 226.5 PBS (24-25)	AA 4.35%, 185.5 PBS SED 1.09%, 173.4 PBS SWD 2.44%, 199.5 PBS (25-26)	students will decrease distance from standard by 10%	10.70 pts below standard -SWD 0.00% decrease of 15.50 pts below standard -AA 3.45%, decrease of 4.7 pts below standard -HI 2.24% Increase of 3.5 pts below standard
2.4	CAASPP ELA (Significant Subgroups)	-SED 22.50% 61.5 pts below standard -SWD 17.24% 137.8 pts below standard -AA 24.14% 63.1 pts below standard -HI 26.97% 77.9 pts below standard -Two or More 28.00% 58.4 pts below standard (23-24)	-HI 18.75% 79.4 PBS -AA 6.25% 140.3 PBS -SED 20.41% 68 PBS -SWD 2.86% 170.9 PBS (24-25)	HI 35.52%, 61.2 PBS AA 17.39%, 93.6 PBS SED 29.35%, 62.4 PBS SWD 19.52%, 104.1 PBS Two or more Races - no longer a significant subgroup n<11 (25-26)	All students and all subgroups of students will decrease distance from standard by 10%	SED Increase of 6.85%, increase of .90 pts below standard -SWD Increase of 2.28% Decrease of 33.70 pts below standard -AA Decrease of 6.75% Increase of 30.50 pts below standard -HI Increase of 8.55%, Increase of 16.70 pts below standard Two or more Races - no longer a significant subgroup n<11
2.5	English Learner Progress Indicator	38.5% EL progress (23-24)	50% EL progress (24-25)	52.9% EI Progress (25-26)	43.5% of EL students will be making progress on the progress indicator	Increase of 14.4%

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
2.6	English Learner Reclassification Rate	0% reclassification (23-24)	0% reclassification (24-25)	1.72% reclassifications (25-26)	SAVA will increase this rate by 5%	Increase of 1.72%
2.7	High School Graduation Rate	56.3% four year graduation rate (23-24)	59.8% four year graduation rate (24-25)	61.50% four year graduation rate (25-26)	Increase 3% annually	Increase of 5.2%
2.8	One Year Graduation Rate (DASS Metric)	87.3% one year graduation rate (23-24)	93.1% one year graduation rate (24-25)	94.90% one year graduation rate (25-26)	SAVA will increase their one year grad rate by 5% annually or maintain 75% or greater.	Increase of 7.6%

Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

During the 25-26 school year year, SAVA Elk Grove implemented actions focused on improving student academic achievement in ELA and math, supporting English Learners, and increasing graduation outcomes. Staff monitored student performance data, implemented targeted interventions, and provided individualized academic support through the independent study model. Teachers continued to focus on literacy development across content areas and strengthening foundational math skills while supporting English Learners through designated and integrated ELD instruction.

Overall implementation aligned with planned actions. These efforts contributed to several positive outcomes, including significant growth in CAASPP ELA performance, which increased from 25.86% meeting or exceeding standard at baseline to 35.61%, with a reduction in distance from standard. Significant subgroup performance in ELA also improved across most groups. English Learner progress increased from 38.5% to 52.9%, exceeding the target, and the English Learner reclassification rate increased from 0% to 1.72%. Graduation outcomes also improved, with the four-year graduation rate increasing to 61.5% and the one-year DASS graduation rate increasing to 94.9%.

Math performance remains an area of continued focus. While there was a small increase in the percentage of students meeting or exceeding standard, the distance from standard remains significant for several subgroups. Overall, the goal was implemented as planned and resulted in notable improvements in ELA achievement, English Learner progress, and graduation outcomes, while math achievement will remain a priority moving forward.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Action 2.1 – Certificated Staff

Actual: \$365,849 | Planned: \$445,787

Variance: \$(79,938)

Staff out on leave, decrease in expenses with backfilling with a substitute.

Action 2.2 – Classified Staff

Actual: \$59,701 | Planned: \$125,743

Variance: \$(66,042)

Did not back fill when a clerk and para educator resigned.

Action 2.3 – Staff Professional Development

Actual: \$6,519 | Planned: \$18,093

Variance: \$(11,574)

The District Professional Learning Team was able to provide PD for staff which decrease the cost needed for an outside vendor.

Action 2.4 – Instructional Programs, Resources, and Supplies

Actual: \$2,027 | Planned: \$96,416

Variance: \$(94,389)

With known budget decrease, the site spent less funds in this area.

Action 2.5 – Activities and Events

Actual: \$105 | Planned: \$3,151

Variance: (\$3,046)

The school was able to receive donated items and food for activities and events which brought the amount down.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

The increased investment in certificated staff contributed to expanded student support and academic interventions. Professional development and instructional supply investments supported direct services. The absence of classified staffing limited some operational and instructional support at site level. Despite this, student engagement remained steady.

Title 1, Part A funds were used to hire certificated and classified staff were hired to provide Tier I and Tier II support to students struggling in core academic areas. Daily intervention blocks were maintained, and targeted supports for struggling students were offered via small-group and push-in services. Professional development included RTI and data-driven instructional practices.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

No major changes have been made to Goal 2 for 2026–27. Internal planning has been adjusted to improve hiring timelines for classified staff and ensure better alignment of support services to student needs. Metrics (baseline and outcomes) were updated to reflect the correct calendar years.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year’s actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year’s actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
2.1	Certificated Staff	Fund certificated staff to support reduced classroom teacher/students ratios and to provide increased academic support services Title I, Part A funds for this action support early identification of low performing students progress monitoring. Identified students receive timely interventions through small-group instruction, push-in supports, and after-school tutoring. Staff are trained to use data protocols to identify and support students not meeting grade-level benchmarks, with EL and SED students prioritized for supplemental services.	\$428,780.00	Yes
2.2	Classified Staff	Fund classified support staff to support academic intervention programs for EL, homeless, foster, SPED and low-income students. Title I, Part A funds for this action support early identification of low performing students using progress monitoring. Identified students receive timely interventions through small-group instruction, push-in supports, and after-school tutoring. Staff are trained to use data protocols to identify and	\$71,926.00	Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		support students not meeting grade-level benchmarks, with EL and SED students prioritized for supplemental services.		
2.3	Staff Professional Development	Fund professional development for school staff focused on academic achievement and intervention support.	\$19,779.00	Yes
2.4	Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies	Provide programs and resources to support academic achievement and supplemental support/intervention classes.	\$131,103.00	Yes
2.5	Activities and Events	Fund school enrichment activities and events focused on academic achievement.	\$108.00	Yes

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
3	Foster a positive culture and climate through providing a safe, healthy and engaging learning environment.	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 3: Parental Involvement (Engagement)
 Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement)
 Priority 6: School Climate (Engagement)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

The LEA serves a high percentage of unduplicated (low-income, foster, English learner) students. Data has shown that these students have a higher probability of dropping out of school. This goal will contribute to increasing student attendance, engagement and retention through providing a wide variety of learning supports and enrichment opportunities.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
3.1	School Attendance Rate	93.75% school attendance rate(23-24)	96.25% (24-25)	92.55 (25-26)	SAVA will maintain a 95% or higher school attendance rate.	Decrease of 1,2%
3.2	Chronic Absenteeism Rate	32.4% chronic absenteeism (23-24)	27.1% (24-25)	37.8% (25-26)	SAVA will decrease chronic absenteeism by 5%	Increase of 5.4%
3.3	Student Suspension Rate	2% suspension rate (23-24)	0% (24-25)	1% (25-26)	SAVA will decrease suspension rate by 2% or maintain less than 5%	Decrease 1%

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
3.4	Student Expulsion Rate	0% expulsion rate(23-24)	0% (24-25)	0% (25-26)	SAVA will maintain a less than 3% expulsion rate	No change (0%)
3.5	Retention Rate	71% retention rate (23-24)	80.85% (24-25)	81% (25-26)	SAVA will maintain a 75% or higher retention rate	10% Increase
3.6	Student/Family Surveys	-59% of students report SAVA offers challenging courses -76% of students feel safe at school -86% state SAVA uses up to date technology -84% students receive resources they need -45% have parents that are highly involved (23-24)	-61% of students report SAVA offers challenging courses -87% of students feel safe at school -79% state SAVA uses up to date technology -82% students receive resources they need -35% have parents that are highly involved (24-25)	65% challenging classes, 88% feel safe at school, 88% tech up to date, 84% receive resources, 45% parents highly involved (25-26)	SAVA will increase survey answers of "agree" or "strongly agree" by 5% or maintain 90% or better.	Increase 6% who feel they have challenging classes, Increase of 12% who feel safe at school, Increase of 6% feel the tech is up to date, No change in receive resources, no change in parents highly involved

Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Goal 3 implementation focused on maintaining a safe and supportive school climate, with an emphasis on staff development and expanded student services. Most actions were implemented as planned, though spending on activities and supports for homeless and foster youth (Action 3.6) fell short of expectations. Instructional materials and classified staffing were fully implemented.

During the 25-26 school year, SAVA Elk Grove implemented several strategies to support student engagement, attendance, and school connectedness, aligned with the goal of maintaining strong attendance, reducing chronic absenteeism, and supporting positive school climate indicators. Key actions included continued implementation of the school's engagement monitoring systems, increased communication with students and families, expanded use of SST and engagement intervention processes, and continued emphasis on building student connection through Career Technical Education (CTE) pathways and flexible independent study supports. Staff also continued to monitor

attendance and engagement weekly, conduct outreach to families when students demonstrated signs of disengagement, and implement intervention strategies through the Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS).

Overall implementation of this goal was largely carried out as planned. The school maintained strong retention rates and low discipline rates, demonstrating success in creating a safe and supportive learning environment. The suspension rate remained well below the target threshold and expulsions remained at zero, indicating that the school's emphasis on relationship-building, restorative practices, and proactive student support continues to be effective. Student and family survey results also improved across all measured areas, including perceptions of challenging coursework, school safety, access to resources, and use of up-to-date technology, suggesting that students continue to feel supported in the SAVA learning environment.

Attendance outcomes, however, presented mixed results. While the school previously exceeded its attendance target, the most recent data shows a slight decline in overall attendance and an increase in chronic absenteeism. These changes are likely influenced by the unique population served at SAVA Elk Grove, which includes many at-risk students balancing school with work, family responsibilities, and other life challenges. Despite these challenges, the school continues to maintain retention rates significantly above the target, indicating that students remain connected to the program even when attendance fluctuates.

One of the most notable successes was the continued improvement in student retention, which increased significantly from the baseline and remained strong in the most recent year. This reflects the school's focus on individualized learning plans, flexible scheduling, and strong staff-student relationships that help students remain enrolled and persist toward graduation.

Moving forward, the school will continue to strengthen attendance monitoring systems, increase proactive outreach for students showing early signs of disengagement, and expand engagement supports through SST processes and CTE program participation. Additional focus will be placed on reducing chronic absenteeism while maintaining the strong culture of safety, support, and student connection that has contributed to positive retention and climate outcomes.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Action 3.1 – Certificated Staff

Actual: \$121,880 | Planned: \$6,691

Variance: \$115,189

Increase in SEL and student support for this school year.

Action 3.2 – Classified Staff

Actual: \$104,048 | Planned: \$120,972

Variance: \$(16,924)

With known budget decrease did not back fill positions.

Action 3.3 – Staff Professional Development

Actual: \$7,609 | Planned: \$106,729

Variance: \$(99,120)

With known budget decrease did not implement as much PD

Action 3.4 – Instructional Programs, Resources, and Supplies

Actual: \$11,066 | Planned: \$4,231

Variance: \$6,835

Staff training and resources in PBIS for SEL and student behavior needs.

Action 3.5 – Activities and Events

Actual: \$27,411 | Planned: \$4,412

Variance: \$22,999

Increase in activities to engage students and families and decrease chronic absenteeism

Action 3.6 – Supports for Homeless and Foster Students

Actual: \$8,178 | Planned: \$4,282

Variance: \$3,896

An increase in identified homeless students, supplies needed to support them.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

All actions under this goal were fully implemented and contributed to a stable and supportive school environment. Instructional and staffing supports improved access to academic and social-emotional services for students. While some actions showed lower LCFF expenditures, they were carried out using alternative funding sources, ensuring no disruption in service delivery. Supports for homeless and foster youth, as well as student engagement events, were implemented as planned, though funded through other allocations.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

The goal remains unchanged for 2026–27. Moving forward, SAVA will ensure stronger tracking and outreach systems are in place to better implement supports for foster and homeless youth, and refine event planning to increase student participation and impact. Metrics (baseline and outcomes) were updated to reflect the correct calendar years.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
3.1	Certificated Staff	Fund certificated staff to support student health, student/family engagement and retention.	\$122,863.00	Yes
3.2	Classified Staff	Fund classified staff to support student health & safety, student/family engagement, and retention	\$238,300.00	Yes
3.3	Professional Development	Fund professional development opportunities that will develop staff capacity to serve low-income, EL and Foster/Homeless youth who have struggled to attend and engage in school. PD will focus on engagement and social-emotional well-being.	\$2,622.00	Yes
3.4	Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies	Provide materials and resources to support student engagement, attendance and social emotional well being.	\$12,355.00	Yes
3.5	Activities and Events	Fund school activities and events focused on student engagement and social emotional well being.	\$31,558.00	Yes
3.6	Supports for Homeless and Foster Students	Fund support services to support academic growth, attendance, engagement and retention for foster and homeless students.	\$10,741.00	Yes

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students [2026-27]

Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant
\$811,051	\$123,876

Required Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the LCAP Year

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year	LCFF Carryover — Percentage	LCFF Carryover — Dollar	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year
25.220%	0.000%	\$0.00	25.220%

The Budgeted Expenditures for Actions identified as Contributing may be found in the Contributing Actions Table.

Required Descriptions

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
1.1	Action: Certificated Staff Need: Unduplicated student groups—including English Learners, low-income students, and foster youth—often have limited access to rigorous academic instruction and college- and career-aligned coursework. These students require increased exposure to high-quality instruction that integrates postsecondary	To address these disparities, the LEA is investing in certificated staff who are appropriately credentialed and trained to deliver a broad instructional program that incorporates college and career readiness tools and pathways. This action ensures that all students—including unduplicated students—have equitable access to high-quality teaching across core academic areas and career technical education (CTE). Because unduplicated students are enrolled throughout the LEA, providing qualified staff on a	Percentage of teachers appropriately assigned and fully credentialed (measured via annual teacher assignment data/misassignment reports)

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	readiness skills and career exploration opportunities. Scope: LEA-wide	schoolwide basis ensures consistent access to rigorous and relevant instruction regardless of classroom or campus. This approach supports systemic equity and allows for integrated supports within the general education program.	
1.2	Action: Classified Staff Need: Unduplicated students—including English Learners, low-income students, and foster youth—require additional academic and social-emotional support to access and succeed in college and career readiness pathways. Many also need safer, more structured learning environments and increased individualized support to remain engaged in their education. Scope: LEA-wide	This action funds additional classified staff—including instructional aides, academic support staff, and campus safety personnel—who are trained to provide small group and one-on-one support to students, particularly in college and career-related coursework. These staff also help maintain safe, supportive learning environments that are essential for student engagement and success. Because unduplicated students are enrolled throughout the school and benefit from integrated support within the broader school environment, providing classified staff on a schoolwide basis ensures equitable access to academic and behavioral support services for all students, with a focus on addressing the unique needs of underperforming subgroups.	College and Career Indicator performance for unduplicated student groups School Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) ratings related to safety and maintenance
1.3	Action: Professional Development Need: Unduplicated student groups—including English Learners, low-income students, and foster youth—often lack access to high-quality instruction and support aligned with college and career readiness standards. As the LEA expands its staffing to address this gap, there is a critical need for professional development to ensure all staff are equipped to deliver	This action provides targeted professional development for both certificated and classified staff, focused on building capacity to integrate college and career readiness into daily instruction and student support services. Trainings will include strategies for academic advising, career pathway alignment, project-based learning, culturally responsive teaching, and support for underperforming subgroups. Providing this action on a schoolwide basis ensures that all staff—regardless of role—are equipped with the tools to support unduplicated	Progress on the College and Career Indicator for unduplicated students

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	effective, equity-centered instruction and support. Scope: LEA-wide	students across all classrooms and learning environments. Because these students are dispersed throughout the school, a systemic, schoolwide approach is necessary to ensure consistency and impact.	
1.4	Action: Supplemental Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies Need: Data across the LEA indicates that a significant portion of students—particularly those within the unduplicated pupil groups (low-income, English Learners, and foster youth)—are performing below grade level and require additional academic support. These students also experience limited access to engaging, college and career-aligned instructional content that supports long-term academic and postsecondary success. Scope: LEA-wide	To address these identified gaps, the LEA is investing in supplemental instructional programs, targeted intervention resources, and educational supplies that are specifically aligned with college and career readiness objectives. These materials are designed to differentiate instruction, reinforce foundational skills, and expose students to applied learning opportunities connected to real-world postsecondary pathways. Because unduplicated students are integrated throughout each school, implementing this action on a schoolwide basis ensures equitable access to supports and resources necessary to accelerate learning for students most at risk of academic underperformance. A schoolwide deployment of these supports promotes consistency in instructional quality and ensures that intervention strategies are embedded across multiple content areas and classrooms.	College and Career Indicator performance disaggregated by student subgroup
1.5	Action: Events and Activities Need: Unduplicated students—including low-income students, English Learners, and foster youth—have historically had limited access to extracurricular events and enrichment activities that promote awareness of college and career pathways. These students benefit	This action supports the planning and implementation of events and activities—such as college and career fairs, campus tours, guest speaker series, job shadowing opportunities, and family information nights—that are designed to increase student engagement in postsecondary planning. These activities help students visualize their future and understand the academic requirements needed to achieve their goals.	Percentage of graduates meeting A-G requirements Number of students completing a CTE pathway

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	from intentional exposure to postsecondary options, which can significantly influence their motivation and ability to complete A-G requirements and pursue CTE pathway completion. Scope: LEA-wide	Because unduplicated students are enrolled throughout each school, providing these events on a schoolwide basis ensures broad access and promotes an inclusive college- and career-going culture across the LEA. These experiences can be especially transformative for students who may not otherwise be exposed to such opportunities outside of school.	
1.6	Action: Clean and Safe Facilities Need: A safe, clean, and well-maintained learning environment is essential for student engagement, attendance, and overall academic success—especially for unduplicated students who may already face instability or environmental challenges outside of school. Ensuring that all campuses are in good repair and meet health and safety standards is foundational to supporting student learning and well-being. Scope: LEA-wide	This action supports the maintenance and improvement of school facilities to ensure they are clean, safe, and conducive to learning. It includes routine custodial services, safety upgrades, and regular facilities inspections. Since unduplicated students are enrolled throughout the LEA, this action is provided on a schoolwide basis to ensure equitable access to safe and healthy learning environments for all students. A consistent, high-quality physical environment helps reinforce a sense of belonging and care—factors that are especially critical for students facing additional barriers to success.	Annual Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) ratings
2.1	Action: Certificated Staff Need: Academic performance data indicates that a significant percentage of students—particularly unduplicated students including English Learners, low-income students, and foster	To address these disparities, the LEA is investing in additional certificated staff who are appropriately credentialed to provide targeted instruction, differentiated supports, and intervention services. These staff members may serve as classroom teachers, interventionists, or instructional specialists focused on raising academic	CAASPP ELA and Math performance (overall and by subgroup) Advanced Placement (AP) exam participation and performance

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	youth—are performing below grade level in English Language Arts (ELA) and mathematics. These achievement gaps persist year over year and require targeted instructional support to address. Scope: LEA-wide	achievement and accelerating progress for underperforming student groups. Because unduplicated students are enrolled throughout all schools and classrooms, providing this action on a schoolwide basis ensures equitable access to high-quality instruction and allows targeted support to be embedded into the general education setting. It also enhances the LEA's ability to reduce class sizes, increase small group instruction, and provide more responsive teaching strategies aligned with student needs.	Early Assessment Program (EAP) readiness levels
2.2	Action: Classified Staff Need: Achievement data indicates persistent performance gaps among unduplicated student subgroups—including English Learners, low-income students, and foster youth—particularly in English Language Arts and mathematics. These students require more individualized academic support and intervention to meet grade-level expectations. Scope: LEA-wide	This action funds classified staff, such as instructional aides and academic support personnel, to provide direct, small-group, and one-on-one intervention services to students struggling in ELA and math. These staff members play a critical role in reinforcing classroom instruction, supporting differentiated learning, and providing targeted assistance to students most at risk of falling behind. Providing this action on a schoolwide basis ensures all students—especially those in unduplicated groups—have equitable access to timely academic support. Since unduplicated students are integrated across all classrooms, this approach enables flexible deployment of support staff based on student needs across the entire school population.	CAASPP ELA and Math performance (overall and by subgroup) English Learner Progress Indicator (ELPI) on the CA Dashboard
2.3	Action: Staff Professional Development Need: Unduplicated student subgroups—including English Learners, low-income students, and foster youth—continue to show lower performance on standardized assessments,	This action provides targeted professional development for both certificated and classified staff focused on closing achievement gaps and delivering effective academic interventions. Training will include instructional strategies for differentiation, data-driven intervention planning, support for English Learners, trauma-informed	Subgroup performance on CAASPP ELA and Math assessments English Learner reclassification rate

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	highlighting the need for more effective, research-based instructional and intervention practices. Staff must be equipped with strategies tailored to the academic and social-emotional needs of these students to improve outcomes. Scope: LEA-wide	practices, and tools to accelerate learning for struggling students. Because unduplicated students are enrolled across all classrooms and programs, delivering this professional development on a schoolwide basis ensures that every student has access to trained staff capable of providing appropriate and effective supports. A unified approach builds staff capacity and supports consistency in instructional quality across the LEA.	
2.4	Action: Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies Need: Academic achievement data reveals persistent gaps among unduplicated student subgroups—including English Learners, low-income students, and foster youth—particularly in ELA and math. There is a need for high-quality instructional materials and targeted intervention resources to support differentiated instruction and close performance gaps. Scope: LEA-wide	This action provides essential instructional materials, intervention curricula, and technology tools that support academic growth for struggling students. These resources will be used in small group instruction, targeted intervention classes, and academic support programs designed to accelerate learning and improve engagement for unduplicated students. Because these students are integrated throughout the school community, offering resources on a schoolwide basis ensures all students—especially those in unduplicated groups—have access to high-quality learning tools and instructional supports aligned to their needs. It also empowers educators across the LEA to implement consistent, evidence-based strategies for raising achievement.	CAASPP ELA and Math performance (overall and by subgroup) High School Graduation Rate (disaggregated by subgroup)
2.5	Action: Activities and Events Need: Unduplicated students—including low-income students, English Learners, and foster youth—often face barriers to engagement and	This action funds academic-focused events such as award ceremonies, college signing days, family academic nights, student showcases, and other activities that highlight and reinforce academic growth and success. These events help build a positive academic culture and increase visibility	High School Graduation Rate (disaggregated by subgroup)

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	recognition in academic settings. Increased opportunities for these students and their families to engage in school events that promote and celebrate academic achievement can build motivation, foster a sense of belonging, and strengthen the connection between school and home. Scope: LEA-wide	and celebration of progress among underrepresented groups. Because unduplicated students are integrated throughout the school community, providing these activities on a schoolwide basis ensures all students have access to academic engagement opportunities and that targeted outreach can be conducted for underperforming subgroups. These inclusive experiences support whole-school culture while focusing on students who benefit most from additional encouragement and recognition.	
3.1	Action: Certificated Staff Need: Unduplicated students—including foster youth, low-income students, and English Learners—often face social-emotional and health-related challenges that impact their ability to attend school consistently and remain on track for graduation. Increased access to certificated support staff is needed to address these barriers and improve student outcomes. Scope: LEA-wide	This action funds certificated support personnel such as school counselors, nurses, and social workers who provide essential services to meet students' social, emotional, and physical health needs. These professionals play a key role in supporting attendance, reducing chronic absenteeism, improving mental health, and guiding students toward academic success and on-time graduation. Providing this support on a schoolwide basis ensures all students—particularly those in unduplicated groups—have access to the resources needed to thrive. These services are embedded throughout the school day and benefit the broader student population while prioritizing students who face the most significant challenges outside of school.	School Attendance Rate (overall and by subgroup)
3.2	Action: Classified Staff Need: Unduplicated students—including foster youth, low-income students, and English Learners—often experience social, emotional, and health-	This action funds classified staff—such as attendance clerks, health aides, outreach coordinators, and other student support personnel—who work directly with students to identify and address barriers to regular attendance and academic engagement. These staff members provide day-to-day support, connect families to	School Attendance Rate (disaggregated by subgroup)

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	related challenges that negatively impact their attendance and ability to stay on track for graduation. Additional classified staff are needed to provide consistent, school-based support to address these needs. Scope: LEA-wide	services, conduct wellness checks, and assist with basic needs. Because unduplicated students are enrolled across all school programs, providing this action on a schoolwide basis ensures that all students, especially those most at risk, have access to critical support services. This action promotes equitable access to care and increases the likelihood that students remain engaged in school and graduate on time.	
3.3	Action: Professional Development Need: Unduplicated students—including low-income students, English Learners, and foster youth—often face heightened social-emotional challenges that impact behavior, engagement, and academic performance. Staff need targeted training to better understand and respond to these challenges in supportive, trauma-informed ways. Scope: LEA-wide	This action provides professional development for certificated and classified staff focused on student mental health, trauma-informed practices, restorative approaches to discipline, and strategies for supporting social-emotional well-being. By equipping staff with tools to recognize and address student needs, the LEA can create more supportive learning environments that foster engagement and reduce exclusionary discipline practices. Providing this training on a schoolwide basis ensures all staff—including those who interact with students outside the classroom—can contribute to a positive school climate and respond appropriately to student needs. This comprehensive approach benefits the entire student body while specifically addressing the needs of unduplicated students who are disproportionately affected by disciplinary actions and emotional stressors.	Suspension Rate (overall and by subgroup) Expulsion Rate
3.4	Action: Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies Need:	This action provides instructional programs, curriculum, materials, and supplies that support social-emotional learning (SEL), character development, and student well-being. These may include SEL curricula, mindfulness tools,	Suspension Rate (disaggregated by subgroup) Expulsion Rate

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	Unduplicated students—including foster youth, English Learners, and low-income students—often experience higher levels of stress, trauma, and emotional hardship that can impact their behavior, attendance, and academic engagement. Additional school-based programs are needed to support social-emotional health and well-being. Scope: LEA-wide	behavioral supports, peer mentoring programs, and wellness-related enrichment activities. Offering these supports schoolwide ensures that all students—particularly those in unduplicated groups—have equitable access to programming that fosters emotional resilience, positive self-identity, and confidence. These programs help reduce discipline issues and promote a healthier school climate where students are more likely to succeed academically and socially.	
3.5	Action: Activities and Events Need: Unduplicated students—including English Learners, low-income students, and foster youth—often face emotional and social challenges that impact their engagement, behavior, and overall school experience. These students benefit from opportunities that promote connection, self-esteem, and a sense of belonging. Scope: LEA-wide	This action supports the coordination of schoolwide events and activities that foster positive social-emotional development. These may include wellness fairs, student recognition events, cultural celebrations, community-building activities, and family engagement nights focused on emotional well-being. Such events promote inclusion, build self-confidence, and reinforce the importance of student voice and belonging. By providing these events schoolwide, the LEA ensures that all students—especially those from unduplicated groups—can access experiences that build a strong connection to school and support healthy emotional development. This contributes to a positive school climate and reduces disciplinary incidents linked to disengagement or unmet emotional needs.	Suspension Rate (overall and by subgroup) Expulsion Rate Student and family survey data on school climate, engagement, and emotional well-being
3.6	Action: Supports for Homeless and Foster Students Need: Unduplicated students—including foster youth, low-income students, and English Learners—	This action supports partnerships with community-based organizations to expand access to mental health services, counseling, wellness programming, and crisis intervention. These partnerships may include on-site therapists, family support services, and referrals to external	Suspension Rate (overall and by subgroup) Expulsion Rate

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	often face trauma, mental health challenges, and limited access to community-based support services. These barriers impact school attendance, behavior, and academic success, requiring greater coordination between schools and external support providers. Scope: LEA-wide	agencies. By addressing unmet mental and emotional needs, these services help stabilize students and promote greater academic and behavioral success. Providing this support schoolwide ensures that all students, especially those in unduplicated groups, have equitable access to essential services. It also enables the school to adopt a proactive, integrated approach to student wellness, reducing suspensions and improving school culture.	

Limited Actions

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

Goal 1.1 and 2.1 provide funding for additional certificated staff to specifically provide services to unduplicated students.

Staff-to-student ratios by type of school and concentration of unduplicated students	Schools with a student concentration of 55 percent or less	Schools with a student concentration of greater than 55 percent
Staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students	NA	1:31
Staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students	NA	1:12

2026-27 Total Planned Expenditures Table

LCAP Year	1. Projected LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Input Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)
Totals	3,215,841	811,051	25.220%	0.000%	25.220%

Totals	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel
Totals	\$811,051.00	\$19,243.00	\$0.00	\$757,295.00	\$1,587,589.00	\$1,254,782.00	\$332,807.00

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Planned Percentage of Improved Services
1	1.1	Certificated Staff	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		26-27 School Year	\$367,778.00	\$0.00	\$116.00			\$367,662.00	\$367,778.00	
1	1.2	Classified Staff	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		26-27 School Year	\$18,805.00	\$0.00	\$15,884.00			\$2,921.00	\$18,805.00	
1	1.3	Professional Development	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		26-27 School Year	\$4,439.00	\$8,941.00	\$10,262.00			\$3,118.00	\$13,380.00	
1	1.4	Supplemental Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		26-27 School Year	\$0.00	\$26,202.00	\$26,202.00				\$26,202.00	
1	1.5	Events and Activities	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		26-27 School Year	\$0.00	\$5,181.00	\$5,181.00				\$5,181.00	
1	1.6	Clean and Safe Facilities	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		26-27 School Year	\$0.00	\$86,108.00	\$86,108.00				\$86,108.00	
2	2.1	Certificated Staff	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		26-27 School Year	\$428,780.00	\$0.00	\$265,636.00			\$163,144.00	\$428,780.00	
2	2.2	Classified Staff	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		26-27 School Year	\$71,926.00	\$0.00	\$136.00	\$17,840.00		\$53,950.00	\$71,926.00	
2	2.3	Staff Professional Development	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		26-27 School Year	\$1,891.00	\$17,888.00	\$11,058.00			\$8,721.00	\$19,779.00	

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Planned Percentage of Improved Services
2	2.4	Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		26-27 School Year	\$0.00	\$131,103.00	\$4,205.00	\$1,403.00		\$125,495.00	\$131,103.00	
2	2.5	Activities and Events	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		26-27 School Year	\$0.00	\$108.00	\$108.00				\$108.00	
3	3.1	Certificated Staff	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		26-27 School Year	\$122,863.00	\$0.00	\$122,863.00				\$122,863.00	
3	3.2	Classified Staff	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		26-27 School Year	\$238,300.00	\$0.00	\$238,300.00				\$238,300.00	
3	3.3	Professional Development	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		26-27 School Year	\$0.00	\$2,622.00	\$2,613.00			\$9.00	\$2,622.00	
3	3.4	Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		26-27 School Year	\$0.00	\$12,355.00	\$11,407.00			\$948.00	\$12,355.00	
3	3.5	Activities and Events	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		26-27 School Year	\$0.00	\$31,558.00	\$2,542.00			\$29,016.00	\$31,558.00	
3	3.6	Supports for Homeless and Foster Students	Foster Youth	Yes	LEA-wide	Foster Youth		26-27 School Year	\$0.00	\$10,741.00	\$8,430.00			\$2,311.00	\$10,741.00	

2026-27 Contributing Actions Table

1. Projected LCFF Base Grant	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)	Totals by Type	Total LCFF Funds
3,215,841	811,051	25.220%	0.000%	25.220%	\$811,051.00	0.000%	25.220 %	Total:	\$811,051.00
								LEA-wide Total:	\$811,051.00
								Limited Total:	\$0.00
								Schoolwide Total:	\$0.00

Goal	Action #	Action Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
1	1.1	Certificated Staff	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$116.00	
1	1.2	Classified Staff	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$15,884.00	
1	1.3	Professional Development	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$10,262.00	
1	1.4	Supplemental Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$26,202.00	
1	1.5	Events and Activities	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$5,181.00	
1	1.6	Clean and Safe Facilities	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$86,108.00	
2	2.1	Certificated Staff	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth		\$265,636.00	

Goal	Action #	Action Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
					Low Income			
2	2.2	Classified Staff	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$136.00	
2	2.3	Staff Professional Development	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$11,058.00	
2	2.4	Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$4,205.00	
2	2.5	Activities and Events	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$108.00	
3	3.1	Certificated Staff	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$122,863.00	
3	3.2	Classified Staff	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$238,300.00	
3	3.3	Professional Development	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$2,613.00	
3	3.4	Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$11,407.00	
3	3.5	Activities and Events	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$2,542.00	
3	3.6	Supports for Homeless and Foster Students	Yes	LEA-wide	Foster Youth		\$8,430.00	

2025-26 Annual Update Table

Totals	Last Year's Total Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Total Estimated Expenditures (Total Funds)
Totals	\$1,694,041.00	\$1,737,104.00

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
1	1.1	Certificated Staff	Yes	225,599	408,030
1	1.2	Classified Staff	Yes	135,701	154,495
1	1.3	Professional Development	Yes	1,186	13,510
1	1.4	Supplemental Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies	Yes	67,539	32,230
1	1.5	Events and Activities	Yes	19,110	3,843
1	1.6	Clean and Safe Facilities	Yes	14,984	62,179
2	2.1	Certificated Staff	Yes	548,572	614,976
2	2.2	Classified Staff	Yes	179,422	107,436
2	2.3	Staff Professional Development	Yes	11,686	11,686
2	2.4	Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies	Yes	228,910	45,446
2	2.5	Activities and Events	Yes	3,151	104

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
3	3.1	Certificated Staff	Yes	120,972	121,829
3	3.2	Classified Staff	Yes	106,729	104,048
3	3.3	Professional Development	Yes	9,044	6,459
3	3.4	Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies	Yes	14,586	8,988
3	3.5	Activities and Events	Yes	4,282	39,603
3	3.6	Supports for Homeless and Foster Students	Yes	2,568	2,242

2025-26 Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

6. Estimated LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	7. Total Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	8. Total Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)
797,276	\$1,188,531.00	\$913,592.00	\$274,939.00	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Input LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services	Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Input Percentage)
1	1.1	Certificated Staff	Yes	99,707	86,663		
1	1.2	Classified Staff	Yes	75,484	23,117		
1	1.3	Professional Development	Yes	943	10,485		
1	1.4	Supplemental Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies	Yes	52,153	12,963		
1	1.5	Events and Activities	Yes	19,110	3,843		
1	1.6	Clean and Safe Facilities	Yes	4,627	62,179		
2	2.1	Certificated Staff	Yes	445,787	365,849		
2	2.2	Classified Staff	Yes	125,743	59,701		
2	2.3	Staff Professional Development	Yes	18,093	6,519		
2	2.4	Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies	Yes	96,416	2,027		
2	2.5	Activities and Events	Yes	3,151	105		
3	3.1	Certificated Staff	Yes	6,691	121,829		
3	3.2	Classified Staff	Yes	120,972	104,048		

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Input LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services	Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Input Percentage)
3	3.3	Professional Development	Yes	106,729	7,609		
3	3.4	Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies	Yes	4,231	11,066		
3	3.5	Activities and Events	Yes	4,412	27,411		
3	3.6	Supports for Homeless and Foster Students	Yes	4,282	8,178		

2025-26 LCFF Carryover Table

9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 + Carryover %)	7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)	11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)	12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)	13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)
3,067,151	797,276	0	25.994%	\$913,592.00	0.000%	29.786%	\$0.00	0.000%

Local Control and Accountability Plan Instructions

[Plan Summary](#)

[Engaging Educational Partners](#)

[Goals and Actions](#)

[Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students](#)

For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) template, please contact the local county office of education (COE), or the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Local Agency Systems Support Office, by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at LCFF@cde.ca.gov.

Introduction and Instructions

The Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) requires local educational agencies (LEAs) to engage their local educational partners in an annual planning process to evaluate their progress within eight state priority areas encompassing all statutory metrics (COEs have 10 state priorities). LEAs document the results of this planning process in the LCAP using the template adopted by the State Board of Education.

The LCAP development process serves three distinct, but related functions:

- **Comprehensive Strategic Planning:** The process of developing and annually updating the LCAP supports comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (California Education Code [EC] Section 52064[e][1]). Strategic planning that is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students.
- **Meaningful Engagement of Educational Partners:** The LCAP development process should result in an LCAP that reflects decisions made through meaningful engagement (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Local educational partners possess valuable perspectives and insights about an LEA's programs and services. Effective strategic planning will incorporate these perspectives and insights in order to identify potential goals and actions to be included in the LCAP.
- **Accountability and Compliance:** The LCAP serves an important accountability function because the nature of some LCAP template sections require LEAs to show that they have complied with various requirements specified in the LCFF statutes and regulations, most notably:
 - Demonstrating that LEAs are increasing or improving services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students in proportion to the amount of additional funding those students generate under LCFF (EC Section 52064[b][4-6]).
 - Establishing goals, supported by actions and related expenditures, that address the statutory priority areas and statutory metrics (EC sections 52064[b][1] and [2]).
 - **NOTE:** As specified in EC Section 62064(b)(1), the LCAP must provide a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified pursuant to EC Section 52052, to be achieved for each of the state priorities. Beginning in 2023–24, EC

Section 52052 identifies long-term English learners as a separate and distinct pupil subgroup with a numerical significance at 15 students.

- Annually reviewing and updating the LCAP to reflect progress toward the goals (EC Section 52064[b][7]).
- Ensuring that all increases attributable to supplemental and concentration grant calculations, including concentration grant add-on funding and/or LCFF carryover, are reflected in the LCAP (EC sections 52064[b][6], [8], and [11]).

The LCAP template, like each LEA's final adopted LCAP, is a document, not a process. LEAs must use the template to memorialize the outcome of their LCAP development process, which must: (a) reflect comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), (b) through meaningful engagement with educational partners that (c) meets legal requirements, as reflected in the final adopted LCAP. The sections included within the LCAP template do not and cannot reflect the full development process, just as the LCAP template itself is not intended as a tool for engaging educational partners.

If a county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over a single school district, the county board of education and the governing board of the school district may adopt and file for review and approval a single LCAP consistent with the requirements in EC sections 52060, 52062, 52066, 52068, and 52070. The LCAP must clearly articulate to which entity's budget (school district or county superintendent of schools) all budgeted and actual expenditures are aligned.

The revised LCAP template for the 2024–25, 2025–26, and 2026–27 school years reflects statutory changes made through Senate Bill 114 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review), Chapter 48, Statutes of 2023 and Senate Bill 153, Chapter 38, Statutes of 2024.

At its most basic, the adopted LCAP should attempt to distill not just what the LEA is doing for students in transitional kindergarten through grade twelve (TK–12), but also allow educational partners to understand why, and whether those strategies are leading to improved opportunities and outcomes for students. LEAs are strongly encouraged to use language and a level of detail in their adopted LCAPs intended to be meaningful and accessible for the LEA's diverse educational partners and the broader public.

In developing and finalizing the LCAP for adoption, LEAs are encouraged to keep the following overarching frame at the forefront of the strategic planning and educational partner engagement functions:

Given present performance across the state priorities and on indicators in the Dashboard, how is the LEA using its budgetary resources to respond to TK–12 student and community needs, and address any performance gaps, including by meeting its obligation to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students?

LEAs are encouraged to focus on a set of metrics and actions which, based on research, experience, and input gathered from educational partners, the LEA believes will have the biggest impact on behalf of its TK–12 students.

These instructions address the requirements for each section of the LCAP but may include information about effective practices when developing the LCAP and completing the LCAP document. Additionally, the beginning of each template section includes information emphasizing the purpose that section serves.

Plan Summary

Purpose

A well-developed Plan Summary section provides a meaningful context for the LCAP. This section provides information about an LEA's community as well as relevant information about student needs and performance. In order to present a meaningful context for the rest of the LCAP, the content of this section should be clearly and meaningfully related to the content included throughout each subsequent section of the LCAP.

Requirements and Instructions

General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA. LEAs may also provide information about their strategic plan, vision, etc.

Briefly describe the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades TK–12, as applicable to the LEA.

- For example, information about an LEA in terms of geography, enrollment, employment, the number and size of specific schools, recent community challenges, and other such information the LEA may wish to include can enable a reader to more fully understand the LEA's LCAP.
- LEAs may also provide information about their strategic plan, vision, etc.
- As part of this response, identify all schools within the LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funding.

Reflections: Annual Performance

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

Reflect on the LEA's annual performance on the Dashboard and local data. This may include both successes and challenges identified by the LEA during the development process.

LEAs are encouraged to highlight how they are addressing the identified needs of student groups, and/or schools within the LCAP as part of this response.

As part of this response, the LEA must identify the following, which will remain unchanged during the three-year LCAP cycle:

- Any school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard;
- Any student group within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard; and/or
- Any student group within a school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard.

EC Section 52064.4 requires that an LEA that has unexpended Learning Recovery Emergency Block Grant (LREBG) funds must include one or more actions funded with LREBG funds within the 2026-27, 2026-27 and 2027-28 LCAPs, as applicable to the LEA. To implement the requirements of *EC* Section 52064.4, all LEAs must do the following:

- For the 2025–26, 2026–27, and 2027–28 LCAP years, identify whether or not the LEA has unexpended LREBG funds for the applicable LCAP year.
 - If the LEA has unexpended LREBG funds the LEA must provide the following:
 - The goal and action number for each action that will be funded, either in whole or in part, with LREBG funds; and
 - An explanation of the rationale for selecting each action funded with LREBG funds. This explanation must include:
 - An explanation of how the action is aligned with the allowable uses of funds identified in [EC Section 32526\(c\)\(2\)](#); and
 - An explanation of how the action is expected to address the area(s) of need of students and schools identified in the needs assessment required by [EC Section 32526\(d\)](#).
 - For information related to the allowable uses of funds and the required needs assessment, please see the Program Information tab on the [LREBG Program Information](#) web page.
 - Actions may be grouped together for purposes of these explanations.
 - The LEA may provide these explanations as part of the action description rather than as part of the Reflections: Annual Performance.
 - If the LEA does not have unexpended LREBG funds, the LEA is not required to conduct the needs assessment required by *EC* Section 32627(d), to provide the information identified above or to include actions funded with LREBG funds within the 2026-27, 2026-27 and 2027-28 LCAPs.

Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

Annually identify the reason(s) the LEA is eligible for or has requested technical assistance consistent with *EC* sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, and provide a summary of the work underway as part of receiving technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance, however this also includes LEAs that have requested technical assistance from their COE.

- If the LEA is not eligible for or receiving technical assistance, the LEA may respond to this prompt as “Not Applicable.”

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

An LEA with a school or schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) under the Every Student Succeeds Act must respond to the following prompts:

Schools Identified

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

- Identify the schools within the LEA that have been identified for CSI.

Support for Identified Schools

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

- Describe how the LEA has or will support the identified schools in developing CSI plans that included a school-level needs assessment, evidence-based interventions, and the identification of any resource inequities to be addressed through the implementation of the CSI plan.

Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

- Describe how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of the CSI plan to support student and school improvement.

Engaging Educational Partners

Purpose

Significant and purposeful engagement of parents, students, educators, and other educational partners, including those representing the student groups identified by LCFF, is critical to the development of the LCAP and the budget process. Consistent with statute, such engagement should support comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard, accountability, and improvement across the state priorities and locally identified priorities (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Engagement of educational partners is an ongoing, annual process.

This section is designed to reflect how the engagement of educational partners influenced the decisions reflected in the adopted LCAP. The goal is to allow educational partners that participated in the LCAP development process and the broader public to understand how the LEA engaged educational partners and the impact of that engagement. LEAs are encouraged to keep this goal in the forefront when completing this section.

Requirements

Requirements

School districts and COEs: [EC Section 52060\(g\)](#) and [EC Section 52066\(g\)](#) specify the educational partners that must be consulted when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,

- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Local bargaining units of the LEA,
- Parents, and
- Students

A school district or COE receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Before adopting the LCAP, school districts and COEs must share it with the applicable committees, as identified below under Requirements and Instructions. The superintendent is required by statute to respond in writing to the comments received from these committees. School districts and COEs must also consult with the special education local plan area administrator(s) when developing the LCAP.

Charter schools: [EC Section 47606.5\(d\)](#) requires that the following educational partners be consulted with when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,
- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Parents, and
- Students

A charter school receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at the school generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for the school.

The LCAP should also be shared with, and LEAs should request input from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, student advisory groups, etc.), to facilitate alignment between schoolsite and district-level goals. Information and resources that support effective engagement, define student consultation, and provide the requirements for advisory group composition, can be found under Resources on the [CDE's LCAP webpage](#).

Before the governing board/body of an LEA considers the adoption of the LCAP, the LEA must meet the following legal requirements:

- For school districts, see [Education Code Section 52062](#);
 - **Note:** Charter schools using the LCAP as the School Plan for Student Achievement must meet the requirements of *EC* Section 52062(a).
- For COEs, see [Education Code Section 52068](#); and
- For charter schools, see [Education Code Section 47606.5](#).

- **NOTE:** As a reminder, the superintendent of a school district or COE must respond, in writing, to comments received by the applicable committees identified in the *Education Code* sections listed above. This includes the parent advisory committee and may include the English learner parent advisory committee and, as of July 1, 2024, the student advisory committee, as applicable.

Instructions

Respond to the prompts as follows:

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Complete the table as follows:

Educational Partners

Identify the applicable educational partner(s) or group(s) that were engaged in the development of the LCAP.

Process for Engagement

Describe the engagement process used by the LEA to involve the identified educational partner(s) in the development of the LCAP. At a minimum, the LEA must describe how it met its obligation to consult with all statutorily required educational partners, as applicable to the type of LEA.

- A sufficient response to this prompt must include general information about the timeline of the process and meetings or other engagement strategies with educational partners. A response may also include information about an LEA's philosophical approach to engaging its educational partners.
- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also include a summary of how it consulted with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

Describe any goals, metrics, actions, or budgeted expenditures in the LCAP that were influenced by or developed in response to the educational partner feedback.

- A sufficient response to this prompt will provide educational partners and the public with clear, specific information about how the engagement process influenced the development of the LCAP. This may include a description of how the LEA prioritized requests of educational partners within the context of the budgetary resources available or otherwise prioritized areas of focus within the LCAP.
- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must include a description of how the consultation with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds influenced the development of the adopted LCAP.
- For the purposes of this prompt, this may also include, but is not necessarily limited to:
 - Inclusion of a goal or decision to pursue a Focus Goal (as described below)
 - Inclusion of metrics other than the statutorily required metrics
 - Determination of the target outcome on one or more metrics
 - Inclusion of performance by one or more student groups in the Measuring and Reporting Results subsection
 - Inclusion of action(s) or a group of actions
 - Elimination of action(s) or group of actions
 - Changes to the level of proposed expenditures for one or more actions
 - Inclusion of action(s) as contributing to increased or improved services for unduplicated students
 - Analysis of effectiveness of the specific actions to achieve the goal
 - Analysis of material differences in expenditures
 - Analysis of changes made to a goal for the ensuing LCAP year based on the annual update process
 - Analysis of challenges or successes in the implementation of actions

Goals and Actions

Purpose

Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the LEA plans to accomplish, what the LEA plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the LEA will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal statement, associated metrics and expected outcomes, and the actions included in the goal must be in alignment. The explanation for why the LEA included a goal is an opportunity for LEAs to clearly communicate to educational partners and the public why, among the various strengths and areas for improvement highlighted by performance data and strategies and actions that could be pursued, the LEA decided to pursue this goal, and the related metrics, expected outcomes, actions, and expenditures.

A well-developed goal can be focused on the performance relative to a metric or metrics for all students, a specific student group(s), narrowing performance gaps, or implementing programs or strategies expected to impact outcomes. LEAs should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related actions to achieve such goals.

Requirements and Instructions

LEAs should prioritize the goals, specific actions, and related expenditures included within the LCAP within one or more state priorities. LEAs must consider performance on the state and local indicators, including their locally collected and reported data for the local indicators that are included in the Dashboard, in determining whether and how to prioritize its goals within the LCAP. As previously stated, strategic planning that

is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students, and to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard.

In order to support prioritization of goals, the LCAP template provides LEAs with the option of developing three different kinds of goals:

- **Focus Goal:** A Focus Goal is relatively more concentrated in scope and may focus on a fewer number of metrics to measure improvement. A Focus Goal statement will be time bound and make clear how the goal is to be measured.
 - All Equity Multiplier goals must be developed as focus goals. For additional information, see Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding below.
- **Broad Goal:** A Broad Goal is relatively less concentrated in its scope and may focus on improving performance across a wide range of metrics.
- **Maintenance of Progress Goal:** A Maintenance of Progress Goal includes actions that may be ongoing without significant changes and allows an LEA to track performance on any metrics not addressed in the other goals of the LCAP.

Requirement to Address the LCFF State Priorities

At a minimum, the LCAP must address all LCFF priorities and associated metrics articulated in *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d), as applicable to the LEA. The [LCFF State Priorities Summary](#) provides a summary of *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d) to aid in the development of the LCAP.

Respond to the following prompts, as applicable:

Focus Goal(s)

Description

The description provided for a Focus Goal must be specific, measurable, and time bound.

- An LEA develops a Focus Goal to address areas of need that may require or benefit from a more specific and data intensive approach.
- The Focus Goal can explicitly reference the metric(s) by which achievement of the goal will be measured and the time frame according to which the LEA expects to achieve the goal.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.

Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding

Description

LEAs receiving Equity Multiplier funding must include one or more focus goals for each school generating Equity Multiplier funding. In addition to addressing the focus goal requirements described above, LEAs must adhere to the following requirements.

Focus goals for Equity Multiplier schoolsites must address the following:

- (A) All student groups that have the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard, and
- (B) Any underlying issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school’s educators, if applicable.
- Focus Goals for each and every Equity Multiplier schoolsite must identify specific metrics for each identified student group, as applicable.
- An LEA may create a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites if those schoolsites have the same student group(s) performing at the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard or, experience similar issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school’s educators.
 - When creating a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites, the goal must identify the student groups and the performance levels on the Dashboard that the Focus Goal is addressing; or,
 - The common issues the schoolsites are experiencing in credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school’s educators, if applicable.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as an Equity Multiplier Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.
- In addition to this information, the LEA must also identify:
 - The school or schools to which the goal applies

LEAs are encouraged to approach an Equity Multiplier goal from a wholistic standpoint, considering how the goal might maximize student outcomes through the use of LCFF and other funding in addition to Equity Multiplier funds.

- Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the Expanded Learning Opportunities Program (ELO-P), the Literacy Coaches and Reading Specialists (LCRS) Grant Program, and/or the California Community Schools Partnership Program (CCSPP).
- This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.

Note: [EC Section 42238.024\(b\)\(1\)](#) requires that Equity Multiplier funds be used for the provision of evidence-based services and supports for students. Evidence-based services and supports are based on objective evidence that has informed the design of the service or support and/or guides the modification of those services and supports. Evidence-based supports and strategies are most commonly based on educational research and/or metrics of LEA, school, and/or student performance.

Broad Goal

Description

Describe what the LEA plans to achieve through the actions included in the goal.

- The description of a broad goal will be clearly aligned with the expected measurable outcomes included for the goal.

- The goal description organizes the actions and expected outcomes in a cohesive and consistent manner.
- A goal description is specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. A broad goal is not as specific as a focus goal. While it is specific enough to be measurable, there are many different metrics for measuring progress toward the goal.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Broad Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA developed this goal and how the actions and metrics grouped together will help achieve the goal.

Maintenance of Progress Goal

Description

Describe how the LEA intends to maintain the progress made in the LCFF State Priorities not addressed by the other goals in the LCAP.

- Use this type of goal to address the state priorities and applicable metrics not addressed within the other goals in the LCAP.
- The state priorities and metrics to be addressed in this section are those for which the LEA, in consultation with educational partners, has determined to maintain actions and monitor progress while focusing implementation efforts on the actions covered by other goals in the LCAP.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Maintenance of Progress Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain how the actions will sustain the progress exemplified by the related metrics.

Measuring and Reporting Results:

For each LCAP year, identify the metric(s) that the LEA will use to track progress toward the expected outcomes.

- LEAs must identify metrics for specific student groups, as appropriate, including expected outcomes that address and reduce disparities in outcomes between student groups.
- The metrics may be quantitative or qualitative; but at minimum, an LEA’s LCAP must include goals that are measured using all of the applicable metrics for the related state priorities, in each LCAP year, as applicable to the type of LEA.
- To the extent a state priority does not specify one or more metrics (e.g., implementation of state academic content and performance standards), the LEA must identify a metric to use within the LCAP. For these state priorities, LEAs are encouraged to use metrics based on or reported through the relevant local indicator self-reflection tools within the Dashboard.
- **Required metrics for LEA-wide actions:** For each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.
 - These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section, however the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.
- **Required metrics for Equity Multiplier goals:** For each Equity Multiplier goal, the LEA must identify:
 - The specific metrics for each identified student group at each specific schoolsite, as applicable, to measure the progress toward the goal, and/or
 - The specific metrics used to measure progress in meeting the goal related to credentialing, subject matter preparation, or educator retention at each specific schoolsite.
- **Required metrics for actions supported by LREBG funds:** To implement the requirements of *EC* Section 52064.4, LEAs with unexpended LREBG funds must include at least one metric to monitor the impact of each action funded with LREBG funds included in the goal.
 - The metrics being used to monitor the impact of each action funded with LREBG funds are not required to be new metrics; they may be metrics that are already being used to measure progress towards goals and actions included in the LCAP.

Complete the table as follows:

Metric #
• Enter the metric number.
Metric

- Identify the standard of measure being used to determine progress towards the goal and/or to measure the effectiveness of one or more actions associated with the goal.

Baseline

- Enter the baseline when completing the LCAP for 2024–25.
 - Use the most recent data associated with the metric available at the time of adoption of the LCAP for the first year of the three-year plan. LEAs may use data as reported on the 2023 Dashboard for the baseline of a metric only if that data represents the most recent available data (e.g., high school graduation rate).
 - Using the most recent data available may involve reviewing data the LEA is preparing for submission to the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS) or data that the LEA has recently submitted to CALPADS.
 - Indicate the school year to which the baseline data applies.
 - The baseline data must remain unchanged throughout the three-year LCAP.
 - This requirement is not intended to prevent LEAs from revising the baseline data if it is necessary to do so. For example, if an LEA identifies that its data collection practices for a particular metric are leading to inaccurate data and revises its practice to obtain accurate data, it would also be appropriate for the LEA to revise the baseline data to align with the more accurate data process and report its results using the accurate data.
 - If an LEA chooses to revise its baseline data, then, at a minimum, it must clearly identify the change as part of its response to the description of changes prompt in the Goal Analysis for the goal. LEAs are also strongly encouraged to involve their educational partners in the decision of whether or not to revise a baseline and to communicate the proposed change to their educational partners.
 - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a new baseline each year, as applicable.

Year 1 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.
 - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may provide the Year 1 Outcome when completing the LCAP for both 2025–26 and 2026–27 or may provide the Year 1 Outcome for 2025–26 and provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

Year 2 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2026–27, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.

- Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may identify the Year 2 Outcome as not applicable when completing the LCAP for 2026–27 or may provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

Target for Year 3 Outcome

- When completing the first year of the LCAP, enter the target outcome for the relevant metric the LEA expects to achieve by the end of the three-year LCAP cycle.
 - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a Target for Year 1 or Target for Year 2, as applicable.

Current Difference from Baseline

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26 and 2026–27, enter the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome, as applicable.
 - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP will identify the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 1 and/or the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 2, as applicable.

Timeline for school districts and COEs for completing the “**Measuring and Reporting Results**” part of the Goal.

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2024–25 or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2024–25 or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2025–26 . Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2026–27 . Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2024–25 or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2025–26 and 2026–27 . Leave blank until then.

Goal Analysis:

Enter the LCAP Year.

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective towards achieving the goal. “Effective” means the degree to which the planned actions were successful in producing the target result. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

Note: When completing the 2024–25 LCAP, use the 2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan Annual Update template to complete the Goal Analysis and identify the Goal Analysis prompts in the 2024–25 LCAP as “Not Applicable.”

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

- Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal, including relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.
 - Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process.
 - This discussion must include any instance where the LEA did not implement a planned action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

- Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and between the Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services, as applicable. Minor variances in expenditures or percentages do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

- Describe the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal. “Effectiveness” means the degree to which the actions were successful in producing the target result and “ineffectiveness” means that the actions did not produce any significant or targeted result.
 - In some cases, not all actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal.
 - When responding to this prompt, LEAs may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics is working and increase transparency for educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely associated.
 - Beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable.
 - As noted above, beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period. For actions that have been identified as ineffective, the LEA must identify the ineffective action and must include a description of the following:

- The reasons for the ineffectiveness, and
- How changes to the action will result in a new or strengthened approach.

Actions:

Complete the table as follows. Add additional rows as necessary.

Action

- Enter the action number.

Title

- Provide a short title for the action. This title will also appear in the action tables.

Description

- Provide a brief description of the action.
 - For actions that contribute to meeting the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA may include an explanation of how each action is principally directed towards and effective in meeting the LEA's goals for unduplicated students, as described in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.
 - As previously noted, for each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.
 - These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section; however, the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.

Total Funds

- Enter the total amount of expenditures associated with this action. Budgeted expenditures from specific fund sources will be provided in the action tables.

Contributing

- Indicate whether the action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement as described in the Increased or Improved Services section using a “Y” for Yes or an “N” for No.
 - **Note:** for each such contributing action, the LEA will need to provide additional information in the Increased or Improved Services section to address the requirements in *California Code of Regulations*, Title 5 [5 CCR] Section 15496 in the Increased or Improved Services section of the LCAP.

Actions for Foster Youth: School districts, COEs, and charter schools that have a numerically significant foster youth student subgroup are encouraged to include specific actions in the LCAP designed to meet needs specific to foster youth students.

Required Actions

For English Learners and Long-Term English Learners

- LEAs with 30 or more English learners and/or 15 or more long-term English learners must include specific actions in the LCAP related to, at a minimum:
 - Language acquisition programs, as defined in *EC* Section 306, provided to students, and
 - Professional development for teachers.
 - If an LEA has both 30 or more English learners and 15 or more long-term English learners, the LEA must include actions for both English learners and long-term English learners.

For Technical Assistance

- LEAs eligible for technical assistance pursuant to *EC* sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, must include specific actions within the LCAP related to its implementation of the work underway as part of technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance.

For Lowest Performing Dashboard Indicators

- LEAs that have Red Dashboard indicators for (1) a school within the LEA, (2) a student group within the LEA, and/or (3) a student group within any school within the LEA must include one or more specific actions within the LCAP:
 - The specific action(s) must be directed towards the identified student group(s) and/or school(s) and must address the identified state indicator(s) for which the student group or school received the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard. Each student group and/or school that receives the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard must be addressed by one or more actions.
 - These required actions will be effective for the three-year LCAP cycle.

For LEAs With Unexpended LREBG Funds

- To implement the requirements of *EC* Section 52064.4, LEAs with unexpended LREBG funds must include one or more actions supported with LREBG funds within the 2025–26, 2026–27, and 2027–28 LCAPs, as applicable to the LEA. Actions funded with LREBG funds must remain in the LCAP until the LEA has expended the remainder of its LREBG funds, after which time the actions may be removed from the LCAP.
 - Prior to identifying the actions included in the LCAP the LEA is required to conduct a needs assessment pursuant to [EC Section 32526\(d\)](#). For information related to the required needs assessment please see the Program Information tab on the [LREBG](#)

[Program Information](#) web page. Additional information about the needs assessment and evidence-based resources for the LREBG may be found on the [California Statewide System of Support LREBG Resources](#) web page. The required LREBG needs assessment may be part of the LEAs regular needs assessment for the LCAP if it meets the requirements of *EC* Section 32627(d).

- School districts receiving technical assistance and COEs providing technical assistance are encouraged to use the technical assistance process to support the school district in conducting the required needs assessment, the selection of actions funded by the LREBG and/or the evaluation of implementation of the actions required as part of the LCAP annual update process.
- As a reminder, LREBG funds must be used to implement one or more of the purposes articulated in [EC Section 32526\(c\)\(2\)](#).
- LEAs with unexpended LREBG funds must include one or more actions supported by LREBG funds within the LCAP. For each action supported by LREBG funding the action description must:
 - Identify the action as an LREBG action;
 - Include an explanation of how research supports the selected action;
 - Identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the impact of the action; and
 - Identify the amount of LREBG funds being used to support the action.

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

Purpose

A well-written Increased or Improved Services section provides educational partners with a comprehensive description, within a single dedicated section, of how an LEA plans to increase or improve services for its unduplicated students as defined in *EC* Section 42238.02 in grades TK–12 as compared to all students in grades TK–12, as applicable, and how LEA-wide or schoolwide actions identified for this purpose meet regulatory requirements. Descriptions provided should include sufficient detail yet be sufficiently succinct to promote a broader understanding of educational partners to facilitate their ability to provide input. An LEA’s description in this section must align with the actions included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing.

Please Note: For the purpose of meeting the Increased or Improved Services requirement and consistent with *EC* Section 42238.02, long-term English learners are included in the English learner student group.

Statutory Requirements

An LEA is required to demonstrate in its LCAP how it is increasing or improving services for its students who are foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income, collectively referred to as unduplicated students, as compared to the services provided to all students in proportion to the increase in funding it receives based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the LEA (*EC* Section 42238.07[a][1], *EC*

Section 52064[b][8][B]; 5 CCR Section 15496[a]). This proportionality percentage is also known as the “minimum proportionality percentage” or “MPP.” The manner in which an LEA demonstrates it is meeting its MPP is two-fold: (1) through the expenditure of LCFF funds or through the identification of a Planned Percentage of Improved Services as documented in the Contributing Actions Table, and (2) through the explanations provided in the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.

To improve services means to grow services in quality and to increase services means to grow services in quantity. Services are increased or improved by those actions in the LCAP that are identified in the Goals and Actions section as contributing to the increased or improved services requirement, whether they are provided across the entire LEA (LEA-wide action), provided to an entire school (Schoolwide action), or solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s) (Limited action).

Therefore, for *any* action contributing to meet the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA must include an explanation of:

- How the action is increasing or improving services for the unduplicated student group(s) (Identified Needs and Action Design), and
- How the action meets the LEA's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas (Measurement of Effectiveness).

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

In addition to the above required explanations, LEAs must provide a justification for why an LEA-wide or Schoolwide action is being provided to all students and how the action is intended to improve outcomes for unduplicated student group(s) as compared to all students.

- Conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.
- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

For School Districts Only

Actions provided on an **LEA-wide** basis at **school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of less than 55 percent** must also include a description of how the actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Actions provided on a **Schoolwide** basis for **schools with less than 40 percent enrollment of unduplicated pupils** must also include a description of how these actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Requirements and Instructions

Complete the tables as follows:

- Specify the amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grant funds the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year based on the number and concentration of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. This amount includes the Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant.

Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant

- Specify the amount of additional LCFF concentration grant add-on funding, as described in *EC* Section 42238.02, that the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year.

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

- Specify the estimated percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(7).

LCFF Carryover — Percentage

- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).

LCFF Carryover — Dollar

- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Dollar amount identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover amount is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify an amount of zero (\$0).

Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

- Add the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the Proportional LCFF Required Carryover Percentage and specify the percentage. This is the LEA's percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year, as calculated pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(7).

Required Descriptions:

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

If the LEA has provided this required description in the Action Descriptions, state as such within the table.

Complete the table as follows:

Identified Need(s)

Provide an explanation of the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed.

An LEA demonstrates how an action is principally directed towards an unduplicated student group(s) when the LEA explains the need(s), condition(s), or circumstance(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) identified through a needs assessment and how the action addresses them. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis

Provide an explanation of how the action as designed will address the unique identified need(s) of the LEA’s unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed and the rationale for why the action is being provided on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis.

- As stated above, conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.
- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

Note for COEs and Charter Schools: In the case of COEs and charter schools, schoolwide and LEA-wide are considered to be synonymous.

Limited Actions

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

If the LEA has provided the required descriptions in the Action Descriptions, state as such.

Complete the table as follows:

Identified Need(s)

Provide an explanation of the unique need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served identified through the LEA’s needs assessment. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)

Provide an explanation of how the action is designed to address the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served.

Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

- For each action with an identified Planned Percentage of Improved Services, identify the goal and action number and describe the methodology that was used.
- When identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.
- For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost \$165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of \$165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Total Planned Expenditures Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

An LEA that receives the additional concentration grant add-on described in *EC* Section 42238.02 is required to demonstrate how it is using these funds to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent as compared to the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is equal to or less than 55 percent. The staff who provide direct services to students must be certificated staff and/or classified staff employed by the LEA; classified staff includes custodial staff.

Provide the following descriptions, as applicable to the LEA:

- An LEA that does not receive a concentration grant or the concentration grant add-on must indicate that a response to this prompt is not applicable.

- Identify the goal and action numbers of the actions in the LCAP that the LEA is implementing to meet the requirement to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.
- An LEA that does not have comparison schools from which to describe how it is using the concentration grant add-on funds, such as a single-school LEA or an LEA that only has schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, must describe how it is using the funds to increase the number of credentialed staff, classified staff, or both, including custodial staff, who provide direct services to students at selected schools and the criteria used to determine which schools require additional staffing support.
- In the event that an additional concentration grant add-on is not sufficient to increase staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, the LEA must describe how it is using the funds to retain staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

Complete the table as follows:

- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.
 - The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.
 - The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.
- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.
 - The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.
 - The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of FTE staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.

Action Tables

Complete the Total Planned Expenditures Table for each action in the LCAP. The information entered into this table will automatically populate the other Action Tables. Information is only entered into the Total Planned Expenditures Table, the Annual Update Table, the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, and the LCFF Carryover Table. The word “input” has been added to column headers to aid in identifying the column(s) where information will be entered. Information is not entered on the remaining Action tables.

The following tables are required to be included as part of the LCAP adopted by the local governing board or governing body:

- Table 1: Total Planned Expenditures Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 2: Contributing Actions Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 3: Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 4: Contributing Actions Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 5: LCFF Carryover Table (for the current LCAP Year)

Note: The coming LCAP Year is the year that is being planned for, while the current LCAP year is the current year of implementation. For example, when developing the 2024–25 LCAP, 2024–25 will be the coming LCAP Year and 2023–24 will be the current LCAP Year.

Total Planned Expenditures Table

In the Total Planned Expenditures Table, input the following information for each action in the LCAP for that applicable LCAP year:

- **LCAP Year:** Identify the applicable LCAP Year.
- **1. Projected LCFF Base Grant:** Provide the total amount estimated LCFF entitlement for the coming school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program, the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8). Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs.

See EC sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.
- **2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated students for the coming school year.
- **3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected LCFF Base Grant and the Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8). This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.
- **LCFF Carryover — Percentage:** Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table from the prior LCAP year. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).
- **Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the LCFF Carryover —

Percentage. ***This is the percentage by which the LEA must increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.***

- **Goal #:** Enter the LCAP Goal number for the action.
- **Action #:** Enter the action's number as indicated in the LCAP Goal.
- **Action Title:** Provide a title of the action.
- **Student Group(s):** Indicate the student group or groups who will be the primary beneficiary of the action by entering "All," or by entering a specific student group or groups.
- **Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?:** Type "Yes" if the action **is** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement; OR, type "No" if the action is **not** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement.
- If "Yes" is entered into the Contributing column, then complete the following columns:
 - **Scope:** The scope of an action may be LEA-wide (i.e., districtwide, countywide, or charterwide), schoolwide, or limited. An action that is LEA-wide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of the LEA. An action that is schoolwide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of a single school. An action that is limited in its scope is an action that serves only one or more unduplicated student groups.
 - **Unduplicated Student Group(s):** Regardless of scope, contributing actions serve one or more unduplicated student groups. Indicate one or more unduplicated student groups for whom services are being increased or improved as compared to what all students receive.
 - **Location:** Identify the location where the action will be provided. If the action is provided to all schools within the LEA, the LEA must indicate "All Schools." If the action is provided to specific schools within the LEA or specific grade spans only, the LEA must enter "Specific Schools" or "Specific Grade Spans." Identify the individual school or a subset of schools or grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades transitional kindergarten through grade five), as appropriate.
- **Time Span:** Enter "ongoing" if the action will be implemented for an indeterminate period of time. Otherwise, indicate the span of time for which the action will be implemented. For example, an LEA might enter "1 Year," or "2 Years," or "6 Months."
- **Total Personnel:** Enter the total amount of personnel expenditures utilized to implement this action.
- **Total Non-Personnel:** This amount will be automatically calculated based on information provided in the Total Personnel column and the Total Funds column.

- **LCFF Funds:** Enter the total amount of LCFF funds utilized to implement this action, if any. LCFF funds include all funds that make up an LEA's total LCFF target (i.e., base grant, grade span adjustment, supplemental grant, concentration grant, Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant, and Home-To-School Transportation).
 - **Note:** For an action to contribute towards meeting the increased or improved services requirement, it must include some measure of LCFF funding. The action may also include funding from other sources, however the extent to which an action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement is based on the LCFF funding being used to implement the action.
- **Other State Funds:** Enter the total amount of Other State Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
 - **Note:** Equity Multiplier funds must be included in the "Other State Funds" category, not in the "LCFF Funds" category. As a reminder, Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP. This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LEA's LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.
- **Local Funds:** Enter the total amount of Local Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Federal Funds:** Enter the total amount of Federal Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Total Funds:** This amount is automatically calculated based on amounts entered in the previous four columns.
- **Planned Percentage of Improved Services:** For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the planned quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%). A limited action is an action that only serves foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income students.
 - As noted in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services section, when identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost \$165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of \$165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

Contributing Actions Table

As noted above, information will not be entered in the Contributing Actions Table; however, the ‘Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?’ column will need to be checked to ensure that only actions with a “Yes” are displaying. If actions with a “No” are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the “Yes” responses.

Annual Update Table

In the Annual Update Table, provide the following information for each action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **Estimated Actual Expenditures:** Enter the total estimated actual expenditures to implement this action, if any.

Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

In the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, check the ‘Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?’ column to ensure that only actions with a “Yes” are displaying. If actions with a “No” are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the “Yes” responses. Provide the following information for each contributing action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.
- **Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions:** Enter the total estimated actual expenditure of LCFF funds used to implement this action, if any.
- **Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services:** For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis only to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the total estimated actual quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%).
 - Building on the example provided above for calculating the Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA in the example implements the action. As part of the annual update process, the LEA reviews implementation and student outcome data and determines that the action was implemented with fidelity and that outcomes for foster youth students improved. The LEA reviews the original estimated cost for the action and determines that had it hired additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students that estimated actual cost would have been \$169,500 due to a cost of living adjustment. The LEA would divide the estimated actual cost of \$169,500 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

LCFF Carryover Table

- **9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant:** Provide the total amount of estimated LCFF Target Entitlement for the current school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program,

the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8). Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs. See EC sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.

- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year:** This percentage will not be entered. The percentage is calculated based on the amounts of the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) and the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6), pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8), plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year. This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the current LCAP year.

Calculations in the Action Tables

To reduce the duplication of effort of LEAs, the Action Tables include functionality such as pre-population of fields and cells based on the information provided in the Data Entry Table, the Annual Update Summary Table, and the Contributing Actions Table. For transparency, the functionality and calculations used are provided below.

Contributing Actions Table

- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**
 - This amount is the total of the Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) column.
- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services**
 - This percentage is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the coming school year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)**
 - This percentage is calculated by dividing the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) by the Projected LCFF Base Grant (1), converting the quotient to a percentage, and adding it to the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5).

Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

Pursuant to EC Section 42238.07(c)(2), if the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is less than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the LEA is required to calculate the difference between the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) and the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (7). If the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is equal to or greater than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services will display “Not Required.”

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants**

- This is the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will actually receive based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.
- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**
 - This amount is the total of the Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).
- **7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions**
 - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).
- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)**
 - This amount is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) subtracted from the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4).
- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)**
 - This amount is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)**
 - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)**
 - This amount is the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) subtracted from the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).

LCFF Carryover Table

- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 plus Carryover %)**
 - This percentage is the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6) divided by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year.
- **11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)**
 - This percentage is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) divided by the LCFF Funding (9), then converting the quotient to a percentage and adding the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).
- **12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount LCFF Carryover (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)**

- If the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (11) is less than the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (10), the LEA is required to carry over LCFF funds.

The amount of LCFF funds is calculated by subtracting the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (11) from the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (10) and then multiplying by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9). This amount is the amount of LCFF funds that is required to be carried over to the coming year.

- **13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)**

- This percentage is the unmet portion of the Percentage to Increase or Improve Services that the LEA must carry over into the coming LCAP year. The percentage is calculated by dividing the LCFF Carryover (12) by the LCFF Funding (9).

California Department of Education
November 2024