

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: River Delta Joint Unified School District  
School Site: Clarksburg Middle

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/19/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 11

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Good  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Good  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: River Delta Joint Unified School District  
School Site: D. H. White Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/18/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 14

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Good  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Good  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: River Delta Joint Unified School District  
School Site: Delta Elementary Charter

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 9/12/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 11

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Good  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Good  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: River Delta Joint Unified School District  
School Site: Isleton Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/13/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 20

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Good  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Good  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: River Delta Joint Unified School District  
School Site: Rio Vista High

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/18/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 21

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Good  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Good  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2025-26 Williams Site Review Summary**

District: River Delta Joint Unified School District  
School Site: Walnut Grove Elementary

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 8/13/2025  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 19

#### **Category Ranking\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Good  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Good  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

#### **School Rating and Description**

Exemplary: The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.

\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.